```
0001
 01
             BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
 01
 02
                     OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 02
 03
              ALAN W. LANDSBURG, CHAIRMAN
 03
 04
 04
 05
 05
 06
 06
 07 In The Matter Of:
 07
 08 Regular Board Meeting of the
 08 California Horse Racing Board
 09
 09
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
 15
 16
 16
17
                     TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17
18
                        Monrovia, California
18
19
                     Thursday, January 24, 2002
19
 20
 20
 21
 21
 22
 22
 23
 23
 24
 24
 25 Reported By:
 25
 26 TINA RENE ICE
 26
 27
 27 Job No.:
 28 CHBJ410B
 28
0002
 01
              BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
 01
```

02	OF THE STAT	E OF CALIFORNIA		
02 03				
03	ALAN W. LANDSDONG, CHAINNAN			
04				
04 05				
05				
06				
06	T III W	,		
07 07	In The Matter Of:)		
08	Regular Board Meeting Of Th	,		
80	California Horse Racing Boa			
09)		
09 10				
10				
11				
11 12				
12				
13				
13				
$\frac{14}{14}$				
15				
15				
16	TRANSCRIPT OF	PROCEEDINGS, taken		
16 17	at the Holiday Inn, 924 West Huntington Drive,			
17	at the horiday inn, 924 west huntington brive,			
18	Monrovia, California, commencing at 10:34 a.m. on			
18	TI 1 T 04 0000 1 1 1 5			
19 19	Thursday, January 24	, 2002, heard before		
20	ALAN W. LANDSBURG, Chairman,			
20				
21	reported by TINA REN	E ICE, a Hearing Reporter		
21 22	in and for the State	of California.		
22				
23				
23 24				
24				
25				
25				
26 26				
27				
27				
28				
28 0003				
01	APPEARANCES:			
01				
02 02	Chairman:	Alan W. Landsburg		
03	Chairman:	Roger H. Licht		

```
03
 04 Executive Director:
                                      Roy C. Wood, Jr.
 04
 05 Commissioners:
                                      William A. Bianco
 05
                                      Sheryl L. Granzella
                                      John C. Harris
 06
                                      Marie G. Moretti
 06
 07
                              John Sperry
 07
 80
 08
 09
 09
 10
10
11
11
12
 12
13
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
17
 17
18
 18
 19
 19
 20
 20
 21
 21
 22
 22
 23
 23
 24
 24
 25
 25
 26
 26
 27
 27
 28
 28
0004
 01
                              I N D E X
 01
 02 Agenda Item Number:
                                                        Page
 02
 03 1 - Approval of the minutes of the
                                                          8
 03
         Regular Meeting of November 30, 2001
 04
                                                          8
 04 2 - Discussion and Action by the Board on
```

05 05 06 06 07		the Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of Capitol Racing, LLC (H) at Cal-Expo, commencing March 1 through July 27, 2002 inclusive.	
07 08 08 09	3 -	Discussion and Action by the Board on the Request of Bay Meadows and Golden Gate Fields to amend the Board approved 2002 Race Dates	10
10 10 11 11	4 -	Discussion and Action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct Advance Deposit Wagering by Youbet.com	12
12 12 13 13 14 14	5 -	Discussion and Action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct Advance Deposit Wagering by 20014 Delaware, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Magna Entertainment Corporation	34
15 15 16 16 17	6 -	Discussion and Action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct Advance Deposit Wagering by ODS Technologies, L.P. d/b/a TVG	109
17 18 18 19	7 -	Discussion and Action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct Advance Deposit Wagering by Autotote Enterprises, Inc.	156 c.
19 20 20 21 21	8 -	Discussion and Action by the Board on the License Amendment for the Los Angeles Turf club to add the Kentucky Derby Future Wager to the pari-mutuel format	175
22 22 23 23 24 24 25 26 26 27 27 28 28 0005	9 -	Discussion and Action by the Board on the request of the Bay Meadows Operating Company to retroactively amend its license for the Spring and Fall meets of 2001. The amendment would designate the Bay Meadows Foundation at the charity days distributing agent	
01 01 02		I N D E X (Continued)	PAGE
02 03 03 04 04	10	- Discussion and Action by the of the request that Hollywood Park Charity, Incorporated to distribute \$233,100 in Charity Books two 40 Beneficiary's	180
05	11	- Staff Reports	181
05 06	12	- Committee Reports	184

```
06
07
    13 - General Business
                                                      185
07
80
08
09
09
10
                       ATTACHMENTS
10
                                                      PAGE
11
11
12
          4 Letters submitted from Edward Halpern
                                                      74
12
13
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
17
17
18
18
19
19
20
 20
 21
 21
 22
 22
 23
 23
24
 24
 25
 25
26
26
27
27
28
28
0006
01
         Monrovia, California, Thursday, January 24, 2002
02
                             10:34 a.m.
03
04
05
           MR. WOOD, JR.: Good morning Ladies and Gentlemen
06 and welcome to the regular scheduled meeting of the
07 California Horse Racing Board. I'd like to respectively
08 request that everyone please take their seats, so we can
09 begin with this morning's meeting.
                   As I stated earlier, this is a regularly
10
11 scheduled meeting of the California Horse Racing Board.
12 It's being conducted on Thursday, January the 24th, at the
13 Holiday Inn in Monrovia, California.
                  Present at today's meeting are
14
```

```
15 Chairman Alan Landsburg, Vice-Chairman Roger Licht,
    Commissioner William Bianco, Commissioner Sheryl
    Granzella, Commissioner Marie Moretti, and
 17
    Commissioner John Sperry. Commissioner John Harris will
     join the meeting in progress. He's been tied up in
 20
    traffic, and will hopefully be on his way.
 21
                   Before we go forward with the meeting this
    morning, I would respectfully request that if you have
 22
 23
    testimony to give in front of this Board, that you please
    state your name and your association for our court
    reporter. We're having very tight quarters this morning,
    and we ask everyone to please respect a quiet and do
 27
    respect when people are speaking because we have a very
    tight quarters and everyone's going to be -- have a chance
 28
0007
 01 to speak, but you need to state your name and your
 02
    association when you start talking in front of the Board.
 03
                  With that I would like to turn this over to
 04 our Chairman, Mr. Alan Landsburg.
 05
           MR. LANDSBURG: One of the first orders of
 06 business, I would like to introduce the newest member of
    the California Horse Racing Board, Mr. John Sperry.
 80
                  Mr. Sperry has a long association in the
 09
    labor movement. He is President of the United Food and
 10 Commercial Workers Union, Local 324, and he's been with
    them since 1959. He is also a horseman, which is also a
    delight to hear. He holds racing licenses in California,
    Arizona, and New Mexico. Mr. Sperry also serves as a
 13
    member of the Joint Labor Management Committee. He was in
    the UFCW, that's United Food and Commercial Workers
    International Presidential Appointee of the Civil Rights
 17
    Committee in 1984, and he is a Marine, so be careful
 18
    (unintelligible).
 19
                  We welcome him. Mr. Sperry, it's good to
 20
    see you on our Board.
 21
           MR. SPERRY: Thank you very much.
 2.2
                   (Applause)
 23
           MR. LANDSBURG: As a side note -- excuse me.
    side note to that, I believe for the first time in a
    long time, we're looking at a Board that, given life going
     smoothly, will be with you for at least the next two years.
 27
    So beware.
 28
                  Moving to our agenda, which is long and happy
8000
    in a room that I've nicknamed, with some arbitrary feeling,
 02
    the room of "Greed and Need".
 03
                   We're moving on to the minutes of our last
 04
    meeting and asking for the approval of the minutes of our
    regular meeting, November 30th, 2001.
 06
                  Do we have any changes or suggestions?
 07
            MR. LICHT: -- I'll move for the approval.
           MS. MORETTI: Seconded.
 80
 09
            MR. LANDSBURG: Moved and seconded.
 10
                   All in favor?
 11
            THE BOARD: Aye.
 12
            MR. LANDSBURG: Moved. Those minutes are approved
 13
    as written.
 14
                   The next item for business is discussion and
    actions by the Board on the Application for License to
 15
    Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of Capitol Racing, LLC
```

```
17 at Cal-Expo, commencing March 1st through July 27th.
           MS. WAGNER: The Application for License to Conduct
19 a Horse Racing Meeting of Capitol Racing, LLC at Cal-Expo.
    They are proposing to race from March 1st through July
    27th, 2002. The association is proposing to
 22 race 90 nights with 1,095 races or 11.7 races per night.
 23
                  They are requesting that if sufficient
 24 horses are available, they would like to race three
    additional days, those being July 10th, 17th, and 24th,
 25
    for a total 93 nights. They will be racing five nights
    per week, Wednesday through Sunday in March and April; and
    four nights per week, Wednesday through Saturday in May
0009
 01 and July, that would be 15 races per night.
                                                  The first
 02 post time is 5:35 p.m. daily.
 03
                   The analysis indicates that they're missing
 04 the fire clearance, that has been received. And staff
 05 would recommend that the Board approve the application as
 06 presented.
 07
           MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. And are there any
 08 further comments about this license?
 09
                  Jackie, would you come a little closer to the
10 microphone.
11
                  Can you hear in back? Just checking to be
12 sure everybody is hearing.
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
13
14
           MR. LANDSBURG: No. So Jackie, would you come
    closer to your microphone. Thanks. And for people that
15
    are making statements, please let them have that
    microphone fairly close. It is a crowded room and bodies
 17
 18
    absorb a lot of sound.
 19
                   Coming back, is there a discussion of this
 20
    license?
 21
                  And Mr. Horowitz, (phonetic) do you have more
 22
    to sav?
 23
           MR. HOROWITZ: Alan Horowitz, Capitol Racing. No.
    I don't have anything more to say, but I'd be glad to
    answer any questions.
 26
           MR. LANDSBURG: Are there any questions or comments
 27
    concerning this license application?
 28
           MS. MORETTI: No. But I would like to make a
0010
 01 comment Mr. Chairman just as a --
 02
            MR. LANDSBURG: I'm not sure if (unintelligible).
 03
            MS. MORETTI: Just as a Sacramento area resident, I
    just wanted to congratulate Alan and his staff. The night
    racing has been, I think, rather successful and
 06
    well-received by the entire region. So I say keep up the
 07
    good work.
            MR. LANDSBURG: Any further comments?
08
                   In that case, I encourage a motion to approve
09
 10
    the Application.
 11
            MR. BIANCO: I make a motion.
           MS. GRANZELLA: I second it.
MR. LANDSBURG: Mr. Bianco just moved, and seconded
 12
 13
 14 by Ms. Granzella. Sorry, Sheryl (unintelligible).
 15
                   All in favor?
 16
            THE BOARD: Aye.
 17
            MR. LANDSBURG: Opposed?
 18
                   The application is approved. Thank you.
```

```
19
                  Next Item on the agenda is discussion and
20 action by the Board on the request of Bay Meadows and
    Golden Gate Fields to amend the Board the approved 2002
22 race dates.
           MR. REAGAN: Good morning Commissioners, John
24 Reagan, CHRB staff, that's R-E-A-G-A-N.
25
                  The essence of this request is to move one
26 week from Bay Meadows to Golden Gate Fields. Specifically,
27
    that week would be November 6th through November 11, 2002.
    The request is a joint request from Bay Meadows and
0011
01 Pacific. We have no input from the horsemen at this point
02 and others perhaps might be of some interest, though we
    would recommend you hear from others before, to see if
04 there is any discussion on this request.
05
           MR. LANDSBURG: Well, we are open to discussion on
06 this request. Does this fall inside or outside the
07 Breeders' Cup, just out of curiosity. Does this date fall
08 into -- I don't know the dates for 2002.
09
           MR. REAGAN: No. No, it doesn't.
10
           MR. LANDSBURG: It doesn't. It's already done by
11 the time we get there?
12
           MR. REAGAN: Yes.
13
           MR. LANDSBURG: Any further discussion of this?
14 Thank you. Mr. Van de Kamp.
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: John Van de Kamp on behalf of the
15
          We have no objection to this transfer date.
16
    Basically equalizes the number of dates that each track
17
    will have. And that, I believe, as Mr. Tunney will
18
    indicate, has sort of been the history of Northern
20 California until recently; is that correct?
           MR. TUNNEY: Correct.
22
           MR. LANDSBURG: It is simply -- is there any other
23 reason or rationale for wanting to make this move, just
    out of curiosity?
25
           MR. TUNNEY: Peter Tunney, representing Magna
26 Entertainment Corporation of California.
27
                  No. This is clearly just to equalize the
28 calendar.
               What happened was when the arduous task that the
0012
01 staff had to go through putting together the calendars for
    this year, it just got out of wack and wasn't timely to
03 bring it up, and so we knew we'd adjust it later. Thank
04 you.
05
           MR. LANDSBURG: Until the race dates committee
06 comes back at session; right?
07
           MR. TUNNEY: Well, we wanted to get it over before
80
    that. No. (Unintelligible) the last of our
09
    (unintelligible).
10
           MR. LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. Are there any other
11 discussions with this request?
12
                  Can we entertain a motion to approve?
13
           MS. MORETTI: I'll move to approve this motion.
           MR. BIANCO: I second the motion.
14
15
           MR. LANDSBURG: Okay. Second by Commissioner
16 Bianco. All in a favor?
           THE BOARD: Aye.
17
18
           MR. LANDSBURG: Opposed?
19
                  If everything were this easy, life would be
20 good (unintelligible).
```

21 MR. TUNNEY: Thank you.

22

23

24

26

27

28

0013

03

04

06

07

13

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

28

01

07

10

11

12

13

15

And item 4, discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct Advance Deposit Wagering from Youbet.com.

MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. Youbet has filed this application to participate as an out-of-state multi-jurisdictional wagering hub for the purpose of advance deposit wagering. They are proposing to operate

01 seven days a week. Their hours of operation will be approximately 7:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. Pacific Standard 02 Time.

Youbet contracts with Television Games 0.5 Network, or TVG, as we'll refer to it from now on. And they use TVG's wagering technology, and their automated telephone applications for the acceptance of online 08 pari-mutuel wagers of horse racing on the following 09 associations: The Los Alamitos Racing Association, 10 Churchill Downs, which is at Hollywood Park, Del Mar, Los 11 Angeles County Fair at Fairplex, and Oak Tree Racing 12 Association.

There are still a number of items needed to 14 complete this application. We have yet to receive a contract with TVG. We have yet to receive contracts with the racing associations. We have not received horsemen's approvals, nor have we received the \$500 financial security that is a requirement -- \$500,000 financial security, which is a requirement.

Staff would recommend that the Board defer this application until we receive those items.

MR. LANDSBURG: Before I ask for discussion, I'd like to make a statement on behalf of the Board.

(Reading) "These next four Items on the agenda represent an historic step in California racing history. In a moment we'll undertake considerations and deliberations centered on the licensing with advance deposit wagering hubs to serve California. 0014

Advance deposit wagering licenses, if 02 approved by the Board, will take their place as what some would call, absolute necessities to ensure California's racing survival. At least that's what I've been told. But we have a history of white knights who think like (unintelligible), whose enactments promise to rescue the racing. First came Sunday racing, then exotic wagering, then offtrack wagering, license relief and then today's simulcast wagering. Sadly they only become hospices on the apparent road to racing's demise.

So history makes me weary. I do want to see ADW takes its place -- I do not want to see ADW take its place among those tarnished white knights. It's my fervent hope instead, that when and if instituted, it will be the turning point to a great future.

The Board's sworn concerns is the three-fold 17 protection of the California public, state of California, 18 and lastly, the California racing industry, and all of its 19 components. In preparing for ADW, the Board 20 representatives found willing and able assistance from

21 virtually every part of the industry. The regulations for 22 ADW were promulgated, discussed, sent to AOL, and

23 received back in record time, and now, we arrive at the crossroads. 25 The activities to ensure ADW triggered a legislative for us to make it possible. There followed by 26 the CHRB actions, which created a path. A desire for ADW 27 28 has been evidenced by our current (unintelligible). 0015 01 Pressure's building to get it underway. If it is done in 02 slipshod fashion, we will likely waste the pinup public relations opportunity. By the time it's right we'll be back to simply cannibalizing in the entrenched 05 (unintelligible). So we must lead surely and comfortably 06 into this new, brave new opportunity. 07 Is this going to be another slice-and-dice 08 or fan base? They are largely gray, tired and bent by the 09 (unintelligible) be careful. 10 The promise of ADW is the possibility that 11 racing will finally have the means to pursue a new 12 audience through mass media, and the mass media 13 presentation of our products. It should not be haphazard. It should not be hit-and-miss. It cannot be given lip 15 service and then not delivered. 16 And finally, it might be intelligently 17 presented so that new fans can have a simple and direct framework in which to enjoy racing. It must create heroes and interest the people. That interest in the people are the horses. So that the stands can fill with new 20 audiences who enjoy the racing and the wagering. 21 So we must first lead this (unintelligible) 2.2 new audience to our sport. If ADW doesn't supply the 23 24 engine, nothing will. 25 I personally met with some executives of 26 potential licensees. I've heard promises of new 27 (unintelligible). I've also heard the grief complaints 28 and statements lauded by greed of self-interest. What I 0016 01 haven't heard is inspiring visions of how to turn this 02 last corner. I haven't heard why deposit wagering can be the salvation of racing. I haven't heard why and how 04 benefits will accrue to the State, the people of 05 California and the industry, and the thousands of (unintelligible) as well as horsemen whose livelihood is 07 derived from racing. So we'll now proceed to look at 08 what's being offered. I for one, wanted to be assured that what we 09 10 are enacting is a rebirth and not last rites. 11 I will now turn the agenda Item to 12 Vice-Chairman Licht. I'm recusing from considerations of Youbet's license applications, since I once served on the 13 company's Board. I hasten to add that I resigned prior to 14 accepting the appointment to the CHRB, and for the record, 15 16 have no financial interest in the company. 17 Further, I made no profit in 18 (unintelligible). In fact, I lost the money. And for purposes of full disclosure, I learned last week that one member of my family, my sister, holds 100 shares of 21 Youbet, purchased years ago, and not sold. Because as she 22 said, it was too just too little to worry about." 23 Commissioner Licht, I will now turn this over 2.4 and recuse from this.

25 (Commissioner Harris arrives) 26 MR. LICHT: Thank you, Alan. 27 We've had the opportunity to review all of 28 the applications with respect to Youbet, which is our 0017 01 current agenda Item. The number one concern is the financial security. There's been no evidence presented to 0.2 us of the \$500,000 bond that's required. I'd like to ask Ron Luniewski or someone from Youbet to address 05 that issue. 06 MR. WOOD: We need to reflect for the record 07 also Mr. -- that Chairman -- that Mr. Harris has 0.8 (unintelligible). 09 MR. LUNIEWSKI: Ron Luniewski with Youbet.com. Roger, 10 an answer to your question, we submit -- just to give you a little history of the bond issue and on the other 11 12 outstanding issues. 13 We believe that all those were solved and it 14 was just -- these things are simply a matter of timing, but we do appreciate that the Board and staff had moved with such speed on this thing, and a lot of, the quote, paperwork is catching up. 18 The bond, in particularly, we filed our 19 application on 11/15. It's saying that we do a letter of 20 credit. On January 17th, we received word back that 21 doesn't work. You need to put up a bond. 22 We have now submitted a bond and it is our 23 understanding that simply the condition is to fill out the paperwork and B of A will have the money there within 48 hours, and we sent it to you guys on the 17th. So I think we have a disconnect there, but we are prepared to solve that today. That's not an issue. MR. LICHT: Well, I read the letter from Bank of 28 0018 01 America, I believe, that you are talking about, the January letter. I don't think it says it's an unconditional assurance that they're going to post the 04 bond for you. And also the law requires us to have -actually to have that bond in hand before we can approve 06 an application, unless your reading of it is different 07 than mine. 80 MR. LUNIEWSKI: My understanding was and -- candidly, 09 Roger, I didn't focus on the details, but what my legal 10 counsel told me is that it was unconditional, and that the 11 CHRB could call it now, we have the -- we still own interest on that money, and you will be notified if we try to do something with that, you know, which we obviously never would. I mean, look the bottom line if this is the first we heard that, we'll just do whatever, quote, a 15 regular bond is, ASAP. That's not an issue for us at all. 16 17 We were just trying to, you know, do what's in the best interest for everybody. So that's --18 19 MR. LICHT: Okay. There's also a second issue 20 with respect to the contract with the racing association. I understand, from reading your papers and 22 talking with you, that you have basically a sublicense from TVG. Now, again, I haven't seen any document that straight out says that it's -- that it is a consent by TVG 25 to allow you to use their contract with a racing association, number one. And number two, and I don't

think we have to get to that today, is -- I don't see 28 anything in the law that gives you the authority to 0019 01 basically sublicense someone else's rights and bootstrap 02 your application that way into approval. 03 MR. LUNIEWSKI: Let me give you -- once again, on the 04 request for the TVG contract, we received that on Monday 05 and we sent the contracts out. We brought several copies of the contracts with us because we recognized that was an 07 The actual contract itself. 0.8 And I do want to note that when we did 09 submit our application, the TVG contract was in the 10 application, although it was within our second quarter 11 filings. We made that document public. So the Board has 12 had that since 11/15, but we do recognize it was buried 13 and que'd. 14 That said, the broad framework with the TVG 15 relationship, is that TVG has signed relationships with, 16 you know, tracks such as Los Alamitos, Del Mar, Oak Tree, 17 and Santa Anita, that are tenure in nature. 18 TVG granted us licensing rights to that 19 content being the signal and the wagering, honoring under 20 interactive platforms. 21 The racetracks have signed consents to that which we have submitted to the Board, saying that the racetrack were fine, that they consented. Um, once, again, Roger, just thinking last 24 25 minute, we also have received opinion from our counsel, Christian, Jacobs, Miller and Shapiro that those -- the way we've done that, the sublicensing giving consents from 28 the racetrack, they don't see any issues that are 0020 01 statutory or legal in nature. 02 So we believe we've solved that issue on -on regulatory issues. On top of that, we're working with all the horsemen groups, and to date we received a letter that we literally just got last night from the Quarter 06 Horse folks and we're in discussions the Thoroughbred Owners of California and we're very optimistic that we can 07 08 get something done in just the next couple of days. 09 MR. LICHT: And the last issue that I have is --10 and maybe TVG needs to answer this, is in TVG's agreements with the tracks, I didn't see anything specifically that granted the right to sublicense or their rights to another hub. So I quess you're saying basically, that by law, the contract will be assignable provided that 15 that race association consented to it? 16 MR. LUNIEWSKI: In which they've done, that's 17 correct. 18 MR. LICHT: Then I'm correct there's no specific 19 clause in the agreement that allows for assignment in. MR. LUNIEWSKI: You're probably correct that TVG will 20 21 have to comment on that. I wouldn't know. 22 MR. LICHT: Could you John or Mark? 23 MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Licht. 24 MR. LICHT: Please identify for the --25 MR. WILSON: Yes, Mark Wilson, Chief Executive 26 Officer of the TVG. There's an issue under the contract as to 2.7

whether or not we have the rights to do an assignment. We

```
0021
 01 didn't choose to view that issue from a legal perspective.
 02 We simply went out to our track partners and asked them to
    consent to the relationship, and those that did. Youbet
    has the rights now to take wagers on their races.
 05
            MR. LUNIEWSKI: So once again, Commissioner Licht,
 06 back to the point is that -- (unintelligible) quickly we
 07
    do have the correct consents that there would be no
 80
    statutory requirements, which is maybe a timing issue.
            MR. LICHT:
09
                        Any comments from any of the other
 10
    commissioners?
 11
           MR. WOOD: Ron, do you have a contract with
 12
    the MEC or Santa Anita Group at this time?
 13
            MR. LUNIEWSKI: No, I do not.
 14
           MR. WOOD: Do you have a contract with the
 15 horsemen's association, thoroughbreds who are racing at
 16 Santa Anita at this time?
 17
            MR. LUNIEWSKI: No, that's why -- I do
 18 (unintelligible) just to clarify -- um, and let me make
 19
    sure I -- Youbet.com holds a license in Oregon. And then
 20 we are basically on a vendor relationship with Magna who
 21 holds a license in Pennsylvania. I'm here seeking a
    license for the Oregon license that I have because that's
    the license I have. The Santa Anita content is not
 24 available there, as we all we know for business reasons.
                  Um, the TOC, we sat for the first time with
 25
    John, Drew and Ron Charles on Saturday. They made a
 26
    proposal. We countered to that proposal, and you know,
    and as I spoke to John last night, I understand if we can
 28
0022
 01 simply we can get it on a table, we can get a deal done.
 02
                   I want to make one thing clear, we have a
    record for this, it's always been Youbet views that the
    horsemen -- you know, the content is king and the horsemen
    drive that content; and we believe that, you know, at a
 06 minimum with ADW the horsemen should remain whole, and we
    think that with Youbet.com especially the out-of-state
 80
    wagering that we can really help these guys.
 09
                   Once again, it's just, you know, we're
10 trying to catch up to this. We were a little bit
 11
    (unintelligible) thinking maybe we weren't going to get a
    license until February or March, and then frankly, it was
    like last Tuesday or Wednesday, we started realizing that
    this was going to happen and started trying to catch up to
    all these folks. And that's why I think the four
16
    outstanding items are related to, just simply that.
17
                         Have you done any studies on what the
            MR. LICHT:
18
    impact of your service might be on California labor and
 19
    how many jobs might be lost or gained to Californians as a
    result of Youbet?
20
 21
            MR. LUNIEWSKI: No, roger. We have not done anything
 22 specifically, but if maybe you can bear with me, and just
    kind of give you a two-minute overview of Youbet and just
    our views and what we've learned. Because frankly, we've been in this business since 1998, and we're the longest
    out there. We currently have about 20,000 subscribers.
 27
    We've wagered over $250 million dollars through our
 28
    system.
```

0023

02 best technology, the best platform for the interactive 03 distribution channel, mainly led by the Internet today. 04 believe that the interactive distribution channel for horse racing is going to expand and other appliances, like wireless will come into play. 06 07 If you look at the Youbet product, Roger, 80 and I'll get into that. I think that California we employ 09 70 people in California today. As we grow the business, 10 we're going to grow more people. 11 We're sitting here with a massive threat from offshore gaming. We have a lot of our fans who've 12 gone offshore, none of us are seeing any of that money. 13 We are constantly competing online with other forms of 14 15 entertainment, such as the NFL, baseball, fantasy sports. 16 If you look at the Youbet product offering, quite frankly, 17 I think that we can really go after those two areas and 18 make a difference for racing. 19 Just let me give you one example. This is a 20 hypothetical thing, but, you know, here's where we're 21 missing the boat. Kid graduates from UCLA. He's 22 year's old. He sat in his dorm room, who's been seen with 23 his buddies watching the U-2 concert in Dublin, Ireland. Why? Because it's cool to watch U-2 from where U-2 came from, Dublin, Ireland. 26 That media that he's using, the Internet, is a transparent to him, okay. He is a fantasy baseball 27 player. He's a statistical nut. He's playing baseball. 28 0024 01 We market him correctly, which we know how to do. a lot of mistakes. We've done a lot of things right marketing to people. We believe we know how to reach those guys. We can turn them onto horse racing in that medium. Fast forward that 10 years now, he may be a \$2.00 better. He may not be a bettor. He may be an owner. He 07 may be a big player. Well, we believe in that media. We 0.8 can reach those guys and we got the best products. 09 To indirectly answer your question, we did a 10 survey of our people -- it's coming off on about 20 months 11 ago, so it's a little old -- but a little over 20 percent 12 of our people said they've never been at a racetrack or an 13 off track betting facility, less than twice a year. So we are beginning to reach the new fan. And that's why we're excited about coming into California. We think there's 16 one game for everybody. 17 As it relates to horsemen, I mean, we are 18 going to have to work together. It's going to be a partnership. We're going to have to look at how the 19 20 numbers are -- because I'm a golfer, we're probably going 21 to adjust on the back 9. I mean, you know, (unintelligible) but that's our general approach and philosophy. And as I said, if you look at the four issues 23 that are on the table, we believe that every one of those are solved today. And we're ready to go. We're ready to after the offshore guys. We're ready to go after the new fans. 27 28 MR. HARRIS: I don't understand -- believe --0025 01 they're not really solved and you really don't have the 02 horsemen's approval today?

MR. LUNIEWSKI: Well, we -- on the Los Alamitos side,

03

04 we have TVG consent and approval from the Quarter Horse 05 horsemen. We are working with the TOC. I'm sure that 06 Mr. Van de Kamp will come up and give his views to where -- and he'll tell you that we're working in good faith. And I'm -- you know, see what John has to say. 09 And the other folks that we've reached out to is the harness side, the Cal-Expo. We've got a good relationship 10 11 with those folks.

MR. LICHT: I think that, from -- speaking from 13 myself personally, I'm not comfortable approving the application if it's not complete in full as it is. I wouldn't recommended that we turn down the application, but I would -- my personal recommendation is that we would defer it until the application is complete. And obviously, I'd like to hear from the other commissioners 19 with respect to that.

MS. MORETTI: Excuse me, Mr. Chair, I would agree 21 with you. My -- I certainly agree with our witness 22 here, that the notion that the threat of these offshore 23 hubs coming in and taking money away from California, was 24 certainly one of the reasons why I think the legislature 25 and the Governor were interested in passing the ADW. But 26 at the same time, my attitude is that there's just too 27 many issues here that are unresolved and I would not want 28 to rush into anything until everything has come in in 0026

terms of what we've -- all the work that's gone into putting these applications together. They need to be filled out before we can approve them.

MR. LUNIEWSKI: I agree with you, Commissioner. 05 A suggestion would be though, that we feel as though that, you know, literally it's paperwork, and some are between this table and that table. And, you know -- but if we can validate the bond, it seems to the biggest outstanding issue, we're ready to go. Maybe we can delegate to the 10 Executive Director.

MR. HARRIS: I think one issue though is that we 12 have a whole group of interest in racing that need to be 13 able to understand what's going on before we proceed. We just quite aren't there yet. I think everyone should get something going, but we need to really have to complete applications so the public in large can really review before we proceed.

MR. LICHT: The bond is really a very important thing. That was one of the things that we put 20 forth as one of our key regulations to make sure that the 21 betting public and the tracks are protected from 22 deterioration of the pool.

MR. LUNIEWSKI: I then will express my frustration 24 there. We brought our application on 11/15. We were notified on January 17th, that the line of credit -- I'm sorry not on the 17th. November, we were notified on January 17th that the letter of credit didn't work. We moved forward in great haste to solve that issue. Thank 0027

01 you.

12

15 16

17

18

20

01

03

04

80

11

15

17

19

23

02 MR. HASSON: I can uh, answer some further 03 questions on the topic.

Joe Hasson, Youbet.com. Joe Hasson, 05 H-A-S-S-O-N. I can answer some further questions on the

06 issue with the bond and financial security, if you wish. 07 MR. LICHT: I mean, as far as I'm concerned 08 personally, and other people (unintelligible) because the law is very clear, it is has to be a bond, period. doesn't say financial security, I believe and --10 11 MR. HASSON: Well, they're other financial 12 security. That's what it says. MR. LICHT: 13 Right. So what are you afraid -- what are you worried about? 15 MR. HASSON: Well, what we did when we were notified on the 11th of January, that it's, you know 16 (unintelligible) letter of credit was insufficient. We 17 18 immediately proceeded to resolve the issue. We contacted 19 some insurance agents. We found that bonds would take 20 several weeks. So we decided to just put up the money. 21 We contacted Bank of America and asked them if they could 22 facilitate that, and they said they could. 23 Um, I contacted the CHRB and asked them if a 24 CD, Certificate of Deposit would be sufficient collateral, 25 and they said, yes, it would be. We immediately proceeded to have Bank of America put together the paperwork, and we forwarded that paperwork to the CHRB on January 17th. We 28 just need to have it signed, and then we can get this 0028 certificate put together and -- as collateral. And 0.1 obviously, if you go through the agreement in you're -and CHRB's a party to this agreement, and Bank of America is the intermediary to make sure that you're notified and 05 this collateral is protected. And if that wasn't sufficient, you know, give 06 07 us some guidance on what is sufficient, so we can post the 80 CD, and we'd more than happy to comply, immediately. 09 MR. LICHT: Roy, can you address that. 10 MR. WOOD: Well, I think they need to let John 11 answer that question. I think we discussed that with them, John Reagan, and I do believe that we were told today, that prior to this meeting that the bond would be 13 14 brought to us and be delivered, but it's not here. 15 John; isn't that correct? 16 MR. REAGAN: Yes. The documentation that was 17 forward to us required a countersign by the CHRB, and it was a several page document, legalese, and we were not prepared to sign that document. MR. HASSON: We understand, you know, give us some guidance here and we'll deliver the things that you have 22 asked for. Well, we'll be happy to that, but John 2.3 MR. LICHT: will work with you. I'm not speaking for John, but certainly in the direction from -- meet with the Board to work with you to try and get your application. 27 And I believe Ron said that you really only 28 anticipated getting your license by February or March 0029 anyway, that's why some of things are late, so I guess that maybe your anticipation will come true. 03 MR. HASSON: I hope so. 04 MR. VAN DE KAMP: Mr. Chair, John Van de Kamp of 05 TOC. I wanted to step in here, at least comment about the application of Youbet, and I don't differ, I think, with your recommendation.

80 But I would like to just say that our TOC 09 committee that's been mentioned, Mr. Couto, Mr. Charles, and myself visited with Mr. Luniewski, at their site. Spent some time with them. In fairness to them, Ron approached 11 me down in Tucson, I think it was, or thereabouts, about 13 trying to work this thing through.

14

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

0.5

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

28 0031

03

04

We regard Youbet's product as a distribution channel. We belive there should be many distribution channels. The problem, and I get back to what Mr. Landsburg has said, is that they tend to play to existing betters. At the same time they've developed one of the more sophisticated Internet like products and there's some potential. I don't want to put this in black letters, but to -- as Mr. Luniewski said, perhaps get some new fans into the game before basically Internet oriented.

All that aside, as TOC approached all three 24 of the entities, who'll be here this morning, we made a decision that we would try to price the different entities based on what we thought their level of distribution was.

27 I mean, if you remember, the movie "Jerry 28 McGuire, " "Show me the money, " basically, was -- remember 0030

01 Cuba Gooding's statements in that? We're saying, "Show us the distribution." Because we fully agree that distribution is king here, and has to be broad.

We have an audience of 22 million adults in California that we've been provided the opportunity to reach. We're not, frankly, very happy with any of the proposals that we're going to see today, in the sense that they are getting out there to the maximum extent. We also believe though that they're probably -- we need to get a start. We need to encourage these groups to get going, and to develop that kind of distribution and we've come up with proposed short-term agreements that can be adjusted if that distribution expanded.

With respect to Youbet, we have had friendly discussions, I think, with Mr. Lenesky, and we are apart right now, but we're willing and we talked about this in the last 24 hours, to go back to the table, and certainly in the next month and see if we can work something out that's fair to the people that we do represent, the 20 horsemen of California. And so I think that's about all that could be said at this point.

Well, I would like to encourage Youbet MR. LICHT: to work with John Reagan and with TOC and solve these -what we see as missing or what I see as missing issues in your application and to update the application for our next meeting. I think the areas are obvious with the bond or the financial security. The TOC, and the uh -- being the agreement with horsemen, and the assignment or

whatever you're using as your contract with a live race meet, to make sure that's really clear and understandable to all of us in advance of the meeting.

I mean, any comments from Bill?

05 MR. BIANCO: I'd like to just want to find out what the state of California's economy right now. I'd like to find out just how many jobs you're going to create in the 07 state of California, or how many are we going to, you know, lose in the state of California?

10 MR. LUNIEWSKI: (unintelligible) just to be clear. We are currently headquartered in California. I guess, as I mentioned before, we employ 70 employees. 12 The macro answer is, as our business grows we 13 14 will add more people, as it relates to running our 15 operations, including customer service, what we call racing operations and the software developed. So we'll certainly 16 17 employ people there. 18 We have seen in our past operating history 19 that as it relates to the current fan, we increased our frequency, but we don't detract from them going to the 21 racetrack. People still like going to the racetrack, and 22 you know, socializing on a Saturday and Sunday. So we don't necessarily believe that there will be any impact to 23 24 the racetracks. 25 If you look where I work today, I'm in 26 Woodland Hills. If it's a Wednesday, and I want to place wager, I've got to drive to the Ventura County OTB or 28 Hollywood Park. You know, it's probably two-hour round 0032 01 trip. I'm just not going to go, but if I can do that while I'm in my office, I'll do that. So that remains in the increased frequency. And we believe that we would actually lift the overall jobs in racing because I am a horse racing company. Well, I'd like to have the 06 MR. LICHT: Board -- I don't need if we need a motion, Tom, to defer 07 ruling on this application to our next meeting so the 80 09 application can be updated. Do we need a motion for that effect or do we just -- okay. Ron.
MR. LICCARDO: Good morning. Ron Liccardo of 10 11 12 Pari-Mutuel Employees of California. Everybody -- we have 13 four items listed here needed to be completed. 14 MR. LANDSBURG: Please use the microphone, Ron. 15 can you get to it? Thank you. MR. LICCARDO: Lower it for me. They have four 16 17 items listed. I don't see the item listed where it says it has to satisfy provisions of AB471, that says anything 18 19 about labor. 20 Now, I don't think it says in there that 21 labor has to be adjusted on the back nine after everything takes place. I believe labor should at least be talked to, and we should know what is in the future for jobs or what is in the present for jobs for us, not to be adjusted 25 later on. 26 So we're vehemently opposed to Youbet.com's 27 application. Thank you. 28 MR. BLONIEN: Mr. Chairman, my name is Rod Blonien, 0033 01 representing the Los Alamitos Quarter Horse Racing Association. Most of the conversation this morning has 03 dealt with the Thoroughbred situation. 04 I would like you to focus on the Quarter 05 Horse part of the coin. We have an agreement with our horsemen on behalf of TVG and Youbet. Dr. Allred has 07 already consented to the assignment and we would like it to 08 begin as soon as possible. 09 We would respectfully request that you

10 consider granting a limited license, so that they could 11 take advance deposit wagers on races emanating from Los

```
12 Alamitos. And Further conditions of the license on
    satisfying requirements relating to the bond.
                  As you know, Mr. Licht, that you spent a lot
    of time, staff has spent a lot of time trying to move this
15
    along as fast as we could. It would seem that it would be
17
    good to continue to move it along. I think the
18
    requirement as it pertains to Los Alamitos is technical.
19
    It's important, and I think they can provide you with the
    surety that you need, and we would ask you that issue a
21
    limited license for Los Al, conditions on them, giving you
    the surety that you need. Thank you.
23
           MR. LICHT:
                        I appreciate your comments and I don't
24 think the law gives us that latitude, at least that's
2.5
    my reading, Tom. I think it requires certain -- there are
    certain requirements we have to fulfill. Again, I'd like
    to defer this application. I'd like to hear a motion
28 unless someone with a contrary opinion is (unintelligible).
0034
01
           MR hARRIS: I move that we table it to the next
02 meeting.
03
           MR. BIANCO: I second it.
04
           MR. LICHT:
                       Seconded? All in favor?
05
           THE BOARD: Aye.
           MR. LANDSBURG: I abstain.
06
07
           MR. LICHT:
                       Okay. We'll do the best we can to
    encourage the staff to work with you to make sure
80
    everything is complete for the next -- right, John?
09
           MR. REAGAN: Absolutely. (unintelligible)
10
           MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. I'm now back to feeling
11
12
    comfortable about talking.
13
                  Our next is the Item 5, Discussion and action
14 by the Board on the Application for license to conduct
    Advance Deposit Wagering by 2014 Delaware Incorporated, a
16
    wholly-owned subsidiary of Magna Entertainment Corporation.
17
           MR. LICHT:
                        20,000.
18
           MR. LANDSBURG: So 20,014, sorry. Jackie would you
19
    give the staff report.
20
           MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
21 application before you is from the 20,014 Delaware
2.2
    Incorporated. They have filed an application to function
23 as a California multi-jurisdictional wagering hub or
   California hub. If granted the term of the license is two
    years and that will begin once the application is
    approved.
27
                  It should be noted that staff did receive
28 documentation effective 1/18, January 18 of this year.
0035
01
    20,014 Delaware Incorporated has changed their name to
02 XpressBet, that should be noted for the record. They're
    proposing dates of operation from January 1 through
03
    December the 31st inclusive. Their hours of operation will
    be during all times races are run, which could be up to
05
    24-hours a day. Their hub will provide services for the
    following associations: LATC at Santa Anita; Capitol
    Racing LLC at Cal-Expo; Bay Meadows Race Course; and
09 Pacific Racing Association at Golden Gate Field.
10
                  The analysis in your package indicates
11 outstanding items. I'd like you to know that we have
12 received the contract with the racing associations. We
   have received the contract with Mountain Morrow Racing
```

14 Incorporated, the Washington Trotting Association. We 15 have received the contract or Letter of Intent with Track 16 Play LLC, and the gentlemen that needed to be licensed by 17 the California Horse Racing Board have indeed been licensed. This morning we did receive Horsemen's Approval 19 from the TOC concerning this application. And we just had 20 one concern, and that concern was for the period of between 21 July 28th through 29th is not covered in the agreements. 22 At this point staff would recommend that the Board hear 23 from Magna.

MR. WOOD: We do have that bond?

MS. WAGNER: Yes.

24

25

26

2.7

28

0036 01

> 02 03

04

05

0.8

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

28

0037

MR. LANDSBURG: Can we have some discussion. there discussion of this -- I have a number of things I would like to say, are there audience discussion first?

Our prime concerns -- I've indicated once before, California has the opportunity to lead racing into the survival world of the 21st century.

If California racing can somehow join forces to share individual dreams of wealth and create and this is where I feel that we are -- we may be in a position to miss the boat. Unless we have concentrated programs and media exposure that will bring excitement into racing to a new generation, we should not go forward. If we do have the ability to create exposure based on what we're doing here, then I would look at it differently, but it is still exposure of this Board, beyond the limit of the people who are in those stands. And I would like to hear from representatives of Magna how and what the distribution of that signal will be.

And while you're preparing, please talk to Be sure you talk to us. Because we're concerned on behalf of the people who work -- all the people who work around racing, all of the labor union people who work around racing and we have a concern for them because they are part of our constituency.

MR. LIEBAU: Mr. Chairman, my name is Jack Liebau, 23 President of Magna and California Operations.

As you know, or as you've been advised, our application has been filed and all of the items requested by staff have been delivered today. Magna urges the licensing of every advance deposit wager provider that satisfies the requirements. We believe that the California

01 resident will be best served by competition in the 02 marketplace.

03 Today I have with me several people from 04 Magna Entertainment Corp, which I would like to briefly 05 introduce. They are Jim McAlpine, who's the President and Chief Executive Officer of Magna 06 Entertainment; Ed Hannah, who's the Vice President and 07 80 General Counsel of Magna Entertainment; Andrew Gaughan (phonetic), who's the Vice President of New Media Initiatives; Bill Ridgens (phonetic), who's the Senior 10 11 Vice President of Business Operations and Affiliate Sales 12 of MEC TV; and Mark Gregory who's the Director of Player 13 Rewards; and we have Jessica Anderson who's the Director 14 of California Advance Deposit Wagering.

15 With that I would like to turn the

microphone to Jim McAlpine, who, as I indicated, is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Magna 18 Entertainment.

19

21

22

23

24

25

05

06

07

10

15

16

17

17

MR. LANDSBURG: I would be happy -- I would just 20 like one clarification because you talked about, you know, your agreement with TOC and the horsemen.

In part, number one, why is the agreement stopped on October 31st or November 15, which would be --MR. LIEBAU: Right. The negotiations with TOC, they expressed the view that any sort of agreement should only be for one year. I don't know whether that's the same principle with respect to all other applicants. We 28 thought that the November 15th, deadline, which is a 0038

01 result of when the Bay Meadows meet concludes, would be a better cut off date because it would make sure that we 03 have adequate time to negotiate a renewal of the agreement 04 with the TOC.

MR. LANDSBURG: Would John Van de Kamp comment, just for me, because when I see an exception in his signature saying subject to execution and delivery of definitive agreement, is that what that word is? I can't --

MR. VAN DE KAMP: That's correct. Yeah. This agreement that was arrived at this morning, comes as a 11 result of discussions that have taken place really since Cal Cup day back in November. And essentially the offer that TOC made was accepted, in terms of his economic terms. And there are some other provisions that would need to go into the contract that we are in basic agreement upon. I think Mr. Liebau can confirm this. And it needs to be put into of sort typical contractual language. What is a definition of a territory, for example, which is there and some of the pieces that we have received from them. But that needs to be placed in more of a formal kind of agreement. That's what we meant by that. I do 23 not believe that the parties are apart.

One of the issues that came up was if 25 they're taking phone bets on track, then they should be treated as an on-track bet, and we're agreement on that. And that's been stated many times and that needs to be 28 placed in the agreement, and so the Horse Racing Board

0039 01 knows that and there's protection for all concerned. I'll be glad to speak in a minute about the 03 economic terms of this, so people understand that. But 04 with respect to this date, we decided that we should have a short-term contract with everyone. And this is not 05 06 inconsistent with that notion. Because we believe that there is so much that's going to change in this coming 07 0.8 year, as they get up, as their distribution expands or not, 09 the changes in law here in California, as well as elsewhere, that may take place, that will affect how we proceed in the future. So that is the reason for that, because we wanted to give this a chance to get up, if the 13 terms were right and if we could protect California's 14 horsemen; to make sure this is a plus for them, and I 15 believe that this agreement, as I'll explain in a few 16 minutes, we think we have.

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. Jim, I'm sorry I didn't

18 mean to hold you (unintelligible) but --19 MR. MCALPINE: No problem, Mr. Chairman. It's 20 important you get all the verification you need. And I'd 21 like to just maybe modify your introduction of this is a meeting of Need and Greed. We characterized it and I 23 think you used the word yourself, as a meeting of 24 opportunity. 25 We're very excited to be here at this 26 threshold of what could be the new day for horse racing in 27 California. 28 As you know, we have become a significant 0040 01 player in this business just over the last three years, and have invested over more than \$300 million dollars in racing 02 0.3 in California and considerable sums to develop the technology to combine our live racing content with what's 05 needed to go forward in the technology area for the future.

We congratulate you and your group, your commission and the employees on getting to this day 08 because there was a lot of speculation that, in fact, we wouldn't be here on January 24th. So congratulations on a lot of hard work.

06

07

09

11

12

15 16

17 18

24

26

27

0041 01

03

04

05

07

80

28

Also I'd like to congratulate my own team and Jack introduced most of them, but they too have put in countless hours over the last two or three months to make sure that we are here and prepared today.

And finally, I'd like to congratulate the TOC because of the negotiations we've had. They've been constructive. We didn't always agree, but in the final analysis we were able to strike a deal which we think does respect all issues you've raised in terms of enhancing racing in California and ensuring that this is not an exercise in cannibalization, but as Mr. Van de Kamp will explain when he outlines the economics. In fact, California racing will not lose anything, even if it turned out to be cannibalization, which we fervently do 25 not believe it will be.

I have taken the liberty of putting together just a couple of overheads because I thought with this big a group today, it might help to just give a quick overview

of where we're at in this process and what we've accomplished to date. So if you'll bear with me, I'd like to refer to the slides.

(Video Presentation)

MR. MCALPINE: Basically, what I want to talk about is MEC's account wagering experience. MEC's California Account Wagering Operational and Marketing Plant and MEC's Distribution status.

First of all, many of you know, that earlier 09 in 2001, we acquired a company called Ladbrook at the 10 11 Meadows (phonetic), and together with that company, one of the assets we got was Call-A-Bet, an account wagering system that has actually been in operation since 1983. It's one of the longest standing account wagering systems 15 in the country. It's basically a live teller and 16 automated telephone system.

17 Today that company has 27,000 current active 18 customers, and we have an experienced account wagering management team. We also provide the administrative

20 services or back office for Youbet's activity through the 21 Pennsylvania hub. And in total, we handled \$132 million dollars of wagering through that business in 2001. 23 During this month, we have launched our new 24 brand for Call-A-Bet. It was referred to earlier, it's XpressBet, and that's now the name of the applicant this morning. And also this month, we launched XpressBet.com, our online wagering platform. 28 XpressBet on track marketing and sales 0042 01 centers have been built and established at all MEC tracks in California, and they will be open and operational the 03 moment that you tell us we can be in business. 04 This is just a demonstration of our new 05 logos and branding for XpressBet. This is just a picture 06 of what you see when you go to the Internet wagering 07 platform. 80 We have introduced live race video streaming 09 through our Internet platform. We've contracted with world leading broadband video streaming service provider, Digital Highland, and all MEC content stream via XpressBet.com and all MEC tracks will be included. 13 Digital Highland has multiple-server 14 locations across the U.S. and what that enables us to do, 15 is it enables us to provide better quality service to our customers. They're not all coming to one location, but they're going to different hubs across the country. 17 18 This is a picture of the account wagering 19 center that has been installed at each of our racetracks, just to give you a sense of what it will look like. And we 20 have developed the on-track management and trained staff has been hired to market and sale ADW to California horse racing customers. In the Spring of this year, we'll introduce a new, state of art, voice recognition system, and I'd like to if I could, just quick you a quick example of this system. There's a lot of technology that's been developed 28 around the world and we've actually searched the world 0043 01 looking for the best technology to serve our platform. 02 I'm going to play a bet call for you. 03 (Presentation on Audio) MR. MCALPINE: That's actually a live bet being 04 transacted. The mail voice was the customer and the female voice was the machine. You might wonder what the accent is, it's neither American or Canadian. Because the company that developed this technology is an Australian company, and they're in the process of teaching their 10 computers to speak -- call it North American English as opposed to Australian English and that system will be 11 12 available and up and running on our platform in the next 13 couple months. 14 In terms of MEC's TV programming experience,

in Western Pennsylvania on analog cable. We also broadcast that same show six hours, weekly on DIRECTV to 12 million U.S. homes.

round, providing horse racing, distributed to 650,000 homes

some of you may know that we have a racing channel, called the Meadows Racing Channel that runs 12 hours, daily, year

15

17

18

19 20

21

In California we produce Santa Anita Live.

22 A daily, one-hour, live, horse racing broadcast on FOX Sportswest 2, which is distributed to three million cable homes across the L.A. basin. And it's produced by our 25 production award winning team at Santa Anita. We're also participating in a private 27 satellite horse racing service being launched by Roberts 28 Communications Network, Inc. The company is not going to 0044 01 be called NEWCO TV, they're in the process of registering the name today, so I'm not at liberty to say what the company's name is, but it will provide eight channels of

horses racing. The service has actually been launched 05 today, and it's in the free preview mode through the end 06 of February. As far as our involvement in this channel, 07 we will provide two live horse racing channels and one 0.8 live odds channel, out of the eight channels being 09 provided, as well as the video streaming. This channel 10 will be produced out of our Bay Meadows facility.

11 We've also added to our staff -- as you know 12 this is a complicated business and in order to make sure 13 that we not only have the right technologies, we've gone around to make sure we find the right people to get the 15 job done. 16

17

19

20 21

28

07

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

We recently added to our team, actually a fellow named Bill Bridgen. Bill's here with us today. He's the Senior V.P. Business Operations and Affiliate Sales of our division called MEC TV. Bill's a former V.P. Affiliate Relations of FOX Sports Net and FOX Cable Networks located in L.A. And we are today actively negotiating cable and satellite (unintelligible) deals across the U.S. with our initial focus being in California for the MEC TV channels.

We are 100-percent committed to horse 26 racing. It's the only thing we do. And we're 100-percent committed to horse racing in California. We believe that this is an opportunity for us to expand the customer base. 0045

01 This is not about taking the same customer and recycling them through a new system. This is about growing the 03 business. The discussions that we've had through these 04 negotiations with the TOC and the couple of things that were mentioned as it required to be in the definitive agreement, I think reflect the seriousness of our interest

in pursuing this opportunity. We are not trying to 08 cannibalize anybody's business. We're trying to grow the business and we're committed to growing the business, and we've made a financial arrangement with TOC that we think reflects and respects that objective.

Thank you Mr. Chairman.

MR. LANDSBURG: Do you have other comments from your group?

MR. MCALPINE: No, not at this point.

MR. LANDSBURG: I believe Mr. Van de Kamp promised a vision of the financial arrangements that have been proposed.

MR. VAN DE KAMP: Mr. Chairman, as I said a few 20 minutes ago, and again, John Van de Kamp of TOC, we approached each of these entities pretty much on their distribution. I think as you see here -- where they're going on television remains to be seen. They seem to be

24 establishing, and I applaud them for this, at the least 25 program that will eventually get them on television. I think they'll find as TVG has found, that it is hard to do that. But that, and this is where I fully agree with Mr. 28 Mr. Landsburg, is the name of the game for the future of 0046

01 California horse racing.

As we approach this with them, we wanted to make sure that this was all upside for California's horsemen. That they were protected adequately. If there was to be cannibalization that the rates were such that it was all upside. I think that the agreement that we reached, will do that. According to the numbers that Wilson Shirley (phonetic) has provided to me, based on the contract that has been agreed upon this morning.

A California ADW wager on a California race, 11 will produce over 5.9 percent to purse revenues here in 12 California, which is essentially equivalent to what a California on-track bet would be for Win, Place and Show.

A California ADW bet, this is from California and betting on an out-of-state race, would 16 produce 5.437 percent, which is above what you would get -- what we would get in terms of purse revenues from an off-track bet at a simulcast facility here in California. And I think the biggest change in all, that really reverses where we had been for many years, is what happens to the out-of-state bet on our race or races.

Today we get the paltry sum, at the end of the day, about 1.6 percent on the average for an out-of-state bet on our races. And indeed California is in that export. We sent something like four times as much money, in the sense out of state as we bet on out-of-state races here. And the result is that most of the handle on those out-of-state bets on our races is kept there, not 0047

01 here.

02

03

07

0.8 09

10

13

14

15

21

22

23

28

02

06

07

11

12

13

15 16

But under the agreement that we reach, we 03 would have a very substantial host fee that produces virtually double what we would get today from a simulcast bet from out of state. But more important than that, once we get beyond the territories that are defined, there's about 25 miles from the effective racetracks with any agreements that MEC has, there would be no territorial access fees, and the rate we would get back in California in those situations for purse revenues would be 5.6 percent.

I did some quick arithmetic and I had to do some hypotheticals, but it's going mean for us in California, in terms of Purse Revenues, two to three times as much as we get today from simulcast betting that takes place out of state on our races.

17 We're very adequately protected against any 18 type of cannibalization by this kind of economic agreement, and we believe that this is only an upside for 19 us. We also would tell you, without getting into the 21 exact numbers, that we have encouraged MEC to primarily focus on the California racing market. And so what they get as an ADW provider will be more for bets that are 24 placed by Californians on our races or out-of-state people 25 betting on our races. That is intended to provide an

26 incentive towards promotion of the California racing 27 product, both within the state and out of state. We 28 cannot tell you at this point whether that will work or 0048

01 not, it's going to be up to MEC, but they have great incentives to make it work, and I'm pleased with the presentation that they made today. That they really intend to focus on California, and as well they should. 05 Because the market that has opened up here, is such an 06 enormous one.

07

80

09

10

12

16

22

26

80

20

But I think the important thing to remember, at least in terms of -- for the horsemen today, this is all upside, and we're pleased that the agreement was reached this morning. And I think we seem to be in sync on the 11 definitive agreement.

John, the 1.6 percent that you say MR. LICHT: 13 comes back, that's what coming back with a purse from out of state, it's about the three and half percent, 3.2 15 percent, I quess it would be?

MR. VAN DE KAMP: It's about three-and-a-half 17 percent because the State will take eight percent, 18 breeders get three percent we get -- we still get the track -- what's left over. But this is not the figure 20 that I'm talking about today -- any where from 100 to 200 21 percent.

MR. LICHT: What about the term of the license -this is really for Jim McAlpine -- what if -- what makes your interpretation of the law that you don't need a -an agreement with the horseman for the length of the license, we would like the license to be two years.

27 MR. MCALPINE: There have been owners in 28 California that their offer was restricted to one year, on 0049

01 the basis that landscape as they put it, would probably change within that year. We have no objection to that. And we would have no objection if the license was limited to one year or conditioned -- I'm sure it would be granted as a two-year license that the license would be 06 conditioned upon the agreement with TOC being renewed for 07 the period of the license.

MR. LICHT: And then the other issue that I 09 have to discuss, and I'm definitely impressed by Jim and 10 Magna's presentation about the growing business of new customers in California, and having the California 12 broadcast site at the Meadows, but this NEWCO TV that we talked about, or you talked about, and it sounds wonderful, but where is going to be? I mean, is it going 15 to be on any satellite? Is it going to be on Dish 16 network? Is it going to be --

MR. MCALPINE: I'm going to ask Andrew Gaughan, Vice 17 18 President of New-V Initiatives to answer that question, 19 please.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah. What we're -- what we've done is Roberts has a lease in place for a satellite on Galaxy (unintelligible) on the (unintelligible). In fact, it used to be TRN, TRN Direct. So there's approximately 3,000 customers out there that have access to this program 25 effectively today are viewing the racing programming, 26 including the MEC product on that service. So we're going 27 to run it as a free view through the end of February and

```
28 then they will be a subscriber to the service thereafter.
0050
 01
           MR. HARRIS: That's like Galaxy 3 is a big dish
    satellite, we're not really talking about a, you know,
 02
    home, small dish satellite?
           MR. GAUGHAN: No. In fact, Mr. Harris, it's a small
 05 dish satellite. It's coming off the cave side, so it's a
    high-powered satellite, and the TRN direct antenna is
 07
    under a meter in size.
 80
           MS. GRANZELLA: Can you tell me what
 09
    a (unintelligible) is?
 10
           MR. GAUGHAN: It's a -- you know, it's a technical
 11
    term, it's -- it's an indication of the waive length size.
    It's essentially a smaller waive length to satellite
 12
 13
    service --
 14
           MR. HANNAH: Paul Hannah, General Counsel at MEC.
 15 All of the HBO product is transmitted off that.
16
           MR. HARRIS: Could I -- my main concern is could a
 17 California customer sign up for something and get it?
 18
           MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah. The footprint of the satellite
 19 is across the United States. So anyone in California can
 20 subscribe to the dish and receive the service.
           MR. LICHT:
                       Well, I'm satisfied with the financial
 22 negotiations that TOC had with Magna, but to me the
 23 essential element is a commercially reasonable means of
 24 dissemination of the product. I mean, I think that's
   really critical to expanding the fan base. And I'm not
 25
   satisfied at this point. I'd like to hear more from you
    about how you're going to generate new fans through -- I
 28 mean, somebody that you -- you anticipate a new fan is
0051
 01 going to buy this second satellite to put in his home, to
 02 watch the races? How do you intend to do it?
           MR. GAUGHAN: I think the answer to the new fan, and
 04 we're aggressively pursuing this, we are -- with Bill
    Bridgen on the Board, we're in active negotiation with
 06 MSO's for digital carriage of our channels on a broader
 07 base --
 80
           MR. LICHT:
                       What's an MSO?
 09
           MR. GAUGHAN: Multiple system operator.
 10
           MR. HANNAH: An MSO -- Ed Hanna, General Counsel
 11
    again, an MSO is the factory name and AT&T a charter, a
           It's a multiple cable distribution system.
           MR. GAUGHAN: So we are, as I say, pursuing a
    carriage of these channels on a broad distribution basis,
15
    so that the general public and the new fans can receive
    the program service going forward.
17
           MR. LANDSBURG: At the moment though, it's a bit
 18
    off.
          Would you say you have no agreements in place, it
    does give that carriage. If you looked at your
 19
 20
    (unintelligible) -- I want to go back one step, before we
 21
    go onto that.
 22
                  Please Jim, explain this on-track wagering
 23 platform that you are constructing or have constructed at
 24 your racetrack?
           MR. MCALPINE: Basically, it's a marketing tool.
 26 It's a booth that's going to be enabled with
 27
    computer terminals. It's a sign-up station
    (unintelligible) that were interested.
 2.8
```

0052

01 MR. LANDSBURG: What's a sign-up station? 02 MR. MCALPINE: We got the capability to train them 03 there on how to use the Internet and how to use the telephone, and so that's basically the purpose of -- to begin the market. We'll also be able to market satellite 06 service from that station, and it will be the focal point 07 at our California racetracks. 80 MR. LANDSBURG: At your racetrack you're not going to be encouraging people to buy your selective service, but it's not a betting station, is what I understand --09 MR. MCALPINE: No. It's not a betting station. 11 12 No, it's not a betting station. 13 MR. LANDSBURG: And therefore, with these purse 14 breakages you don't really have to -- or do you have to 15 worry about people sitting at their tables, taking out 16 their cell phones and calling your wager in? 17 MR. MCALPINE: Well, put it this way, you don't 18 have to worry because, in fact, the bet doesn't produce 19 less for the horsemen and that's the key part of the 20 agreement we signed. 21 We are so confident in this, Mr. Chairman, 22 and our confidence isn't just based on pie in the sky. This is what we believe. It's based on honest experience. I should have introduced my team more fully, but Andrew Gaughan, Mark Gregory at the back, and Jessica Anderson are three former employees of the Interior Jockey Club who pioneered this same sort of business up in Canada. And 28 they've seen what happens with the introduction with 0053 01 account wagering in a new environment, and in that specific example, there was not cannibalization, there was absolute growth. And we're so believing in that that we were prepared to give the sort of guarantee we've given in 05 this deal TOC. A pricing mechanism that insured, if we were wrong, the horsemen make out the better. 07 MS. MORETTI: Could you address for us what where 80 we might be on growth for jobs with these new companies at 09 the new facilities? 10 MR. MCALPINE: Sure. I'd be happy to. We have 11 decided that the place to put our hub is California. We're 12 not putting this an Oregon hub, we're not putting it anywhere else. We're putting right in California. will be operated through the Bay Meadows tote. I mentioned that the Bay Meadows production facility will in fact, become the production facility for our TV 17 production. So there will be jobs created as that grows. There will also be technology investments because we'll 18 19 want to upgrade that technology over the years going forward. There will also be a requirement to add staff, 20 21 not just marketing staff, but that's what we'll be doing initially and we've got several people brought on staff 23 and employed to work the booths, to market the product, and so we see growth, and we expect this business to grow dramatically, and as it does the people we need to employ will be here in California. 27 MR. LANDSBURG: Talk, if you would, address launch 28 timing. And we're sitting here at January 24th, as far as 0054 01 California goes. I'm not concerned with the rest of the 02 country. I'm only concerned with getting our message out

```
03 to Californians and back from Californians in every
 04 respect, the horsemen, the labor pool, and the general
 05 public.
           MR. MCALPINE: We have -- expect, subject to you
 06
 07 granting us license, to be in business tomorrow marketing
    this account wagering system. We've got tremendous of
    marketing material developed. We've got a full sign-up
    kit developed. We have -- got an advertising campaign
 11
    ready to launch through the industry media. We have
    direct mail programs ready to launch through the major
    communities in California. So we're basically ready to
 13
    run as of tomorrow morning, and we've committed several
 14
 15 hundred thousand dollars, close to a million dollars to
 16 get that off the ground virtually immediately.
           MR. LICHT:
 17
                        U.S.?
 18
           MR. MCALPINE: U.S. All our numbers are in U.S.
 19 In fact, I can tell you that when we launched XpressBet,
 20 for instance, which we launched about a week ago, it's the
 21 same name across the country. Our Pittsburgh hub, within a
 22 day and a half, had 473 new customers. So we think we
 23 know how to market. We think we know how to get out there
 24 and we move the needle pretty quickly, and that's what
 25 were excited to do here in California.
 26
           MR. LANDSBURG: You're -- I think for a moment, I
 27
    just need a redefinition of "hub," because I've understood
 28 hubs to be a number of things. We're talking about (A) a
0055
 01 broadcast hub, what about the betting hub will be --
 02
           MR. MCALPINE: The betting company at Bay Meadows,
 03 as well.
 04
           MR. LANDSBURG: At Bay Meadows.
 05
           MR. MCALPINE: It will be run through the tote
    systems at Bay Meadows. That's right.
 07
           MR. LANDSBURG: And it will be totally automated?
 80
    Yes?
 09
           MR. MCALPINE: Yes.
 10
           MR. LANDSBURG: Totally automated?
 11
           MR. MCALPINE: Yes.
12
           MR. LANDSBURG: That doesn't help -- oh, somebody
13 standing behind you (unintelligible) --
14
           MR. LICHT:
                       (unintelligible) need to be job wise.
 15
           MR. MCALPINE: It's a total (unintelligible) system
 16 that is certainly manned just as the tote system is at any
 17
    other racetrack.
           MR. LANDSBURG: Well, I asked if it was automated
 18
 19
    in the sense, is there -- are there human hands on the
    buttons, is what I meant by it?
 21
           MR. GAUGHAN: On the tote system? They're the
 22 normal tote --
           MR. MCALPINE: Right.
 23
           MR. LANDSBURG: -- in addition to what you
 24
 25 currently have at Bay Meadows?
           MR. HARRIS: Is there a phone bet component or is
 27
    it all --
 28
           MR. MCALPINE: No. There's a phone bet component
0056
 01 to assist you.
 02
           MR. HARRIS: The operator's (unintelligible)?
 03
           Mr. MCALPINE: The phone bet is an automated,
 04 touch-tone phone system or it's a voice activated
```

telephone system. I showed you the new voice-activated technology that we'll launch in the next couple of months. 07 MR. LANDSBURG: With a live customer service 80 backup? 09 MR. MCALPINE: Yes. There's a live backup. 10 MS. GRANZELLA: And it's live backup to the unions? 11 The (unintelligible) unions? 12 MR. MCALPINE: The back office support will be 13 handled through the Pennsylvania operation. So the California business is the hub, the total hub in Bay Meadows, it's the production facility at Bay Meadows, and 15 it's the marketing and ontrack growth of employment in 16 17 California. 18 The telephone system actually employs very 19 few because most customers choose to have the automated 20 side because it's much faster and when you actually see 21 the experience in Australia with this technology, and 22 you've heard the voice example, that's really what people 23 want to do. They want -- they're interested in getting 24 the bet placed correctly and quickly, and they view 25 technology as the way to do that rather than an active 26 human voice. That's no different in some respects to what 27 the banks are doing today that you pay your bills, and 28 talk to the machines. 0057 MR. LANDSBURG: You've got some (unintelligible) 01 you're talking (unintelligible) We all know about that. Ron, do you have something add to that? MR. LICCARDO: Yes. Ron Liccardo of Pari-mutuel 05 Employees of California. I think either I have something incorrect or you're not hearing it quite the way I understand it. I don't know. I think Jack will clear it up right away, I'm pretty sure. The CallBet or XpressBet will be sent back to Philadelphia. The signal comes back to Bay Meadows, and goes into a tote system, not -- the 11 bet is not being called to Bay Meadows, I don't believe; 12 is that correct, Jack? 13 MR. LIEBAU: What do you mean? MR. LICCARDO: Now. Not later on, but now? 14 15 MR. LIEBAU: I'm with you Ron (unintelligible) 16 clear. 17 MR. GAUGHAN: We set up (unintelligible) two tote offices and the one in Bay Meadows will accept all the bets, both through the automated telephone system and the online system, all in the Bay Meadows tote here in 21 California. 22 If the customer has an issue and dials up --23 hits zero and wants to talk to a live customer service agent, they end up in the administrative offices back in Pennsylvania. That happens very infrequently. I know this first hand, from my Woodbine (phonetic) days, where 27 it was a completely automated system. Once they're in the 28 system, they toggle around, place their bet, and move on. 0058 01 But all those bets take place in California, from the 02 California up. 03 MR. LANDSBURG: Does that explain to you, Ron? 04 MR. LICCARDO: That explains part of it, yes. And 05 as you said so, (unintelligible), I believe earlier, we've 06 been on many thresholds in California to save racing and

07 bring new innovations, this is, like you said, another. All the past history ones, labor has been in favor for and labor hasn't done quite so well with.

10 As of yesterday, we have been negotiating 11 with Mr. Liebau for some possible jobs on track, not to do 12 with the hub. Because the hub as we understand and the 13 law, which is AB471, indicates the union (unintelligible) historically does the job closest to the racetrack, gets 15 those jobs. That would be IBEW, New York Local 3. That would not be us. So we were trying negotiate with Mr. Liebau to get some jobs on track. And until we have some 17 kind of an agreement with Mr. Liebau and Magna, we have to 18 19 be opposed to the licensing of this application also. 20 Because right now, we have absolutely nothing in the 21 State. The jobs would go to somebody else if there are 22 any other jobs.

Thank you, sir.

MR. LIEBAU: Can I speak to that, please. I think that we all realize that this bill, advance deposit wagering could not have been passed without the full support of the unions, and in particular Local 280.

So when we talk about jobs, we have to look

28 0059 01

> 04 05

> 06 07

> 80

09

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

27 28

23

24

25

27

at what is resulting from this. The basic agreement that was entered into between the tracks and Local 280 provided them with the security that they didn't otherwise have and also compensation that they didn't otherwise have.

There is a Sunday premium that was paid to some mutuel clerks, but not all of the mutuel clerks. It was agreed that all mutuel clerks would be eligible for that Sunday premium, provided that they had this sufficient number of days.

There also was a system at the tracks where the mutuel manager would put out a call for so many people. If by chance the regulars didn't show up, and it started to rain and we knew that we did not need that many mutuel clerks, we did not have to fill that call. We now have to fill that call with replacement people.

Most important of all, the union received for the first time a manning clause, in the event that our commissions remain the same as they were in 2001. They are assured as many man hours as they had in 2001. So there are some jobs there.

With respect to the jobs that Mr. Liccardo has just mentioned, I have to say that this is something that has been on the table from the very beginning. Tunney and I met with Mr. Liccardo and his associates about two months ago, and advised him of the possibility of these jobs being available. And I'm afraid there was some sort of misunderstanding in that Mr. Tunney and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ went away from the meeting thinking that because there 0060

01 were only a few jobs, and the jobs paid in the neighborhood of \$12.50 an hour, that they weren't interested in those jobs. It has subsequently turned out that we were misinformed and given the wrong impression 05 and they are interested in those jobs. We have continued to meet with them. We have given an undertaking to the 07 California Horse Racing Board that we will comply. That we will fully comply with the provisions of AB40 and 471.

09 And in this -- and I'm sure, as has been in the past 10 because I think we have a history of a very good relationship with Local 280, that we'll work it out. 11 In event that we are not able to work it out, 12 13 we are willing to submit that issue to the California Horse Racing Board for binding arbitration. And we can think of 15 no better Commissioner to be the arbitrator than our new Commissioner, Mr. Sperry, which I'm sure has to be 17 acceptable to Local 280. Thank you. 18 MR. LICCARDO: What Mr. Liebau alluded to was the 19 agreement of the ADW Bill 471. The provisions that were agreed upon were only temporary provisions. Those were spelled out exactly to say, for only the length of the 21 contract. Now, we obviously know that contracts are only 22 23 good for the length of the contract anyway, but they 24 wanted it known, that it's only for the length of the 25 contract. So our sunset -- they're ADW does not sunset as 26 (unintelligible) in the contract. They go on. Our ends, 27 our agreement on Sunday premiums, on replacing the clerks 28 and on the -- I forget what the third one was -- the 0061 01 manning clause. 02 MR. LICHT: But the license is only two years so 03 there isn't a sunset there. MR. LICCARDO: What's the chances of them up and running spending 10's of millions of dollars to get this 05 thing rolling and making money and you're refusing them 06 having it any longer? 07 80 MR. LICHT: Very good. 09 MR. LICCARDO: I appreciate that then, sir. 10 MR. LIEBAU: Mr. Liccardo, with your leadership, 11 the union has never taken a step back as far as 12 compensation. 13 MR. LICCARDO: Thank you very much. I appreciate 14 Yes, sir, I only have three years left and then I that. 15 retire. But to answer Mr. Liebau on -- when we discussed earlier, which was November 29th, the night before the 16 17 CHRB meeting in Davis, we subsequently filed a grievance 18 with them in Tucson, Arizona. And we got a letter back 19 from the Labor Relation Director, Richard A. Hughes, that 20 we would sit down and discuss these jobs, and negotiate some kind of classification. We have yet to do that, except for yesterday, when we sat down yesterday. there was a follow-up to it to show that we were dissatisfied when we came about it or we weren't happy with or misunderstanding that we may have had at our 26 meeting in Sacramento. 27 MR. LANDSBURG: Wanna tie my shoe? MR. LICCARDO: I mean, we -- we -- that's not our 28 0062 understanding that we turned down those jobs. I don't 01 think you'll ever hear Labor turning down a job. We're willing to renegotiate. We're willing to talk about, to try and upgrade a job, but not to turn down a job. That wasn't our impression at all when we left that meeting. 06 MR. WOOD: Ron, how many jobs are involved? 07 MR. LICCARDO: We have no idea. Right now, we 0.8 don't know how many they're hiring for this kiosks that they're going to running for these -- uh, of training

people. I don't think Mr. Liebau is too sure of how many

jobs there are because someone else at MEC is handling that. But we're just looking for any jobs that we can 13 possibly get out of it.

14

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4 25

27

06

07

80

09 10

11

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

27

28

0064

07

80

09

11 12

MR. LIEBAU: I think that I'm now determined that 15 we're talking about 10 jobs or thereabouts. The law, as I'm sure you're familiar with, requires that classifications that have previously been unionized would continue to be union positions. We think that there's serious questions as to whether these positions fall within any classification that is now subject to Local 280.

However, notwithstanding all of that, we 22 went to them at the very beginning, there was a misunderstanding. We now are in discussions with them, and we've always had a good relationship with them and have been able to work things out, and we're willing to submit it to binding arbitration in the event that we aren't.

So, I mean, I don't think there's a problem 28 and we're talking about 10 jobs, and we're talking about 0063

01 not whether they are 10 jobs. We're talking about whether they're going to be 10 union jobs, and there's nothing wrong with that because mostly everybody at our facility is unionized. But we're not -- we're not talking about these jobs not existing.

MR. LICCARDO: We also show that there's jobs out -- has to be jobs out in (unintelligible) satellite industry. Because somebody is going to want to sign up Fresno, and how they going to sign up in Fresno, a person (unintelligible) they can sign up at Santa Anita, they can't. I guess they have to do it over the telephone, but they don't do it over the telephone in Santa Anita, but they'll be doing at the kiosk and learning on how do the bets. Whereas, we take all the other satellites, I assume that maybe not the real small ones, but the larger ones, the Del Mar with 18 to 20 clerks working that has 1,800 people there. There may be somebody live they're signing up people so that's why we feel like there may be more than what's on track at Santa Anita.

It might be also -- how many people you have signed up people another entity who'll be putting your application later on today, which would be TVG, there may be jobs over there people signing up for those also. So that's where we're headed at, looking for some kind of employment for our members.

MR. LANDSBURG: It's a serious question Jack and it's a serious question for us to consider as part of the license.

01 I need to know that we have a time limited 02 in order to get in -- since you wanted the Board Member to be involved, the time to be limited amount of renegotiation or continuing the negotiations and the 05 larger plan from Ron as to what kinds of jobs that you do rather than hold this long, long meeting and be able to

move it forward, limited in numbers of days? MR. LIEBAU: Right. I would suggest that subject to Commissioner Sperry, if he was in fact, going to get volunteered for this, that his intervention, if we want to call it, could be triggered at any point in time by Mr. Liccardo.

```
MR. LANDSBURG: Well, I think we need in front of
    this Board, a greater sense from Ron and from you, what
    kinds of jobs we're talking about, what kinds of union
    arrangements can be made, and that would fall to Mr.
 17
    Sperry, Commissioner Sperry, if he is willing to undertake
 18
    it, if he's not we may find another volunteer in the
 19
    audience.
 20
           MR. LIEBAU: Thank you.
 21
           MR. SPERRY: Thank you, sir.
 22
           MR. LANDSBURG: Therefore, I think you need within
 23
    a number of days that I have both positions set out so
    that Mr. Sperry knows what he is arbitrating. And at the
 25
    moment, it's hard for him to know.
 2.6
           MR. LIEBAU: No question. We're willing to do it
 27
    three days, five days whatever number of days you want it.
 28
           MR. LANDSBURG: Be careful (unintelligible) leave
0065
 01 that to the parties to determine the days.
 02
                  I will take your comment in a moment.
 03 one question here. Oh, I know what it was.
 04
                  We never did complete, Andy. If you are to
 05 go into business tomorrow based on a Board approval, how
 06 many people would you be reaching in any sense of mass
    media? Tomorrow, in California?
 80
           MR. GAUGHAN: I mean, that's always a hard question
 09
    to answer, but I can tell you that we have an extensive
 10
    marketing launch plan in place, which includes --
           MR. LANDSBURG: No, that's an architect rendering
 11
    for development. I don't want architect renderings. I
    would like specifics. How many people can you reach if we
 13
 14
    said go tomorrow?
 15
           MR. GAUGHAN: Well, we can certainly reach all
 16 of the customers that attend our racetracks tomorrow.
 17
           MR. LANDSBURG: No, I was talking about a media
 18 opening where you could get people who haven't been to the
 19
    racetrack, where you won't be cutting up those people
 20 again, to put them on telephone wagering booths. I would
 21
    like to know, how many people you feel you can reach?
 22 have agreements. They are in place and you're moving
 23 forward.
 24
           MR. HANNAH: Ed Hannah, General Counsel again.
 25 the immediate time, the media reach that we have would be
    the 650,000 homes that the Meadows TV channel passes in
 27
    Western Pennsylvania.
                           The 12 --
           MR. LANDSBURG: But that's not California. I'm
 28
0066
    talking about California.
 01
 02
           MR. HANNAH: I just wanted to -- because that's the
 03
    same channel --
           MR. LANDSBURG: I'm talking about California,
 04
 05
    first?
 06
           MR. HANNAH: That same channel is on DIRECTV for six
 07
    hours a week, so it would pass every Californian home.
 80
           MR. LANDSBURG: That six hours a week doesn't cover
 09
    your racing?
 10
           MR. HANNAH: DIRECTV, no.
 11
           MR. LANDSBURG: So racing, live racing, the
 12 excitement of racing --
 13
           MR. HANNAH: There's --
 14
           MR. LANDSBURG: What do we have?
```

MR. HANNAH: -- there's 3,000 world subscribers to the satellite service. I'm not sure of how many are in 17 California, but they would have access, and the other mass media that we have is through the Internet, which basically is available to every home in California that 20 has a PC and an internet connection. 21 MR. MCALPINE: And in terms of marketing, not only 22 will we be doing the media advertising, the direct mail 23 pieces that I talked about, but within our own different companies, we have a number of web sites and every one of those web sites will also be pointed to XpressBet. So that we'll be accessing all the customers who day-by-day 27 visit our web sites, and many of those people are not 28 people today who come to racetracks. 0067 01 MR. GAUGHAN: And, Mr. Landsburg, the other thing I 02 forgot to mention is the Santa Anita live program that 03 runs one hour daily in the L.A. basin to three million 04 homes. MR. LANDSBURG: Post for five races. After five 05 06 races. 07 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 80 MR. LANDSBURG: Okay. We have a number of 09 comments. 10 There is no way -- when dinner comes around, 11 I'll let you know when we are going to have a break, but until then, this is too important a moment in our time, and in racing's time to just by it because we're tired of hearing people speak. So it's going to go on as long as you want it. If it bores you, I'm sorry, but it is too 15 16 important. We have comments coming from this gentlemen. 17 MR. GLEASON: Thank you. Larry Gleason, representing the Concerned California Horsemen Group of 18 19 approximately a thousand owners, trainers, jockey's, 20 et cetera. 21 We our very concerned. We totally support 22 the opening statement of Commissioner Landsburg. This is 23 a great opportunity to grow our business in California, not to cannibalize it, not to make it easier for the regular bettor, the regular horse track or to wager a 26 little more or a little less. 27 We want to see how we are going to grow the 28 business. And growing the business, we as owners, 0068 trainers, jockeys, will all increase our revenues. 02 Growing the business will also increase jobs out on the racetrack. We don't want to see cannibalization -nothing is more depressing than going to the racetrack and 05 seeing, you know, 4,000 people at Santa Anita or at the Hollywood Park and it's uh, totally depressing. You can't 07 bring a new person out there and have them feel enthused or excited about it because it looks like a dying business 0.8 09 to them. 10 So we're very concerned about Magna's main 11 thrust, which we hear is just to market to their regular 12 customer. They're going to market at the racetracks, so 13 anyone who's going to the racetracks will be able to sign up so that when you don't go the racetrack, you're going 15 to bet there any way. We really want to see -- we also

think it should be easier for someone to bet. There

shouldn't be three different systems. They shouldn't have 18 to being going through their dial, looking for various channels of who they can see and where they can race, or where, what and how do it. We've got to make it simple for a new guy. It's just a matter of how we're going to grow a new fan base? How are we going to do that, and that is going to increase our revenues. Uh, whether we get the same amount off track or on track, it really doesn't matter. We've got to find a way for bringing new people into this business.

27 And that's what we're very concerned about. 28 We don't think marketing to the fans that go now to Santa 0069

01 Anita, or Golden Gate or to Hollywood Park or anyone is --02 that's just -- we have them already. Where are we going 0.3 to get the new bettors from? Where are we going to get the new fans from? We haven't heard anybody here really 0.5 address that portion, that's our main concern. 06 Thank you.

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you, Mr. Gleason. MR. MCALPINE: Maybe I can try address that quickly.

10 MR. LANDSBURG: No need for quick, let's get it 11 right.

MR. MCALPINE: No. I didn't mean that 12 13 disrespectfully.

07

80

09

14 15

21

0070 01

02

0.5 06

07

80

09

10 11

15

16

17

What we have done, and we agreed with this gentlemen that the real challenge is getting new customers. A lot of things that we have embarked on over the last three months is the tremendous amount of research, we've done focus groups here in California. We've done focus groups with bettors. We've done focus groups with non-bettors. We've done focus groups with women. We've done focus groups with men. We've done focus groups with different age brackets to try to determine how do we go and get those customers. Those focus groups have cost us 24 hundreds of thousands of dollars.

We're taking that research to the next step 26 to develop a marketing program. With outside marketing experts who will be good at growing retail businesses. 28 Because in our view this is like a retail business.

MR. LANDSBURG: Anyone who worked with K-Mart? MR. MCALPINE: No. We haven't gotten to those 03 people. But we seriously, have undertaken a great deal of research, and we too our committed to the new customer, which is why we do direct mail drops. We're not solely talking about existing customers.

But we also believe, and our experience tells us and the previous applicant mentioned as well that there are many horse players who will go to the track a certain amount of the time. That's not the only time they'd like to place a bet. There are other times they'd like to place a bet, and these services will give them access to place that bet legally at those times. And that will be incrementally handled. And again, we've seen enough from some of these other jurisdictions, that we believe this.

MR. LANDSBURG: I believe that a new bettor will 18 make new inroads. I believe that reaching that new better

19 with the best of all possible marketing programs, will get you somewhere between two and three percent returns. 21 That's been the probability. 22 The distribution system that gets us out into 23 the public, so that you can say to a much larger, 22 million adults in California, is what we have to reach. Now, that's all well and good to tell me about a marketing program, but you're going to reach one-and-a-half maybe two percent, and I don't know how many of those are going 28 to become customers. If you really want to relate it, 0071 01 it's probably one percent of them. 02 But based on past marketing experience, what 0.3 I try to make clear is that we are here to issue you a license. We look to ADW as a possible way to help this 05 industry, but if what we're doing is simply looking at a 06 very small potential customer base because very little, if 07 anything, has been done about outreach, then I have grave 08 warnings to you. I'm not happy. 09 As an individual Commissioner, I am not 10 happy. I'm unhappy. Because you are not making this 11 business known -- there's two generations that don't know this business. Two, not one, but two. They don't know it. They have no idea what the fun and joy and excitement -- they don't heroes. They don't know the horses. They don't even know where the racetracks are. So sitting here and telling me that we'll get all this advance deposit wagering money, and I want to say to you 17 that I applaud the deal that you've been made with the 18 horsemen. I think it is groundbreaking. I think it's what long been needed for horsemen, we new money was coming in, otherwise we're going to die out there on the vine as horsemen. But I really must insist that somewhere 23 there is a way to communicate with a larger public using this lever, this ADW lever as a way to get there. Because if we don't, we're doing a 26 disservice to racing and we're doing a disservice to the 27 people. So I want to make that clear based on your 28 0072 01 description. MR. MCALPINE: And again, you know, I don't think among the applicants anybody frankly, has a greater interest than we do. We've invested three hundred million in physical assets in California where the races are run. 06 So we definitely want to bring more people to the tracks. 07 MR. LANDSBURG: I applaud that. I just don't like 80 the mechanism at the moment, which we're being asked to 09 put into effect to make Greek salad, out of -- with a due respect to the Greeks. To make a chop salad -- to make a 10 chop salad out of the audience. And I hope that we can 11 take them out of the cob salad (unintelligible). 12 13 So I've now said my piece, there are other 14 people who want to speak, and I must listen. MR. BADOVINAC: My name is Greg Badovinac, 15 16 B-A-D-O-V-I-N-A-C, a private citizen. I do want to 17 commend the Board for its outstanding work on this of the 18 set regulations that you passed. The staff worked really 19 hard. I may disagree with one part, but you all did a 20 really great job getting this out in record time.

The problem I have with the three 22 applications today, and I'm not here to oppose or to 23 support any of them, is nowhere will one account cover 24 every racing association in California. There's is 25 nothing on the proposal here that will allow me, a horse racing fan in California, existing to make a bet on the bulk of the Northern and Central California fair circuit. 28 In the current proposal before you, the last 0073

01 line of page 1 says that because there is no contract for 02 the bulk of August, they will be ineligible to accept advance deposit wagering during, you know, late July to late August. Well, what's that going to do for me who's 0.5 got an account with them should I choose to operate with them. First of all, I'm not going to be able to bet on 07 anything in California, so even if I can bet through the 08 system, if it's legal, I'm going to be betting on 09 non-California races.

We need to be able to have multiple entities 11 compete for the consumer's business, where one account will take care of, maybe not every racing association, but at least the bulk of them.

Otherwise we're going to have a problem that 15 when Magna's tracks finish their season, and if a new 16 person comes out and they go to one of the other associations, they call up and say, "well, we can't take your bet." That's going to turn off the new people that the Chair is seeking to get. So we do need to, you 20 know -- everybody's got a business interest to grow up their company as best they can, but we need to look out to the fans, so that, you know, you don't need two accounts.

MR. LIEBAU: Mr. Chairman, just so it can be noted 24 and Mr. Korby is here. We are in discussions with the California Association and Racing Fairs, as you operate the fair races on a nonexclusive basis. And I would hope that they will result in that XpressBet cover the fairs during the summer.

28 0074 01

02

03

07

80

10

11

12

17

10

12

14

17

18

19

21

23

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you.

MR. HALPERN: Ed Halpern, California Thoroughbred Trainers.

Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, we have submitted letters to the Board and I would ask that those letters be included in the record, as opposed to reading those letters in the record.

(Letters submitted to the Board and are 09 attached hereto.)

MR. HALPERN: First, we would ask that any action be deferred, pending a full review of all the terms of any contracts that affect the horsemen or affect racing in the state of California.

13 This Board has been appointed as the 15 guardians of the industry, but that is not meaningful, until there is a review and an understanding of the terms and conditions of the individual contracts that may affect 18 racing.

19 I'm certain that you will agree that approval 20 of license agreements that create a new form of wagering is 21 not just a ministerial act. The ADW concept is a newborn, and it's incumbent on the Board to see that all of the

23 details are subject to public scrutiny.

I believe the Board would be derelict in its 25 duty if it did not review these agreements. The fact that the contract, an issue with this application was just signed this morning, has not been seen by the Board, has 28 not been seen by my organization, and by the way, we 0075

01 represent 800 trainers who own thousands of horses in this state and have had no say in this agreement, and also have had no -- prior to last night, have had no indication of any of the possible terms of the agreement, and even as of this morning have no knowledge of the terms of the agreement that was proposed by the TOC. That was all kept 07 secret until this morning, which does not help us, and I 0.8 don't think it will help the image of racing or the image of the Board that a secret agreement is approved at the last minute, without time for review by all the parties. 10

Secondly, I'm asking that -- we're asking 12 that no licenses be granted until an agreement is reached with all horsemen and that includes horse owners who happen to have a trainer's license, horse owners who are spouses of trainers, and trainers themselves.

As I said, these parties own a very large percentage of the horses racing in California. And as you know, they're currently excluded from the process.

As detailed in my record -- I'm sorry, in my letter, we'd object to any approvals, as we believe such approvals would violate the Interstate Horse Racing Act, and be violative of the guarantees of due process and equal protection.

Some agreements are covered by the Interstate Horse Racing Act, and others are so steeped in interstate commerce and state action that basic constitutional provisions come into play. The opportunity to be heard. The opportunity to understand. The provisions of the

01 agreements between the parties.

11

13

16

17

18 19

20

22

23

24

25

0076

02

03

05

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

20

21

24

Whenever Horsemen's Approval is required, the current system fails on a constitutional basis. This may well be the greatest thing that ever happened to horse racing. The deal that was made between Magna and TOC maybe a terrific deal, but at the moment we don't know it. It may even be too good to be true. We don't know all of the details of that contract. And apparently, from what the parties have told you, they don't even know all the details of the contract.

It was pointed out that the contract's not complete because we haven't defined what is a territory.

There's an issue of accepting a phone bets on track and that they will be considered on track bets not phone bets. How is that to be enforced? What provisions in the contract let us know that we're protected in that situation? Without reviewing what has been agreed upon, we cannot know any of these details. And therefore, we would ask that you take no action on these applications today.

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. May I ask a couple of questions out of you. One, you ask that your letter be 22 put on record, but I'm not sure that they're two letters from you. Is it January 18th or January 23rd?

MR. HALPERN: Thank you. I would ask that both

January 18th and January 23rd, both, both be put on record.

MR. LANDSBURG: The two letters will be put on 27 28 record.

0077 01

03

05

06

07 0.8

09

10

11

14

Now, has there been any discussion since you 02 learned of this about -- we had discussed at great length your letter and your complaint. That did not go unheard, Mr. Halpern.

MR. HALPERN: And thank you, and I appreciate that. MR. LANDSBURG: In trying to define the legal basis for the conversation, it goes beyond the expertise of individual businessmen.

We've asked the A.G. to look at it, the initial reading is that within in California you may or may not have a constitutional right or legal right for 12 outside of California, you might have, you might not. I 13 don't want to be the arbiter here.

I would ask that because we are trying to get 15 together as an industry that you now know that there is a 16 proposal out in front, that you make it your business to 17 discover that because you have the right to discover that 18 proposal and to bring it to your group, to the CTT. agree that you should have known something, but as to 20 having a legal voice in it, I cannot discuss that. I don't want to go into it because I think it's far too complicated 22 for us to make that determination.

So I can't order you to be part of the 24 negotiations as far as California is concerned, but I can respectfully ask that if we're going to come together as an industry that you and your organization be made part as a gesture of goodwill to the rest of the industry, to what is going on. And that your comments be taken into account.

28 0078 01

06

07

80

11 12

13

15

18

19

22

23

24

25

23

I have um -- I appreciate your coming 02 forward. I understand the plight. I understand the legal grounds. And I don't understand why there wasn't communication with you, but that will remain to be heard by this Board throughout this day, so there will be more to be said about this. And thank you for your comment.

MR. HALPERN: Thank you. I appreciate the comment. MR. LICHT: I'm satisfied with the deal points of the letter between TOC and Magna, and I don't think that there's much wiggle room. I think that the horsemen are adequately protected from a financial standpoint.

I'd like to hear TOC and from Ed regarding this idea of the dissemination of the product. To me the industry obviously is a highly regulated industry, as such we have the discretion to do what's best for the industry. Best for the industry, of the fans, the horsemen, the labor and the State. And I really do think that TOC's negotiations create a fair platform for the wagering dollar with the horsemen.

What I'm really concerned about is this 21 dissemination notion. I think that TOC and CTT should and this concerned fan group should express their -- and concerned owners group should express their opinions on that area.

MR. HALPERN: Not having been privy to what went on in the contract negotiations, I'm really at a loss to give

you much help, except to say that we certainly support the 28 widest possible dissemination, whether it be done by -- is 0079

01 your question in reference to whether it be done by one 02 organization or -

03

05

07

80

09

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24 25

26

27 28

06

07

80

09

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

MR. LICHT: No, just a general question, if 04 you feel that Magna's proposed distribution is commercially reasonable in the sense that we justify the issuance of the license to them?

MR. HALPERN: What I understand of Magna's proposed distribution, it's very limited. And, you know, from a businessman's point of view, since there are other people out there who are willing to give us a much broader base of the dissemination, I'm not comfortable with the fact that we'll be getting into a situation where we are very limited as to the audience that we'll be presented to.

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. Excuse me, John just for a second.

We've all been sitting for a little over two hours, which may make some of us edgy just to get a break. So I'm going to take the next three people and then hold further, and ask the Board that no discussions will go on among the Board members concerning the deliberations that are going on, so that we continue deliberation after a break. But we will take the three comments.

MR. VAN DE KAMP: Do you want to take those three first, I'll (unintelligible).

MR. LANDSBURG: You're one of the three, John -- or do you want to hold your --

MR. VAN DE KAMP: I'll be brief. Simply to say, if I were an architect of the grand plan, what is 0800

01 going on here today is not the optimum. We're not reaching 22 million people from any of the providers. We're basically getting a start, and the pricing with respect to Magna and MEC who is, in a sense, based on that notion, but the short-term nature of the contract is to act as an incentive so they get up and they get broader distribution.

Basically, the legislation that was passed was vendor-neutral. And unfortunately, the parties have not been able to get together, to exchange signals or to prevent, I think as the witness said a few minutes ago, to have say, let say a single account that could be accessed with respect to any race in California, which we believe would be very much preferable; we would encourage MEC, and TVG, and Youbet to work together, you know, to do that kind of thing.

But it seems to me, you know, that right now, we're operating on a little different track. MEC wants to get up. They want to compete. And we're going to give them a short time to get up and see how they do. And I think the same offer has been made to TVG as well as to Youbet.

22 23 So we're here not to oppose their 24 application today. We support it. That -- in the sense 25 that we think it's good for horsemen. That it's the toe in the water. It's not as far as we'd like to go. But we 27 would like to see a lot more from every provider that's 28 here today.

0081 01 MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you, John. 02 MR. MORGAN: Good morning. My name is John Morgan, 03 and I've owned and operated a company called SoCal Sportsbook, which is involved with Internet horse racing 05 and handicap. It has been for five years. And I'm a 06 professional horse player. The reason I tell you that, I 07 think you'll understand when I tell you my comments. 80 First of all, before I start I'm in favor of 09 somebody getting approved today. I haven't heard TVG, so 10 I'm not going to say who it is, but please approve 11 somebody. 12 I think there's two issues that I have a 13 little problem with as I sit and listen. And I understand 14 the concern to bring new players to the horse racing 15 business. This isn't the answer to doing that. That 16 would be a whole separate meeting, and we aren't even 17 close to it. I mean, it's just not -- today isn't the day 18 to talk about new fans. This, in general, is going to 19 increase the fan base. It's going to help if these guys 20 are on TV and can get on basic cable, it will help, but 21 the new fan issue, this is not (unintelligible). The problem you have today is that at the 23 break, I'm going to place my bets on my cell phone. They're going to Aruba. You have to understand that 25 because, since January the 1st, when this was approved I can't make a bet unless I go to the track or with simulcast outlet. That isn't good enough. And there's an awful lot of people, like myself, who are now betting out 28 0082 of the country. We have substantial amounts of money now invested out of the country that we're just not going to pull back in. So as we all sit here, worrying about, are we doing this or that, the money is going out of 05 California and we need to have it here. MR. LANDSBURG: I just want to say to you that 06 07 Board shares your concern -- has in the last three months 08 done more to investigate and find ways so that you won't 09 be able to or should not be able to continue your illegal 10 activity, which is betting on the phone. 11 MR. MORGAN: I'd like you to do that. So far you 12 force me to do that. See I used to be able to bet --13 December 29th, I could make a bet. MR. LANDSBURG: I haven't completed it but -- and there's some in racing who unfortunately say, "well, at least they pour the money back here through others sources, and therefore, it's okay." It ain't okay. It's 17 terrible. And we want it cut off. And we're going to 18 19 work to that. So I thank you for that comment, and just 20 21 know that this Board has already begun a process by which 22 we will do as best we can within the law to close down the 23 opportunity to bet offshore. Thank you. MR. MORGAN: My point is not about offshore 25 betting, it's about allowing betting here. 26 MR. LANDSBURG: That's what we are trying to 27 determine. 28 MR. WYGOD: Marty Wygod of the 0083

01 Chairman of the, I guess the Concerned Horsemen's

```
02 Committee. I'm a little bit confused, so I'd like to
    start of by just asking a few questions.
                  The first one would be to John Van de Kamp,
05 Mr. Van de Kamp, where are you?
06
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: Right here.
07
           MR. WYGOD: What is the net worth of this
08 Delaware Corporation that you recommend going into this
09
    contract with -- the 200014, the current net worth as of
10
    today?
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: I think you would ask this
11
12
    (unintelligible).
           MR. WYGOD: Well, you're doing the negotiations
13
14
    with them. You are recommending them. You would have to
15
    know the net worth, the balance sheet of the company you
    are dealing with that you're recommending.
17
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: (unintelligible).
18
           MR. WYGOD: What is the net worth?
19
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: Make your presentation.
20
           MR. WYGOD: Well, could you tell me what
21
    the net worth is of the company that you're dealing with?
22 Is it a dollar, $10, a thousand dollars.
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: Mr. McAlpine, do you want to
24 address that. And by the way, you know, Mr. Wygod has
    introduced himself as a leader of this organization. He's
    also a Board member of the Del Mar Thoroughbred Club,
    which he's certainly entitled to. It's a real honor. He
   is also contracted with TVG.
28
0084
                  So, you know, that needs to be placed in the
01
02
    record, too, today. Because at Del Mar Thoroughbred Club
    has a contract with TVG. Mr. McAlpine, I think you can
    answer the financial details on that.
           MR. MCALPINE: XpressBet, Inc., which is the
06
    companies current name is a brand new company. It's got
    minimal capitalization, but is backed up by Magna
    Entertainment Corporation and we can provide whatever
09
    comfort anybody needs to support that statement.
10
           MR. HARRIS: Well, what is the logic of having that
11
    as a subsidiary versus doing it as a DBA or something of
12
    Magna Entertainment?
13
           MR. MCALPINE: Our overall corporate structure tax
14 planning, a whole variety of reasons suggested that we do
    that, Mr. Harris. That's the way our whole company is set
    up with a variety of subsidiaries.
17
           MR. WYGOD: Thank you. In response to
18 Mr. Van de Kamp, just to clear the record, as I've said
    publicly several times in the past, I'm -- number one, I
    was not on the Board of Directors of Del Mar when they
21
    entered into the agreement with TVG. I was not aware of it
    at that time. I believe I was hospitalized at the time.
22
                  Secondly, I do have -- I've had in the past,
24 which is over a year ago, business transactions with the
    parent company of major shareholder of GemStar News Corp.
    Um, I've never had business transactions with GemStar or
27
    with TVG, I'm aware of but (unintelligible).
28
                  As recently of two weeks ago, um, um, when I
0085
01 met with Mr. Stronich and the people sitting in
02 front of me, he did request five or six minutes to my
```

stallion (unintelligible). I sent him back a letter that

04 stated that the mares weren't up to par, but we could for 05 relationship reasons supply him with two mares to his 06 stallion, but that's the extent of my business associations and my conflicts of interest. I was just trying to understand, since Mr. Van de Kamp was 09 recommending this, at least if he was aware who he was 10 dealing with and what the net worth of that entity. 11 Um, this is a very difficult thing for me to 12 say, but, um, starting at 8:00 o'clock this morning, going to 9:20 this morning I met with Ron Charles and Drew Couto. And I'm going to honor what they told me off the record. 15 I will not repeat that. But I'm not going to honor 16 anything else that was said in that meeting. 17 And Drew or Ron Charles would like to come up 18 here. You guys want to come up. All right. 19 They told me that they were extremely 20 concerned in the relation to having a transaction here with Magna. That they make up two of the three people, 22 along with John Van de Kamp, that I understood does the 23 negotiations. 24 At that time, at 9:18 today, they were not 25 even aware that there was going to be agreed upon deal. That was at 9:18 this morning. They told me that they had worked out good financial arrangements that had not been agreed to by Magna, but they were really concerned with 28 0086 the actual contract with them, and that the devil would be 01 02 in the details. Um, um, they were extremely apprehensive, or 03 04 I think the right word might be horrified, of having a deal here with Magna based upon the cannibalization that would take place. And then I find out that the Board has approved a transaction. So that must have taken place 80 between, oh, 9:20 and the beginning of this meeting. Thoroughbred racing in California is at risk 10 here, and I'm extremely concerned that the wrong decision 11 will be made. When you talk about no downside because of 12 the financial arrangements, this is one of the oldest 13 tricks in the books. 14 Can you imagine what takes place if they go 15 in, recondition the bettors at the racetracks to bet through a new methodology, either through the phone banks, or through the automatic -- whatever type of equipment they put in, and then you intend to go ahead ten months later, and you try to change it. They would have all the leverage at that time. They would have changed the practice of how the individual bets at the racetrack. And what concerns me here is what they're doing. Their focus is on the current betting public that goes to the races or to 24 the off-track betting. 25 I don't know want to be repetitive. I know this was said before, but I don't see anything that they're bringing to the table, other than creating something that once it starts, you're not going to be able to end it at 28 0087 01 the of the year, in ten months, even if you want to. It's 02 going to create a new form, a new way of doing business. 03 They are going to have tremendous leverage at that time,

04 and you're going to be at a very disadvantageous position.

Thank you for your time.

MR. LANDSBURG: Like any good television program, this is a perfect time for a commercial. We will reconvene at 12:55. I again caution the Board. Members of the Board, caution. Members of the Board, no discussion of this outside this room. Thank you. 10 11 (A break was taken in the Proceedings at 12 12:43 p.m. and the Meeting reconvened at 13 12:59 p.m.) 14 MR. LANDSBURG: Ladies and gentlemen, the meeting 15 is now back in session. Mr. Couto had raised his hand and we couldn't 16 17 hold for the commercial. So having known what has been 18 said by previous speakers, Mr. Couto is here to speak. You 19 have the microphone. 20 MR. COUTO: Thank you, Chairman Landsburg, members 21 of the Board. 22 My name is Drew Couto, I'm the Director of 23 Thoroughbred Owners of California. It's been 24 four years since I was at my last CHRB meeting, and at 25 this moment I've never been so happy to be here in my 27 Anyway, this morning I would just like to 28 respond from for a moment to Mr. Wydog's comments. 0088 This morning, Mr. Charles and I did meet with Mr. Wygod 0.1 02 in an attempt to explain how the committee viewed this process. And in the process we did have a confidential conversation, and my fault, apparently we couldn't 0.5 delineate what was confidential and what wasn't. We did express to Mr. Wygod our concerns 06 07 about all of the proposals and their potential for cannibalization, and that every one of them, no matter which one we were presented with, had certain dangers that 10 we were concerned about, and didn't promote the sport to 11 the full extent that we would like to see it promoted as 12 Chairman Landsburg has expressed. 13 Nonetheless, we viewed each independently. 14 We valued each based on what we assumed would be their 15 potential for cannibalization and came up with an offer to each that was individual, separate. And we made that offer with Board approval and we discussed the potential 18 for one of them to be accepted, and that's why we're here 19 today. I hope to have the chance again to talk Mr. 21 Wygod. I appreciate his input. Mr. Charles and I both were very pleased with the feedback we had from him. we left him with any incorrect perceptions, I'd like to apologize to Mr. Wygod and to Board for that, but we're happy to talk to anyone whether it's on the Board, or an owner or trainer or fan about our philosophy in approaching this. And we encourage the discussion among 28 the interested parties and we'll do our part. Thank you. 0089 01 MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. 02 Are there further comments? Yes, Chris. 03 MR. KORBY: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Christopher 04 Korby, California Authority of Racing Affairs. I would 05 just like to confer what Mr. Liebau said earlier about discussions that are under way between the fairs that 06 conduct live racing during the period that was referred to

08 earlier. Those conversations are active and being 09 conducted in good faith. There are not inconclusive, but 10 ongoing. Thank you. MR. LANDSBURG: Right. It's my understanding that 11 12 -- is it correct, is my understanding; correct, that this 13 -- is your discussion subject to confirmation by the TOC? 14 Just a question. 15 It suddenly occurs to me that you're in 16 negotiations for the race for Magna. Whose responsibility 17 is it in this case? I just got lost for a minute? MR. KORBY: Well, in these and other matters would 18 be on the side of TOC are partners in this, and be glad to 19 20 discuss with them about whatever we're in discussion. 2.1 MR. LANDSBURG: -- (unintelligible) Mr. Leibau will 22 be subject to TOC? 23 MR. KORBY: Yes, that's fine with us. Thank you. 24 MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. 25 MR. TOWNE: Mr. Chairman, members, Norm Towne 26 representing Pari-Mutuel Employees Guild, the San Mateo County Fair, The California Exposition and State Fair and the Solano County Fair. 0090 01 First of all, I hold in my hand something --02 I stopped by Western Fairs Association the other day, and Steve Chambers who is the Executive Director of Western Fairs, an organization that represents fairs all over the Western United States and Canada, gave me a page from the California State Fair Program 1947. And in that program is an advertisement from the Nevada Turf Club with phone 07 80 numbers. Phone betting was alive and well on an interstate basis in 1947, in California and Nevada. And here we are today, 65 years later trying to figure out how to implement 11 it. I'm sure we're going to hear more today from other 12 entities that wish to conduct this activity. 13 The people that I represent believe that, as 14 I've heard others say, that there is a risk of cannibalization to product that we know and love in 15 California, but with any one opportunity, there is a risk. 17 And this is great marketing opportunity once again, a tool 18 for horse racing. And once again, we sit here and we argue and fight over how we're going to do that. It's our 20 belief, the people that I represent believe, that the more the merrier. There isn't a single approach to this, and that there are all kinds of good marketing ideas out there, and we need to take advantage of all of them. 24 have underexposed product. So let's try to find a way to get it on an even playing field with all of those products that aren't underexposed. We've let time go by. 27 As Mr. Landsburg said earlier, two 28 generations have missed it. They missed the train that 0091 01 we're on, and that we like, but it's the Orient Express. We need to get into the 21st Century with both feet. Somebody needs to be spending a hundred percent of their time on player and product development for horse racing. 05 And these entities that are here today, I think are in way 06 doing that, and that's a good thing.

But we believe that we need to focus on 08 California. That we should work with the California product, and try to get it to the California customer.

07

10 That should be the goal for the benefit of everyone in 11 California. And we're exploring the possibilities of putting a system in place or coming back to this Board with an application with a system that would operate exclusively in California and would distribute that signal 15 if made available to us on a private basis.

Public television is very important. We agreed with the entities that have said that this an important component in this. The Internet is an extremely important component. We would also be involved in that, but the private dissemination of that signal is also important, in particular if it focuses strictly on 22 California.

Our customers are used to seeing what we 24 present every day in the satellite facilities. Mr. Korby 25 was just up here, you know, the fair is the trainers, the 26 breeders, the TOC, all of the entities that participate 27 and obtain revenue from the existing scheme should be 28 involved in these discussions.

0092 01

> 07 80

2.5

28

07

16

17

18

19

21

2.3

We shouldn't be at this point and somehow 02 we're here. We intend to have an inclusive system, not an exclusive system. That's what we'd like to present to the Board. And we would like to make presentations to all of the entities in racing about how they could be accomplished and get input as to how that could be accomplished, but there shouldn't be a lot of duplication of effort.

09 A public signal -- you know, we're willing 10 to do this on a public benefit basis where there's some 11 profit margin set aside and that benefits the industry. But all of the money, all of the economic benefit comes 13 here. If there's going be cannibalization, we believe we 14 should cannibalize ourselves. Don't send six-and-a-half 15 percent of the money to some other jurisdiction, keep that six-and-a-half percent or up to six-and-a-half percent 17 here. Keep as much of the revenue as you can keep here, 18 preserve the jobs, create jobs for California. And if 19 you're cannibalized, at least the pot stays the same, in 20 California. The money might be shifted around, but as Mr. Van de Kamp and others said, we can adjust on the back 9, but we can't do it if we implement and that money goes someplace else. Thank you for your time, I appreciate it.

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you.

MR. HOROWITZ: Alan Horowitz, Capitol Racing. You've heard about the Thoroughbreds and their involvement with this Magna project, and I'd like to say that on behalf of Capitol Racing and the California Harness 0093

01 Horsemen's Association, we've entered into an agreement as well, a nonexclusive agreement, but nonetheless an 03 agreement with Magna, and we wish them well in this endeavor, and we ask the Board's support to help them along. It was a very fair, and in some respects, generous agreement, similar in respect to the generous nature of the agreement with TOC. We thought that the Board would 80 want to know that. Thank you.

09 MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen 10 we've listened to an hour and a half or more of 11 discussions of the Magna license, are there any further

12 discussions? This is the time to speak, not later. 13 MR. LICHT: I'd like to ask Drew Couto, I didn't 14 understand his response, to tell you the truth. I should ask while you're up. Can you come back and address the questions that were raised regarding the overall TOC's 17 Board support of this letter agreement between Magna and 18 TOC and also the knowledge of the other Board members, if 19 the Board members are all on target and stuff. 20 MR. COUTO: I think this is probably better directed 21 to Mr. Van de Kamp, but since I'm up here. The terms of 22 the proposals submitted to Magna was a proposal approved 23 by the TOC Board and advanced negotiations. 24 The terms that were accepted are identical to 25 what we were authorized to convey on behalf of the TOC 26 Board. We do have the final contract to be defined and 27 clarified, and I'm sure that we will submit that back to 28 the Board to make certain that we have their full 0094 01 authority on executing the final agreement. 02 MR. HARRIS: A follow-up question on that same 03 issue, which I'm not sure who's best to answer it, but would TOC feel more comfortable if they had more time to really explain this contract to the various horsemen and trainer and all, or do you think that really we should 07 proceed today with what you have? 80 It looks like a good contract, but the concern is it hasn't really had very much review. 09 didn't see it all until today. It's dated today. 10 I can only answer on my own behalf. 11 MR. COUTO: 12 don't think I can speak on behalf of TOC. 13 I can say that are committee put in literally 14 hundreds of hours trying to get our hands around -- around this issue, and recognizing that no matter which way went, 16 we were going to have a component of our constituents 17 pleased with the decision and the other component not so 18 happy. 19 So in a sense we're dammed if we do, and 20 dammed if we don't. Would more time help? I'm afraid to 21 say that it would be or wouldn't. I know that me as a committee member, speaking on my own behalf, the deal that 23 we that would put forward was a deal that returned quite a 24 bit to horsemen. 25 And I'm sorry for making a roundabout answer. I really don't know whether more time is (unintelligible). I'll defer to Mr. Van de Kamp. 28 MR. VAN DE KAMP: I think the simple answer is the 0095 01 agreement expires today if no license is granted so we're back to scratch, if it's not passed today. I think Mr. Mc Guil (phonetic) has to leave. 03 04 I'll confirm that. You have copies I think of the 05 agreement before the Board, are -- it's now public record. And what -- where it will end up next, I don't know. 07 I have given a copy of the contract, with 80 the authority, I think, of the Board to Mr. Halpern to 09 take a look at today and I'm going to give him the 10 numbers. We are very satisfied because we've been at this 11 for some time. This is extraordinarly fair for horsemen 12 and (unintelligible). 13 MR. PIZANCE: While he raising it so I can speak,

14 Mr. Chairman, Gary Pizance, and I think I know most of the people in the room. Those who don't know me I'm a Californian, investor in the horse business. 16

17

18

19

20

03

05

10

11

12 13

15

17

18

19

20

21

25

0097 01

> 0.2 03

04

05

And presently, am very proud to be the Chairman of Thoroughbred Owners and Breeders of America, which represents about 3000 of major owners that have big investments in horses all over the country.

21 But I really have been enjoying listening to 22 the presentation this morning and I'd like to speak, myself, with some notes that I have as a Californian and from my own personal standpoint. I'll try not to read 25 them too much. I'll try to address them to you clearly. 26 Reaching the better -- the Chairman has made 2.7 it clear that really what the goal we're trying to talk

28 about in this session is reaching new bettors. The 0096

01 question is what is the best way to reach new bettors. 02 That's what you're really talking about.

In my opinion, television is the answer to 04 popularizing racing, not the Internet. I don't have an opposition to the Internet, my children play on it and work all the games. It's a good video, but television is what made major league sports in America. It made the NFL. It 08 made NASCAR. It made the NBA. It made any major sport, something that was popular in the eyes of the American public. Those of us that watch Monday Night Football or watch NBA Basketball don't have to gamble on it, but it attracts millions of people to the screen that are attracted to the sport and become enamored and enjoy the sport. It creates new interest in the sport. Television itself is the greatest advertising media ever devised, and we have some groups here today that are trying to figure out a way not use it.

In other words, to convince you that there's a better use than television. To me that is not a very clear picture. I see television as the correct medium to enhance Thoroughbred racing and to popularize the sport 22 and make it a major league sport and get more people 23 interested in it. TVG, who is probably going to make a 24 presentation after I speak, has invested heavily in our industry, in the racing industry, throughout the country. They made investments in the industry, which all of you know because they had exclusive contracts with tracks to 28 show their products.

There's been objections to exclusive contracts, but I would even question your Board as one that gives exclusive contracts to tracks when you give them race dates. You get an exclusive contracts for that period of time. So that's something that's done to everybody and in every industry.

06 07 TVG offered source marketing fees, which was 80 money back to purses, which I as an owner with an 09 investment of millions of dollars in horses and trying to keep the books balanced, am interested in purses going up. 11 That's probably the most important thing to me, not the 12 profitability of the corporation, not the profitability of the individual enterprise, but the opportunity for me and 13 14 hopefully new owners to come into our sport to have a chance to balance their books and make some money.

16 TVG paid Kentucky last year, another State 17 where I have a farm, almost two million dollars in source marketing fees. They deposited that money. It wasn't bonds that we're going to get there, or not on time. The 20 money is there. They paid. So that was done.

21 Now, what we have, what I call, others 22 wanting to get sort of, into the game. But what medium 23 are they going to use? It's obvious that Internet is the medium. The gentlemen in front of me today mentioned that they have network ready to start. It's got 3,000 customers, 3,000. They're ready to go. That's just a 27 thousand less than the attendance at Santa Anita last 28 Wednesday. That's not a great number to me. And how much 0098

01 investment are they prepared to put into this game? How 02 much to make this medium much larger and attract all these 03 new fans? No one should prevent competition. It's 04 wonderful. It's healthy. We use the term, free 05 enterprise. I get it mixed up with people that are 06 confused that really mean equal opportunity. They're two 07 different things, but it should be the same for everybody. 08 And that's fine, nobody discouraged that, but denying the entire country and the State and San Diego where I live, 10 the fact that I can't see my horses run at Santa Anita when they run, to me it's a disservice to the industry. That's not a contribution, it's a disservice to the 13 industry. And it irritates me. It irritates me that I

live that far away and I can't watch my horses run. Any of the small companies that think 16 expanding gambling over the Internet is going to raise the quality of racing, the integrity of racing, on a local level or national level and bring lots of new fans to the racetrack, are not thinking very clearly. There's a tremendous amount of work that would be needed to educate and teach people how to even use it and how to bring it to the racetrack.

14

15

17

19 20

21

22

23

27

28

03

04

05

06

07 0.8

09

13

14

15

In an effort -- in an effort almost control 24 racing or whatever, the group in front of me, made an effort some time ago to back another company that had distribution, and that company went out of business and we lost that signal, they're gone. When Mr. Wygod asked what the net worth was of that new company, and the 0099

01 gentlemen said, "We can make it anything you want." That's fine, but the company that they invested in, is not there anymore.

The promises, et cetera, in my opinion, and the (unintelligible) that we've watched over a period of time, and the disservice I think is happening, should convince the Board, in my opinion, that TVG and the proper source marketing fees are adequate ones for this State, are the right way to go. And in my judgement, if they have no issues with you, their bonds are in and they've done all their work, you ought to grant them a license. And we ought to see their races on television.

MR. LANDSBURG: I think you're going ahead of our agenda. So I'd ask you to hold that (unintelligible).

MR. PIZANCE: Okay. I just feel, again in my role 16 as Chairman again of a large group of people all over the country that would like to watch Californian racing, that, 18 you know, we ought to have the opportunity to do that, and that's something I think this Board should take seriously 20 about. Thank you.

(Applause)

MR. LICHT: I agree with some of the things that you said. Actually, most of what you said, and when -- I think John Van de Kamp used the expression, something like if he could build the ideal platform or something -- I think that what you say is true, but unfortunately, we're dealing with private enterprises.

This isn't NHRA, this isn't New Jersey

28 0100

0.3

15

16

17

18 19

21

22

01 racing. This is owned by private companies. And their profit-making opportunities have to be considered too. It 0.2 isn't only what's in the best interest of racing. And I 04 also think it's unfair to characterize that they haven't 05 put anything into the game. I think there's been 06 testimony here that they've put in \$300 million dollars, 07 into the bricks and mortar that give us the opportunity to 08 have this game here. So I think that some of those 09 assertions aren't appropriate.

MR. PIZANCE: Mr. Chairman, I feel that I'm well 11 qualified as a CEO of a public company that represented thousands of people and sold products and service all over the country to understand earnings per share, profitability and how a corporation runs. For your job, if my judgement, it's the fans enjoyment, what we're trying to do for the public, et cetera, that is a responsibility that needs to be kept. In my judgement right now, that's not being done too well. Thank you.

MR. HARRIS: I'm not clear if the -- to Gary's 20 point, you feel that the horsemen's agreement should include some (unintelligible) in it that would assure wide distribution of TV or you think that is not really part of the horsemen's agreement, it's just part of the licensing agreement.

MR. PIZANCE: I'm not sure or clear on that or care that much about that. In fact, the free competition is fine with me, I wouldn't care how many people you license. It doesn't bother me.

2.8 0101 01

07

80

09

10 11

13

14 15

16

24

2.5

26

In other words, I just think that the people that do it right, and are there, and have the investment, and are in business ought to go forward. And if somebody wants to compete with them, run up different media or the same media, or put up the same amount of money and compete with them, that's marvelous free competition. We ought to have that. But I wouldn't deny someone that's doing it right.

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. Mr. Pizance, you and I have disagreed in the past on things, but this is one of those points, at least one of your points, I agree with wholeheartedly, and wanted you to know.

In fact, when musing about this meeting and wondering what it was that I had to crystallize for myself, I'm going to take the liberty of reading to you what my notes were.

17 I met with representatives of major would be 18 I met and been in contact with representative licensees. members of the racing community, jockeys, trainers, labor,

20 breeders, owners and respective representatives -- and their respective representatives.

22 The CHRB set the table for an orderly 23 procedure, but apparently what we've gotten into is a food fight. The key to success of ADW is promulgation of California racing under the most widely available broadcast mechanisms. The TV signal will serve the industry best will have to do far more than explain wagering. The goal should be to create a program, which 0102

01 will bring new celebrity to the people in horses of 02 racing. We can refocus racing if we portray hero of jockeys, trainers, horses with whom a new audience can identify. If we can accomplish this identification within the framework of displaying our races, then I believe we 06 will open the gate way to the hearts and minds with new and lapsed fans.

This axiomatic in the world of sports, given an audience someone and something for which to cheer, will come to your arenas, and strangely enough, they don't cheer for money. I recommended that ADW executives come to Clockers Corner, particular after reading that once upon a time 40,000 people turned out to see a horse one year. A hero horse named "Sea Biscuit." Other than the 15 back stretchers, a handful of people now show up, seeking a winning bet. We're too long in preaching to the choir. It's time we went beyond beer mugs, T-shirts, and gimmick gifts and I hope that with ADW we can do that.

I'm concerned in the case of Magna, that we 20 are planting a very small seed and hoping that it will grow into something. And I don't know that that is this Board's function. I don't know (unintelligible) what we want to see -- or I do know that what we want to see is the kind of outreach that would make us see, wow, you're getting to them. You're giving us something new. You're not just giving us another way to bet, you're giving us something new.

In that respect, I haven't heard it yet.

28 0103

07

09

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

27

03

04

05

07

80

09

10

17 18

19

21

01 I've heard architectural renderings. And I would hope that 02 you can convince me that this will not be a matter of turning you on and the next time we go to Santa Anita, we'll be 1 of 47 people sitting in those grandstands, which is my grandest fear in this.

So please convince me. I'm not convinced yet by what I saw in the presentation, nor what I've heard from you. I haven't heard that you have made arrangements and contacts to get the full signal that you own and cherish out to a wider audience. That's what I haven't heard. And until you can convince me, I'm not willing to say yes, but we have a Board that will discuss that. If you would care to address that question, I'd be happy to listen.

MR. MCALPINE: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I believe we've undertaken as an aggressive a program as anybody could undertake in the period of time that (A) we've been in business, and (B), the period of time that account wagering has been on the platform in California.

MR. LANDSBURG: Are we rushing?

MR. MCALPINE: I don't believe we are rushing. I

22 think -- I think --MR. LANDSBURG: You said within the time we've had. 24 If you need more time, I'm happy to give it to you. 25 MR. MCALPINE: We don't believe we need more time. 26 MR. LANDSBURG: No. I wasn't being -- I didn't mean to be making a joke of your enterprise because I 27 28 think you've taken grand steps to here, but I think 0104 01 there's a leap left to go. That's a personal impression.
02 MR. MCALPINE: And I think that as one of the 03 previous speakers said with any substantial opportunity, there's risk. And we put our capital, and our human 0.5 resources behind this venture, and we'll continue to add capital and human resources to this venture to grow and to 07 be something that you will say, yippee. 80 Because frankly, that's what we want as 09 well. And we don't see that the efforts we've taken to 10 date don't support that commitment, and don't demonstrate 11 that we can get there. 12 MR. LANDSBURG: The efforts to establish a 13 mechanism are there. I applaud you. I also applaud, as I 14 said to you before, having been through negotiations in simulcast wagering, we've taking a long step forward with what you have done. Because it is an important step. It does bring more to racing, but if it brings more to racing from a couple thousand people, I'm not interested in it, 19 and that's the problem. MR. MCALPINE: And neither are we, and you pointed 20 21 it out yourself, it has been two generations this industry has been in decline. None of us are going to turn on a magic light switch this afternoon, or next week to fix two generations of decline. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. LANDSBURG: I would hope you make some 26 preparations for it. I don't see them. I don't see the ability to get a commercial -- commercially viable, for 28 the public signal out. 0105 01

MR. MCALPINE: Well, the reality is that we have 02 demonstrated in one of jurisdictions that we could grow a business with 27,000 subscribers. We believe that we can take capability and grow significantly in California that would satisfy Californians and grow the wagering dollar in California.

05

06

07

09

10 11

12

13

15

17

19

Another one of the gentlemen talked with 08 growth and talked with new customers, I, like you, believe that the real new customer is somebody that we haven't seen yet.

That's where our priority will be, but the other reality is, there is more than a billion dollars of Pari-Mutuel (unintelligible) that goes offshore to the rebaters and if we can repatriate some of that billion dollars, that would substantially enhance the pocketbooks of everybody in California who's involved in horse racing. So there are many different thresholds that we will attack to get new customers.

MR. LANDSBURG: We were inundated in the last 15 20 days because we're moving so fast, that an immense amount 21 of paper, all of which has details, and all of which are 22 seven innocence here, if you will, are looking at and 23 trying to figure out, are we about to move on something

24 that's right? I've given you my impression. I think we 25 still have a concrete plan, and if you will, a commitment in hand that says, we are reaching an audience of "X" and we plan -- in the next business plan, we are reaching "X because we have made agreements to reach "X", we will 0106 01 reach "Y." We will reach "C." And if we don't, we have failed. Now, that's a business plan that anybody who was in this business, who is starting a new business should have in mind. I haven't heard it, and I don't know what content. The content you're talking about is wagering, and that does not bring in new people. Because if they 07 don't know how to bet and haven't bet before, they're not 0.8 going to bet now. 09 I'm sorry, I don't mean to be -- this is my 10 passion and feeling about racing. This is what I have 11 wanted out of racing and for racing, so I'm expressing my 12 own feelings. 13 I've worked in television all my life. 14 Mr. Pizance and television is the medium. It's the only one we can reach a lot of people and move, if we are moving (unintelligible) the right basis. I hope we can do that. I would hope that when we say, "go", on -- and this Board is not going to hold it back, but when we say go, we've got to know that we're saying go into something that can be enormously productive and not just make a few dollars in extra Purses for the horsemen. 21 22 Editorial finished. That's my view. I have 23 not communicated this to the Board. I have just written my notes for myself. 25 We still have -- do we have anymore 26 discussion that people would like? 27 Nothing from the audience? 28 Then we are here to entertain motions that 0107 01 will open this door or not. I have gone as far as I can go 02 as an individual. 03 MR. HARRIS: I agree with Chairman Landsburg. If you were come forward with a plan or maybe you have a plan -- is there something that you could show to the racing community that you're doing good forward to achieve a 07 certain amount of viewers are following -- of racing on --0.8 in some medium anyway? MR. MCALPINE: Mr. Harris, we did submit a business plan with our application. The business plan contemplates 11 the handle in the first year -- of something 29 million going to about 253 million or a period of years. 12 13 It also talks about numbers of customers. 14 You know, those customers will be growing by following an aggressive marketing campaign, by providing distribution 15 through various technologies, by providing technology 16 17 supports so people can wager via the telephone, the 18 Internet, and view products over the television 19 (unintelligible). So there is a business plan and we 20 believe it can be accomplished. 21 We've seen a lot of other entities develop 22 business plans that are frankly Pie in the Sky, and they

23 haven't happened. And we don't believe that that's the 24 appropriate way to go forward in this venture. We think

there is a huge opportunity here, but none of us should kid

```
26 ourselves, it's not going to happen instantly. It's going
    to take a long time. It's going to take a considerable
28 amount of investment and energy and innovation to make it
0108
01 happen. And we very much would like the opportunity to do
02
    that for the benefit of all us.
03
           MR. LICHT:
                       I have a question for you based
04 upon your concerns really. What more would you have
    expected Magna to do as -- strictly with respect to
05
    creating a broadcast or television opportunity, other than
07
    make a deal with TVG like they've done already.
80
           MR. LANDSBURG: In individual meetings with
09 executives of Magna, I was told, I believe, that -- and I
10 don't want to reveal things that are outside, but I was
11 told that negotiations were underway for cable coverage in
12 Northern California of Golden Gate and Bay Meadows.
13
                  That's a -- once again, it's an
14 architectural rendering, until you say to me, we have
15
   locked down this area. But nobody's made the attempt to
   lock down that area, that I know of. Nobody has sealed
    that door and said, "We have it subject to our license
    opening." Hey, that's a plan. That's a forward moving
19 plan.
          I was told that by executives of Magna.
20
           MR. MCALPINE: You're absolutely correct. And I was
21 one of the executives who probably was part of the
22 discussion --
           MR. LANDSBURG: I never quote names or cite
23
24 sources.
           MR. MCALPINE: You might (unintelligible). Let's
25
26 talk about it. We've got a number of initiatives in
    California to obtain distribution, but you are absolutely
    right. We do not have a signed contract today.
0109
01 wouldn't come in here and tell you that we've achieved
02
    something we haven't yet achieved.
           MR. LANDSBURG: Once again, it's -- it's uh -- what
03
04 was it -- promises, promises. I'm -- you know, we're
    engaged, but we're not wed yet. And we're not over this
05
06
    point, at this moment.
07
                  Once again, if there's more discussion,
08 please, I don't want to cut it off.
09
                  In which case, I will entertain a motion
10 from the Board either to -- I think in front of us are
11
    three directions.
12
                  One, to delay approval. Two, to approve as
13
    requested. And three, to not approve the application.
    Those are the three choices which now sit in front of us.
15
                  I would entertain from the Board a motion so
16
    that we can begin this process of determination of the
17
    Board's feeling.
18
           MR. LICHT: I move that Magna's proposal be
19
    accepted and that you grant the license.
20
           MS. GRANZELLA: And I second it.
21
           MR. LANDSBURG: Moved and seconded. All in favor.
22
           THE BOARD: Aye.
23
           MR. LANDSBURG: Can I raise to have a hand count,
24 please. There are five. Was there five? So approved.
25
                  And I will come back to you if I don't see
26
   the promises realized.
27
                  (Pause in Proceedings)
```

```
0110
 01
    Item 6 on the agenda, discussion and action by the Board
    on the Application for License to Conduct Advance Deposit
    Wagering by ODS Technologies, L.P., D.B.A, TVG.
 04
           MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The
 05
    application before you is from TVG. They have filed an
 06
    application to function as an out-of-state
 07
    multijurisdictional wagering hub. Their dates of
 80
    operation for the hub will be 365 days a year. The hours
 09
    of operation as proposed are 24 hours a day.
 10
                 TVG will be providing services for the
 11
   following associations: The Los Alamitos Racing
    Association, Churchill Downs Incorporated at Hollywood
 12
 13
    Park, Del Mar Thoroughbred Club at Del Mar, Los Angeles
    County Fair at Fairplex, and The Oak Tree Racing
 15
    Association at Santa Anita.
16
                 The analysis in your packet indicates that
 17
    there are two items that are missing. Those items have
 18 been received by staff. We have received the contracts
    with the racing association. We have received the
 20 Horsemen's Approval from the Quarter Horse. TVG is
 21 prepared to make a presentation to the Board.
           MR. WILSON: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman.
 23
                 For the record, Mark Wilson, Chief Executive
 24
    Officer of TVG. With me on my right is John Hindman,
    he's our Vice President and General Counsel. And on my
    left is Marcy Miller, who's our Vice President of
    Marketing; and from Gemstar TV Guide International, senior
    Vice President of Marketing and Promotion, Suzanne Meeks.
 28
0111
    Also with me in the audience today is our Chief Counsel,
    Rich McNutt, who can explain issues that you might have
    with any of our wagering platforms; Jason Bulger who's our
    Director of Promotions, who will be handling our
    presentation. Dr. Curt Barrett, who's our Compulsive
    Gaming Expert and Advisor is also in the audience, along
 07
    with our counsel and others.
                 It's a pleasure to be before you,
 80
 09 Mr. Chairman and members of the commission and staff.
    listened very carefully to your vision of what you want
    advance deposit wagering to be, and in your vision of how
    you want television to play a role in expanding the
13
    marketplace for both horseman and our racetrack partners.
                 If I could, for just a moment, I would like
15
    to just summarize again our application. We believe that
    we meet all the requirements of Business and Professions
 17
    Code 19604, as well as the CHRB regulations implementing
    that statute. We posted the bond with the application.
 18
    We established the required security access policy
 19
    safeguards and procedures that are clearly identified in
    our operating plan. We verified our capability to provide
    a full accounting verification and source of each wager,
    and we're committed to provide that in the form of a daily
    download to a designated industry database.
                 We have the financial resources, the
 26 operating advance deposit wagering, and not only advance
    deposit wagering, but also the television programming that
 2.8
    must go along with it to broaden the fan base to racing
0112
```

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you very much. We are at

28

01 both in California, nationwide, and ultimately worldwide. Our parent company of which we are simply a 03 business unit, an \$8 billion dollar company, with enormous resources and no long-term debt to speak of. We've committed that our advertisements will contain a statement that persons under the age of 21 are not allowed to have 07 accounts, and that all ads will have contact information 80 for recognized problem games support organizations. 09 We've engaged in independent third-party 10 information services, to provide age, residency and 11 identity verification for each account holder. Our 12

wagering systems require unique access numbers and means of personal identification to assure that only the account 13 14 holder has access to his or her account. We have a 15 California agent for service and process. We will be committed to all the appropriate access for purposes of inspecting and reviewing our operation, and to allow audit 18 wagering by California residents.

We have contracts, as staff mentioned, 20 with Del Mar, Fairplex, Hollywood Park, Oak Tree and 21 Los Alamitos. Los Alamitos has a mild race meet, which 22 extends throughout the entire calendar year, which alone satisfies the criteria set forth for approval and statute and the CHRB regulations for granting approval for an out-of-state provider. We have, as staff mentioned, 26 horsemen's approval for that particular contract.

27 We believe that television distribution or 28 all television distribution is the absolute key to 0113

01 broadening the fan base for racing in California and 02 elsewhere.

17

19

03

07

0.8

09

10

11

17

19

20

21

23

24

27

0114

We believe that there's been lots of discussion about exclusivity, which we believe is a business matter, and exclusivity is the predicate for \$150 million-dollar investment in this industry, much of which has been invested in California in terms of jobs and benefits.

We believe that broad distribution is the key to creating new fans and to bring back into the business, casual fans or lapsed fans. It's worked for every single sport that has embraced broadening distributed to television. Unfortunately, racing over the last 30 to 40 14 years didn't embrace television in the same way that other sports have. We've changed that. We're real. We're in eight million homes today. We announced yesterday an exciting cable distribution deal with Adelphia Cable, which serves much of the Los Angeles area, and we believe that over time, and they are extremely tough negotiations.

We've acknowledged our successes. We've also acknowledged our failures. We believe that over time we will also have cable distribution deals with others in the state of California.

We have a license agreement with AT&T, which has systems in Northern California, but as most of you know Comcast Cable has now acquired AT&T, and if that deal closes, we believe we will be in a good position there 28 since Comcast's an enthusiastic supporter of TVG, having

01 launched us through the state of Maryland and our 02 performance in that state has been ahead of expectation.

So in summary, we believe that under all of 04 the statutory and regulatory criteria that's applicable to granting a license to TVG, we're real; we've invested 06 money; we have real television, and real programs. 07 And what we would like to do, before I talk 80 to you a little bit of the overview of the company, is 09 also mention one other thing. Back in Del Mar the prudent 10 legislation has passed. We entered into an agreement to protect purses, and, in fact, we sent around a California 11 purse protection plan both to the TOC and the CTT. 13 So having met each of these requirements for 14 approval, we respectfully urge you to grant us a license. 15 Before we go there, if I could, I'd like to 16 give you a very brief overview of TVG operations. What I 17 believe to be the following basic cable and satellite 18 distribution, most of you know who are available 19 throughout the nation on Dish Network, who by the way, has 20 entered into a contract to acquire DirectTV. And if that 21 deal closes, we believe that will be an exciting result 22 for TVG, as well. 23 We believe in premium racing content. We 24 believe in patent protected interactive technology and we 25 believe in world-class customer service, and most off all, we believe in the marketing power of Gemstar TV Guide International, which reaches over 75 million people a week 28 in the United States and Suzanne Meeks will speak to our 0115 01 reach in California specifically. 02 Premium television programming, what is that? 03 Most of you've seen TVG. You can get it on Channel 405 throughout California. 700,000 Californians can do that. And we wanted to give you just a brief look at some snapshots of our program and design to address what the 07 Chairman of this Commission talked about emphasizing personality -- emphasizing drama racing, and yes, giving 09 you the opportunity to make a wager or two. 10 (Video Demonstration) 11 MR. WILSON: Just a taste of where we've been. 12 We've covered the -- center for America's racing 13 community. We've covered racing from the Arc in 14 France, from Argentina. We've been literally around the world in England and also all continents -- or all over the world to bring the best racing in the world that otherwise wasn't available here in the U.S. And obviously, we've done feature after feature on the special 19 events of racing capped off by the enormous achievement on 20 the (unintelligible) --21 Much has been said about distribution. 22 Distribution for TVG is the single most important element of our company. And we have performed on distribution. TVG is nationwide distributed on Dish Network. And it's not on a specialty platform. You don't have to go buy two dishes. You don't have to pay a special fee per month. It's part of the basic package. It's there on Channel 405, just below some of the Fox channels, for instance. 0116 01 In California specifically, we're on Dish 02 Network throughout the state, like we are throughout the 03 country. And as mentioned, we announced the Adelphia

04 Digital Cable deal in the Los Angeles, which will be

05 launched in the next 30 to 60 days as soon as consumer 06 notifications are given, presuming that we're able to get approval to operate. We're pursuing aggressively, cable distribution deals with other providers in California, 80 Time Warner and Cox. The leverage that we have through 10 our parent company, which provides guide and service, 11 guiding people to particular programs that they want to 12 watch on TVG, gives us the ability to do something that is 13 very critical. It allows us to go out and make distribution deals and still have enough margin left over to give back to the industry pursuant to our business 15 plan, which has been widely discussed, almost 70 percent 16 of the takeout. 17

18

19

21

22

23

28

0117 01

02

05 06

07

10

11

12

13

15

17

19

21

27

28

One day when distribution gets broad enough and has crossed enough markets, we then begin to get significant advertising revenues, which is the way, at the end of the day, that this entire business model makes sense. That's how we can afford to send back 70 percent of the takeout through those racetrack fees and source 24 marketing fees.

Premium racing content we've covered. fact, we have some of the best racing from across the country. Patent protected interactive wagering technology. We've had in operation, not in concept, but

in operation, a very sophisticated I.B.R. system now, for over a couple of years. We've had a very sophisticated online wagering platform, which we're putting on our new version, which allows you to stay all on one page in the next couple of three weeks.

And finally, our long haul to TVG is true interactivity. Just makes common sense. You can wage your own races from home using the device that's most comfortable for you, the remote control. You'll have the most interest in participating in the sport.

And this gives racing it's absolute best ability to pick up lost ground vis-a-vis of other sports. Because we have one tremendous asset, we have the asset of being able to wager from home, and that's something that it properly promoted, properly advertised, will bring casual and new fans back into this game; and being able to use something that's convenient as your remote control to interactive with your television is where we want to go.

Dish Network, our national satellite partner, is in daily work with our Chief Technical Officer and his team, Rich McNutt, to make this a reality. It's a complicated process. They hope that it can happen as soon as third quarter of this year. We'll see if all the pieces fit together, but we know from our experience in Kentucky, where we have test market of interactivity, that this truly is an exciting future for racing, and frankly, for our company.

World-class customer service BJ Cosson, our

0118 Vice President and Customer Service is here, as well. We 02 have third-party age, residents and verifications secured transactions. The new subscriber welcome kit, toll-free customer support; and the state of the art responsible 05 wagering aware program that our licensee, Youbet (phonetic) also adopted -- headed by our compulsive gaming 07 expert Dr. Curt Barrett --

80 Finally, the most important element of TVG is 09 the marketing power of Gemstar TV Guide International. 10 Racing will never pick up and will never grow, unless we're able to take this sport and to market it to casual, 12 lapse and new fans. And in order to be able to do that, 13 to be real and in order to do that, you've got to have significant resources. Racing can't find a better 15 marketing partner than Gemstar TV Guide. It's why we got in the business. It's why \$150 million dollars has been 17 invested in the business. Given the opportunity to get going in a state where the market is the size of 18 19 California, we have the resources to do it right. 20 Suzanne.

MS. MEEKS: Thank you. Gemstar and TV Guide are 22 incredibly excited to bring the weight of our brand and 23 consumer reach to bear on the California horse racing 24 industry through our regular support of TVG, that we have 25 done with it in many other launches. I'd like to quickly 26 take a look at TV Guide's products and services, and a 27 consumer reach I'm talking about.

(Presentation of Video)

0119 01 0.2

> 06 07

80

09

11

12

13

14

15

17 18

05

07

80

21

MS. MEEKS: To reiterate what Mark said earlier, that's 75 million unduplicated households reached weekly by TV Guide products. Unduplicated. That means 75 million different households every week are reached with our entertainment, and we believe that's offering horse racing a whole new fan base who'll be exposed to your events.

Why would we do that? Why would TV Guide and all of it's products and services throw that kind of 10 weight behind TVG? Because TV is one of the most important networks in our portfolio, and we intend to insure it's inted -- continued growth and the track that it has been on.

Specifically, I think it's important to note 22 million, I think, Mr. Chairman you referred to 22 16 million adults in California? About 15 million TV homes total; about eight million of those are cable homes, six -- 5.5 million of those are reached by TV Guide Channel; another million, uhm -- a million adults are reached weekly with TV Guide Magazine; and online, four million unique visitors weekly. Just as an overview, I knew those 22 numbers were important to you.

Secondly, audience targets channel adult 18 24 to 49; online, adult 13 to 34; magazine, adult 18 to 54. 25 I'd like to take a good look now at a sample of the unique 26 entertainment and I want to be clear here, unique entertainment. TV Guide Channel delivered and continued 28 -- will continue to deliver for TVG. This is an example 0120

01 of what we did for TVG for the Kentucky Derby last year, 02 and it's just a sample of what we intend to do. 03

(Presentation of Video)

MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission and staff, that's the overview of the reach and the power of our parent company. To bring our marketing program down to earth and to apply it on a state basis.

I wanted to have our Vice President of

09 Marketing, Marcy Miller, who started with marketing uh 10 HBO, to give you an analysis and an overview of what we are going to put into play in California to reach casual 11 and new fans with some degree of specificity. Marcy.

MS. MILLER: Thank you. And good afternoon everyone. Let me start my presentation by addressing the two issues, which seem to be of greatest concern to you, Commissioner Landsburg and the rest of the Board and most everybody in the room, and that is new fans and distribution.

I'm a new fan. I have -- because TVG is the 20 only television network in the country where you can catch a live sporting event, a live horse race, every day, 12 hours a day, seven days a week. I live in Los Angeles and I have a Dish Network. For the past two years I've been 24 watching TVG on Dish Network. For \$19.95 a month, I get a 25 hundred basic satellite programs, satellite channels. One 26 of those channels is TVG. And as Mark pointed out, there is distribution for TVG in millions and millions of homes 28 across the United States right now. It's phenomenal,

0121 01

27

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

watching TVG, I learned how to bet, and I've learned how to handicap races. And if anybody knows me in this room, and none of you do, but people who know me, know that that's also phenomenal.

05 And I think consumers in California should know about TVG. And here's how we plan to market our 06 product in 2002. We're going to concentrate our marketing efforts in California. We'll reach potential new racing 80 fans with targeted direct response marketing. That means, all of our advertising will have a simple call of action to the consumer, "Watch TVG on Dish Network, Channel 405." "Watch TVG on Adelphia Cable." "Log on to TVG 12 13 Network.com." "Call us AT 1-800, 1-888-PLAY-TVG." want you to get interested in the sport, and we want you 15 to open up an account. And we're going after the most 16 likely target audience. Who are they? Males, 25 to 54 17 years old. Sports fans interested in gaming, and we're 18 also going after females, same profile, women who love 19 horses, and women who love to gamble.

Now, as you just heard from Suzanne Meeks, we 21 have very powerful parent company media assets. Already in California, we're over -- in over 5.5 million cable 23 homes with TV Guide Channel. There's over one million readers of TV Guide Magazine every week in California. That means people can go to over 12,500 retail outlets in the state of California whether it's your local supermarket or 7-11, and buy a copy of TV Guide Magazine. 28 Where ever there's a checkout counter, you'll probably

27 0122

20

01 have an opportunity to buy TV Guide Magazine. And what does that mean? That means, for TVG, it means for all 02 their cable partners, it means for our track and horsemen partners that we can promote racing, big racing weekends at the checkout counter, at the very moment when people are buying their groceries. We also have TV Guide online. 07 And there are over four million unique visitors every 08 month who go to that web site. TVG will run 30-second 09 direct response television ads on the TV Guide Channel 10 every day. And that is a lot of advertising. It's over

```
11 80 spots per week. And every week we'll put two full-page
    ads in TV Guide Magazine in all nine California additions.
    We'll be there on Saturdays for horse racing prime time,
    between noon and 3:00 p.m. And we'll be there on
    Wednesday's in the prime time listing section. And more
    than that, TVG will have two horse racing covers in
16
 17
    California on TV Guide Magazine. That's a sample of our
 18
    TV Guide, TVG Magazine ad. And here's last year's TV
 19
    Guide Magazine Kentucky Derby cover. I think that's
 20
    really exciting.
 21
           MS. MORETTI: When are these ads going to start
 22
    running? I'm sorry.
 23
           MS. MILLER: As soon as we get approval to be in
24
    the state.
 25
           MR. WILSON: Just to finish out the last part of
    the -- of the marketing component, additional 30-second TV
 26
 27
    ads on FOX Sports, ESPN, CNN and MSNBC, minimum of 50 ads
    per week, Dish Network 30-second TV ads on FOX Sports and
 28
0123
 01 ESPN, in which additional local broadcast advertising in
 02
    all cable systems launched in TVG in 2002.
 03
                 That basically is an overview of what we want
    to do for our marketing and advertising components to go
    out and reach casual and new fans. And I'll take any
    questions that you might have. Thank You.
 07
           MR. LANDSBURG: I have a number of questions.
 80
           MR. WILSON: Yes, sir.
           MR. LANDSBURG: I think the most important is,
 09
 10
    among the things that we're still in need, do you have a
 11
    horsemen's contract?
 12
           MR. WILSON: Yes, we do.
                                     Our contract today, with
 13
    the track that we have today --
 14
           MR. LANDSBURG: -- TOC approval of your -- have you
 15
    negotiated with TOC on behalf of the horsemen?
 16
           MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, that answer involves a
 17
    little bit of help from Rick Baedeker, who's the President
    of Hollywood Park.
 18
 19
                 In terms of the calendar year and under the
 20
    statute, it actually requires us to have an agreement with
 2.1
    the racetrack in order to start advance deposit wagering.
    We have that agreement, with Los Alamitos, which races
    year round. We have horsemen's approval at Los Alamitos,
    which races year round, that's the statutory requirement,
    regulatory requirement, and we comply with that.
                 As it relates to the meet coming out to
 27
    Hollywood Park, unfortunately, we don't have an agreement.
    As all of you know, it's with Magna for Santa Anita. We
 28
0124
 01 used to have a contract with them, we don't anymore.
 02
                 Our first pure Thoroughbred track is
 03 Hollywood Park coming up in late April. And under our
    agreements, we don't own racetracks. We do television.
 05
    We're owned by a television company, obviously. So under
    our agreements, our track partners negotiate with the
    horsemen's group, in this case the TOC, to allow us to
 07
 80
    take Hollywood Park signal outside of California and
 09 beyond. And we have had discussions at the invitation of
 10 the TOC and our track partners. And I do think that Rick
 11 Baedeker, who's the first track up in late April, will be
 12 able to reach that agreement with the TOC. The
```

13 conversations have been cordial and productive, but I'll let Rick speak to that directly.

15

17

18

19

20

21

25

2.6

27

28

0125

02

07

10

11 12

13

17

18

19

2.0

21

06

07

MR. LANDSBURG: I would like to ask for just a 16 moment because we're going past something that was part and parcel in the license agreement. I don't know that the license agreement that you are requesting is valid without a horsemen's negotiation.

Therefore, I put that question to our Attorney General. Before you speak, John.

22 MR. BLAKE: Basically, granting the license if 23 they have an agreement with Los Alamitos and the Quarter 24 Horsemen's Association.

MR. HARRIS: I'm not clear where you may have an agreement with Los Alamitos, which would take care of that, but we're -- where a California resident is betting on a Thoroughbred race, in say Arizona, does TOC get in

01 the loop somehow on Thoroughbred racing out of state? MR. WILSON: Commissioner Harris, that's exactly 03 correct. Under the statute, the approval to operate an 04 out-of-state hub, we have a contract with one racetrack. We satisfy the requirements in the statute -- as the 06 Attorney General mentioned.

When it comes time, for instance, to take 08 Hollywood Park signal out of state, under the interstate horse racing act, just like for any of their simulcast agreements, consent is required from the horsemen's organization, in this case, the TOC.

And as I mentioned before, though we are not a direct party to those discussions, we have been invited to those discussions, and I believe we'll reach that agreement. Because I would think that the TOC would certainly want the opportunity to have its races distributed across the country and throughout the world with our distribution system.

So I think that will happen.

MR. HARRIS: Yeah. I just wasn't clear on the flow of funds. When a Californian is displayed under the Magna or was -- a situation of some sort of percent coming back to California. But if a Californian bets out-of-state on a race, is that subject to negotiation with somebody or is that just a set fee or what?

MR. WILSON: Yes. That negotiation takes place between our racetrack, and in this case, Hollywood Park, 28 Del Mar or Oak Tree at Santa Anita. In this case the TOC.

0126 01 MR. HARRIS: So that hadn't -- well, my concern was 02 that really hasn't taken place yet, though. Maybe Rick knows.

04 MR. WILSON: No. There's negotiations on it taking 05 place.

MR. BAEDEKER: Rick Baedeker from Hollywood Park. We submitted to the Board our license application for our spring/summer meets, I think, as of today, and it likely will be on the agenda next month, if not next month, 10 certainly March.

11 In that application, we will stipulate that 12 we will send our signal to TVG. That's a signal that's 13 going out of state, and we are required, as you know, to 14 have horsemen's approval of that contract with the

15 recipient. In this case it will be TVG. So this issue is 16 going to come up again. Within the context of Hollywood 17 Park's application to conduct a race meet. Theoretically, if we reached that point, and we did not have an agreement with the horsemen, we would have a real problem. We would either not be licensed or we would have to -- we would be precluded from sending our signal to anybody, not just TVG, without the horsemen's approval. 23

MR. WILSON: And I might mention, if I could 24 Commissioner Harris, maybe this was what you were driving at with your question. The TOC consent involves, obviously, Hollywood Park sending its signal out-of-state whether it be our distribution or otherwise. In the state the statute clearly sets out what happens with the 0127

27 28

03

13

15 16

17

18

19

0128

03

06 07

80

09

12

13

15

16

01 distributable revenue. It gets allocated according to the 02 statutory provisions.

For instance, even though we don't have an 04 agreement with Magna and Santa Anita, their horsemen at that racetrack during -- at that their particular zone 06 gets monies according to the statutory distribution system. This is very similar -- our source marketing system, where we've given two and a half million dollars to Kentucky horseman that's done by contractual 10 negotiations between the tracks and the horsemen in 11 Kentucky. In California, if you really look at the statute, all that we've done is, is we said, okay, the source market fees are going to be distributed according to this statutory division. And so that takes place for the handle that occurs here in California.

MR. MC NUTT: If I could add one point Commissioner Harris, back to your previous question.

Basically, the way that statute is interpreted, I believe it's the -- obviously, we have an agreement with California racing association and their horsemen are running live right now. If somebody with TVG 22 places a wager on an out-of-state race right now, 23 regardless of the fact that whether we have an agreement 24 with anybody else, that gets divided pursuant to the statute. For day's, Thoroughbred racing for instance, I 26 believe that the money goes to the track in the southern zone running live during the afternoon. So there are --28 several protections of mandate distribution that's the

01 same, which are almost regardless -- basically, regardless 02 for the statutory and it's not contractual.

MR. VAN DE KAMP: John Van de Kamp, TOC. I'd like to just try to place this all on some prospective today, if I can. Because it is indeed a complicated situation that we're walking into right here.

First of all, we value what TVG is doing, and the direction their going in terms of distribution. They're not going as far as we would like. I think if I do my arithmetic, the actual -- as opposed to the future, which showed that they have -- I think it's around 700,000 Dish customers here, maybe 100,000 coming in from Adelphia through their digital, and that in time will increase. 14 But again, it's a big world out there. Thirty million people that we would like to hit.

Second, with respect to -- what happens they

get licensed today with the Los Alamitos deal? There is a variable and that is what they get as a hub provider. 19 Now, they have a contract as a hub provider, I gather with 20 Los Alamitos for a certain amount. That can vary by the way, depending on with whom they're negotiating and what is finally arrived at. So it's not just all a California statutory distribution mechanism, but that's an important variable and certainly it had its place with respect to 25 our negotiations with MEC. What happens next is if they're licensed 27 today, they only bring in races, I believe from around the 28 country under this license. Thoroughbred races during the 0129 01 day and during the night. So it's not just, you know, 02 during the Los Alamitos night portion. And the horsemen's 0.3 revenue go to the track that's running -- it could be a Santa Anita, believe it or not, despite the fact that we're 05 at opposite ends of the situation here, depending on where the better is located, a complicated thing that our CHRIMS 07 people are going to have to work in conjunction with them. 80 The statutory distribution from California 09 residents is pretty well set by law with that one big 10 variable. With respect to out-of-state bets into California they'll only be able to bet really on Los Alamitos right now. 13 So there's a contracted fee that I imagine is substantially less that we would be getting from MEC. At the same time, we are prepared to meet with them and we 15 have met with Mr. Wilson and Mr. Baedeker and the others 17 who are track contractors with TVG to hopefully work out an agreement that is favorable to them as well as to the horsemen. A fair and equitable sharing arrangement, giving them credit for where they are today. Of course, 21 with the hope that they're going to expand the number of 22 people who receive their signal. MR. LICHT: John, could you describe or maybe you and Mark, the difference -- if Hollywood Park starts and we're operating under this license for Los Alamitos --26 forget that. 27 Right now, on the bets, say that comes in 28 from out of state through TVG, what's the difference 0130 between what California horsemen would receive under the TVG system and under the system that you negotiated with 03 Magna. MR. VAN DE KAMP: I think based on -- I think the traditional contract that they have, and I think this is 0.5 06 public knowledge, that the horsemen would get under that 07 contract about 1.4 percent at the end of the day. 0.8 Under our contract with MEC, the horsemen's 09 agreement, we will -- believe that on a blended basis 10 we'll be getting someplace between four and five percent. 11 MR. LICHT: And Mark, can you tell us what the 12 benefit is -- do you have someone you want to address that 13 first? 14 MR. WILSON: Please, Commissioner Licht. Your

MR. WILSON: John's referring to a host track fee,

question was, is when we bring races in out-of-state, I

MR. LICHT: Right.

15

16

17

18

think.

which is a separate issue that might be the subject of 20 negotiations between Hollywood Park and the TOC.

When we take wagers on races from out of 22 state, that was the entire purpose of this statute, is to 23 have a distribution system in place, so that when we took a wager, for instance, on a New York race, that California horsemen and California racetracks would participate in that takeout distribution according to the statutory --

MR. LICHT: Right. I understand that.

MR. WILSON: The variable that Mr. Van de Kamp

28 0131 01

02

03

0.5

06

07

16

17

18 19

20

27

21

talked about, is that he has negotiated apparently, I'm not party to this agreement obviously, but he's negotiated an agreement that apparently has host track fee components in it that is different than our standard deal that we've done across the country.

Now, as it relates to the Hollywood Park meet coming up, we satisfy the statutory regulatory criteria 08 for getting a license. If for whatever reason Hollywood 09 Park and the TOC, and we're always happy to be part of the 10 negotiations, Mr. Van de Kamp's been very cordial to us 11 and invited us into those negotiations, but if for instance, something didn't happen, and the approval wasn't 13 given for the wagering that we take on out-of-state races in California through our distribution system, horsemen and the racetracks in California are fully protected according to the statutory distribution system that is in place. That was the whole focus behind the statute, is to keep this stuff from just disappearing out of state or worse yet, offshore.

MR. LICHT: Right. Let's take it to the -- my next 21 question, when you -- if you were licensed and you were up running tomorrow, you are going to try and convince California residents to bet on your menu of tracks, the fairgrounds, whatever else you're showing at this 25 particular time. Isn't that going to have a negative impact on California horsemen until the Hollywood Park meet starts?

MR. WILSON: No, Commissioner Licht. It's the same

28 0132 01

> 07 80

09

10 11

26

27

thing Magna's going to do. They said to you in their 02 presentation, for instance, that they have agreements with 0.3 other tracks.

The whole purpose behind passing this 05 legislation was that we knew people were setting up 06 accounts in Pennsylvania and New York offshore betting on what they wanted to bet on. So the principle for us behind the legislation was, let's keep the money in this state, regardless of what you're betting on. So the horsemen can be properly compensated and the tracks can be properly compensated.

We will, to your point, obviously promote the 12 13 heck out of Los Alamitos initially. And then assuming that the issue gets worked out between Hollywood Park and the TOC, and I have every confidence that it will, we'll 15 16 promote the heck out of Hollywood Park racing and Del Mar 17 racing, et cetera. Just as we do for other tracks. But the important point is, is that the horsemen and the 19 tracks are being compensated and set up and agreed in a 20 statute.

MR. LICHT: I understand that. But I'm saying that 22 Saturday afternoon I've got a hundred bucks to bet. It's 23 better for the horsemen in California if I bet that on Santa Anita track through ADW then if I bet it on the fairgrounds through TVG? MR. WILSON: It's the same percentage that's going 27 back under the statute. 28 MR. HARRIS: That's the part I don't understand. 0133 01 Because I understand that TOC has negotiated the better arrangement than the statute arrangement. 02 03 MR. LICHT: Right. MR. VAN DE KAMP: No there's a variable. And I 04 05 think we better make ourselves clear. I don't want to 06 have any misunderstandings. I don't know if Mr. Wilson's 07 not trying to cause that either. And this is a 08 complicated subject. It takes a little time to get it to 09 sink in. We've all found that out. 10 The kind of arrangement we worked out with 11 MEC provides for a lesser ADW fee to them, than I think is 12 part of their contract with Los Alamitos. And so the differential between those two ends up coming back to the tracks and the horsemen. So that's not all in statute, there is some wiggle room there in terms of the understandings that are reached between the horsemen and the particular ADW provider. 17 MR. WILSON: And, Commissioner Licht, I might add 18 19 to that, I think John's right, assuming he's right. 20 He negotiated a different deal with them. But 21 I don't have any problem detailing exactly what our model looks like. We've been pretty candid about this from the get go. We take five-and-a-half percent out of every 25 dollar that's wagered. To date that obviously hasn't been enough. Because, you know, we've got invested in this operation about \$150 million dollars, as I said early, we 28 hope to make up for the negative costs that we absorb with 0134 01 advertising revenue in the day. 02 So to John Van de Kamp's point, it's certainly in our best interest, and we're working as hard as we can to get broader distribution. Because with broader distribution you increase -- the advertising component of our motto. 07 But I don't make apologies and I think we 80 should for what we have performed on to date, in terms of building the distribution that we have. The wagering platforms that we have. The marketing programs that we 10 11 put into place. That costs money. And we've been up front about this from day one. We take five-and-a-half 12 percent out. The tax rate in Oregon is quarter of a 13 point, and as you also know, we pay a half a point to the 15 NTRA and that money goes back in to where this programs, 16 marketing programs et cetera. 17 So you can look at it as if we're taking a 18 fee of five-and-a-half percent to do everything that 19 you've seen that we've done or you can look at and say it's six-and-a-quarter, if you add in the quarter of a 21 point for the tax rate, and the half a point fee to the 22 NTRA. In any iteration it's less than the statutory

```
23 percentage that's set out at six-and-a-half percent.
                 So I guess to your point, I can't comment on
25 whether or not a bet on Santa Anita under advance deposit
26 wagering is producing a slightly -- a slight bit more
    revenue if it's done under the deal that he did there
28 versus under our system. Under the statutory
0135
01 distribution, it's the same.
02
           MR. LICHT: I understand that. The statute is what
03
    it goes to everybody.
04
           MR. WILSON: It is. That's why we all worked hard
05
    to pass it.
06
           MR. LICHT: But my understanding is that the TOC
07
    negotiated a more favorable -- my understanding it's a
80
    fact, he's negotiated a more favorable deal with Magna
09
    than what the statute requires.
10
           MR. WILSON: And I assume that's correct. Again, I
11 haven't seen it. What we are doing requires an enormous
12
    amount of money, as you know. Our distribution of eight
    million homes passed in the United States stands on its
    own. Our distribution to close to a million homes passed
    in California, alone stands on its own. And I don't have
    any more to add to that.
17
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: Mr. Chairman, John Van de Kamp,
18
    again.
19
                 The statute just lays out the maximums for
20
    what the ADW providers can get. And it also lays out
    maximums for out-of-state host fees that we pay.
                 And I think it's -- Mr. Wilson, I think we'll
22
   both agree there are pretty major differentiations, you
23
    know, beneath those rates. Hopefully, when it gets to
    what we're paying for out-of-state races, we want to pay
    as little as possible. Because then it goes back into our
27
    industry.
28
           MR. HARRIS: -- concede TVG, you know, has a
0136
01 distribution network that will enhance what we're trying
    to get, but it does look like the dollar -- say for
03
    example, a dollar placed on Magna's -- a California
04 resident paid a dollar on Turf Paradise, will
05 return less to -- California, it would return more to
    California invested through MAGNA, than invested through
    TVG and maybe that's okay because of the total picture but
    (unintelligible) it's not exactly the same deal.
80
09
           MR. WILSON: That again, Commissioner Harris, I'm
10
    speaking to something I don't know about. Because I
    wasn't party to that agreement. But I think your point's
11
12
    well taken.
13
                 We have marketing components that you have
    seen today and before. We have the distribution systems
14
    in place that you have seen today and before. And we feel
15
    that the price that we charge for doing what we do, the
17
    five dot five, considering the scale that we operate on
    and the scale that we want to operate on, is a fantastic
    deal for racing. Because in the end we have to have some
20 pretty strong advertising revenues to make uh, this whole
21 thing add up.
22
           MR. LANDSBURG: What happens if you don't? Where
23 does it come from?
24
           MR. WILSON: Well, that's a business risk, uh,
```

```
25 Mr. Chairman, that anyone undertakes as you well know.
                 We started into this with our eyes open. Our
27
    fee is five dot five, that's where it started at the
28 beginning. We're very comfortable that in markets where
0137
01 we've been allowed to deploy with no question about that
0.2
    deployment, whether it be Kentucky, whether it be
    Maryland, now, hopefully, here in California if we get the
    approval that we execute on distribution.
05
                 In Maryland, for instance, now, we're on all
06 of the Comcast Digital systems and that's important
    because that gives us the ability over the next year or so
    to do the remote control wagering, which we highlighted.
09
           MR. LANDSBURG: In your experiences therefore,
10 Mark, there's been a rise or a lowering of on track
11 attendance?
12
           MR. WILSON: To date, I think if you look at
13 account wagering across the country and you look at it in
14 terms of contributions --
15
           MR. LANDSBURG: No. I was asking your specific --
16 please, give me specifics. Now, let's not have a lot of
17 blue smoke, there's enough floating around this room.
18
           MR. WILSON: Okay. Okay.
19
           MR. LANDSBURG: What specifically can you point to,
20 as your account wagering that's been in place, produced in
    terms of on track attendance?
           MR. WILSON: In terms of attendance, then Kentucky,
   which is the market where we've been most involved.
23
24
    Attendance in Kentucky has been relatively static, as you
25
26
                 In terms of purses, contribution to purses,
27
    for the first four years that we were there they went up.
28
    Last year they went --
0138
01
           MR. LANDSBURG: Apples and oranges, Mark. Don't
02 give me apples and oranges. On track attendance --
0.3
           MR. WILSON: -- I'm no Ferris Bueller.
           MR. LANDSBURG: -- new fans is what we're talking
04
    about. Now, as you haven't been able to accomplish new
06
    fans, then what can we look to?
07
           MR. BAEDEKER: Rick Baedeker, Hollywood Park. In
08 response to your question, I can answer as it relates to
09
    Churchill Downs.
10
                 TVG has been in Kentucky for many years,
11 broadly distributed for the last two years. There has not
12 been a decline in attendance at Churchill Downs until this
    last meet. And I believe that if you asked the people
    that work more directly at Churchill Downs, they would
15
    attribute the decline to the Riverboat, the Ceasar's River
    Boat that has been expanded across the river from them,
    about 15 minutes away. Up until that point, there hadn't
17
18
    been any decline.
                 and I believe, and maybe the TVG folks know
19
20 better than me, but I believe the first meet after TVG was
    deployed in Lexington, Kingland showed an increase in
    attendance at that first meet. I don't know what the
23 numbers have been since then. The uh -- the last meet for
24 Kingland and that was while they reported Kingland set a
25 record for live attendance.
```

MR. LANDSBURG: Mr. Pizance, that's the first time

26

27 we're (unintelligible). 28 MR. PIZANCE: Okay. Mr. Chairman and Board again, 0139 01 just sitting in the back room and looking at these two 02 presentations, but again -- may be a simpleton's thoughts 03 and I'm addressing Mr. Leight's comment. MR. LICHT: Licht. 05 MR. PIZANCE: Mr. Licht, if I were selling apples 06 and I said to you, I'm going to sell so many apples a dozen and I'm going to give you 70 percent of the profits. and another fellow said I'm going to sell 500 dozen apples and give you 50 percent of the profit, would you buy the 10 fellow that's just going to sell the small amount of 11 apples? Because you thought you made a better deal? 12 In other words, you have to look at 13 distribution and think what is the opportunity for volume 14 and distribution versus what's the mark up on not much 15 distribution. I made a comment earlier today, and anyone 16 can refute it. 17 To the best of my knowledge, today is if the 18 person you license previously today starts tomorrow, they 19 have three thousand customers. Now, whatever they're 20 margin is on that, probably isn't going to generate the 21 kind of income you're looking for the state, I would think. It's going to take them a long time for them to 23 build that. And you need to focus on that, I think, 24 rather than the deal. 25 MS. MORETTI: You know, if I may, Mr. Pizance, I 26 agree with a lot of the things that you said, but I think that we're walking a little bit -- we're walking away from 28 what our charge is here. 0140 01 A new law has been implemented in California 02 to allow for ADW. We as a Board, as a regulatory Board, appointed by the Governor are here to approve or deny or delay applications. 0.5 And for as much as we all want a new fan 06 base, and this is why this whole law got passed in the 07 first place, we have certain requirements from which we 08 are coming from in terms of our vote as to who does or does not get licensed in the state and -- so just because someone sells more apples or doesn't, that doesn't mean that we don't get to give that person or that group a try. If they fulfill the obligation, again -- if they fulfill the obligations that we and the law have laid out. 13 So this is where we're coming from. We all 15 want what you say. I love what TVG does. I've watched them for a long time. But I think that we have an 16 17 obligation to make sure that we do our best -- as best we 18 can to carry out what we're here for. 19 MR. PIZANCE: I agree 100 percent with that, but that really wasn't the point that I was making. I was 20 trying to talk to Mr. Licht, specifically about margins 22 and profitability. 23 In other words, what am I getting paid for this bet. And what I'm trying to say to you whether one 25 person pays you 4.3 and the other one 1.7. If you believe 26 that the 4.3 is a better deal but you only get five sales

instead of 500, you've lost. You thought you made the 28 best deal, but you didn't. You just have to understand

27

```
0141
    that, that's -- when you're talking risk -- that's
    something when both of these firms start in this progress
    we don't know one which will be the greatest.
 03
                  I watched the presentation. This room has as
 05
    far as media and delivery and distribution, et cetera. I
 06
    think it's pretty clear, who's got the edge today. What
 07
     it's going to be in a month or two, I don't know, but I
    know where I'd bet.
 09
                  (Audience Applause)
 10
           MR. LANDSBURG: Lonnie.
            MR. BLONIEN: Thank you Mr. Chairman and members.
 11
 12 Rod Blonien representing Los Alamitos Quarter Horse Racing
    Association. What really is before us is the TVG license
 13
 14
    application to do ADW for Los Alamitos.
 15
                  We have had a lot of discussion about
 16
    Thoroughbred racing -- this is the opportunity for Los
 17
    Alamitos Quarter Horse racing to access millions to
 18
    millions of homes in the country available through the TVG
 19
    system --
 20
           MR. CHILLINGWORTH: Sherwood Chillingworth with Oak Tree
Racing.
 21 You've been asking for specifics and I think I have one
 22 for you at any rate.
                  To demonstrate the effectiveness of TVG. Doug
 24 Burgess is here in the audience from CBAI. He and I put
    on the Cal Cup every year. And we tried the million
    dollar guarantee Pick Six for three years of the last
    five. Of two of the last three we were well below the
 28 million dollar guarantee. We did $874,000 I think in 1998
0142
 01 and $972,000 in 1999.
                 One of Mark's associates called me back in
 03 September and said, Chilli how about our doing something
    for the Cal Cup and in return you can have a race for us.
 05 And I said, okay let's talk about it. So it suddenly
 06 occurred to me, I called back and I said, let's get them
 07
    to put the purse -- for the two weeks prior to Cal Cup,
 08 stress our million-dollar guarantee Pick Six. And the
    fact of the matter is, for the first time in the history
    of Oaktree and Cal Cup, we exceeded the million-dollar
 11
    quarantee. And they did a terrific job in promoting it.
                  I'm just saying this is a great example of
    the reach of TVG, to get to the people you want to get to.
    Now, what -- this bring in new members and I can't
 15
    demonstrate that, but -- new fans. But it does show that
    they have an honest impact. Thank you.
 16
 17
            MR. LICHT: Thank you, John. We have a couple of
 18
    other people who will be speaking.
           MR. LICCARDO: Ron Liccardo, Pari-Mutuel Employees.
 19
 20
    I have to say the same thing I said before, which is I'm
 21
    opposed to the application because I don't believe they
     satisfy the provision where you discuss anything with
 23
    labor about jobs.
                  So until somebody discusses with me about
 25
           I guess we voted -- one person that has been
     jobs.
 26
    approved. Thank you.
 27
            MR. LANG: Mr. Chairman and members, Joe Lang.
 28 Mark Wilson here with TVG and the company asked me to sort
0143
```

01 of follow up with Mr. Liccardo's statement, just to make it clear, there are a couple of issues that are left to resolve with regard to moving a hub into the state of 04 California.

Once those issues are resolved, I think it's, in fact, TVG's desire and intent to sit down and get negotiations with Mr. Liccardo and the Pari-Mutuel Clerks' Union to have those employees and those jobs be, in fact, union jobs; and I think that we can commit to that. was part of the discussions with regard to the legislation this year. And I think in the spirit of goodwill and fulfilling commitments that that would happen.

MR. LANDSBURG: I'm not sure I quite understood 14 what you just said. Does that mean you are going into negotiation? You are not interested in negotiation? You've never been interested or will be? But you're not there.

MR. LANG: I think -- I'll let Mr. Wilson expand on this, but the point that Mr. Liccardo is raising is when a hub is located in the state of California, which TVG is not yet. But when a hub is located in California, the commitment and the spirit of the law was to make those employees, union employees, and in fact that commitment would be upheld.

MR. LICHT: Are you an employee of T -- T -- TVG? MR. LANG: I'm a consultant. I'm the registered lobbyist for TVG --

MR. LANDSBURG: Let me come back to what I think is 0144

01 crucial here.

05

06

07

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

25

26

27

28

09

11

12

13

15

16 17

21 22

23

24

TVG has its studios here. I think that's a plus, but we're talking about people within the racing industry who by what you are asking us to license, will lose some of their jobs because the audience, an unproven ability to bring in a new audience, is going to mean a lessening of audience, probably, and jobs going bye-bye. And I don't think we can in good conscience give you a license until we know that you are going to support that 10 kind of group within this -- within this state.

Because that's what this is all about. That's what this meeting is all about. What's good not only for TVG, and not only for horsemen, but what's good for all the people who are working inside racing. I don't hear it

MR. WILSON: I'd like to respond to that. Today we have 200 plus jobs in California. At the studio it is in support and capacities. Our parent company, News Corporation and Fox has enormous investment in union and nonunion jobs, and they're part of us --

MR. LANDSBURG: -- racing, racing?

MR. WILSON: -- we're a business unit of that company.

MR. LANDSBURG: -- please.

MR. WILSON: In Oregon, where we have customer service representatives, those are about 30 to 35 jobs. We have a tax rate issue, which is also very important to California.

28 0145

27

If the tax rate issue, is such, that that tax 01 02 rate is the same as what we pay in Oregon, we'd be happy

```
03 to move down here, and we'd have an incentive to do so.
04 Because we would want all of the those jobs in one
   location from the efficiency standpoint. If the tax rate
    is much higher, what happens is that under the statute
   horsemen and racetrack partners get less significantly
    less than they otherwise would. So these issues --
           MR. LANDSBURG: -- are there jobs?
09
           MR. WILSON: No. If the tax rate in California,
10
11
    Mr. Chairman, is 1.2 percent or higher there's a full
    percentage point that is taken away from horsemen and the
    racetrack in California. If we can get resolution on that
13
14 very important issue, we have every incentive to have all
15
    of our employees in one place, but that's a very important
    issue for every single person in this audience. Because on
16
17
    scale, it can amount to a tremendous amount of money. But
18
    the statute and the regulations itself, the statute and
19 regulations clearly authorize approval of an out-of-state
20 hub. And we comply with those statutory and regulatory
21
    requirements. Thank you.
           MR. LANDSBURG: In so far as labor is concerned?
22
23
           MR. WILSON: Yes.
24
           MR. LANDSBURG: I just want to be sure, that's all.
25
           MR. WILSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
26
           MR. LANDSBURG: -- I don't want to be out on those
    limbs. But -- now, what about this goodwill promise that
    we just heard? What is that going to amount to?
0146
01
           MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, I just explained that
02
    assuming the tax rate issue could be handled because it
    has an enormous impact on scale to horsemen and the
04
    racetracks --
05
           MR. LANDSBURG: But that's a negative, Mark. That's
    a negative. Are you going to go up and ask for that? Are
    you going to try and try to put that --
80
           MR. WILSON: Yes. Yes. We're working on
09
    that now. Our counsel is working on that issue now. But
    it does cost us money to move jobs and to move operations
11
    down, which we want to do because it would better from our
12
    standpoint to everything under one roof.
13
           MR. LANDSBURG: Any more discussion?
                                                I'm sorry.
14
           MR. HALPERN: Ed Halpern, California Thoroughbred
15
    Trainers. I would just like to renew my objections I
    raised to the earlier licensing application. And to point
    out that I, like most, am very confused about all this.
    The rush to judgement has created that confusion for all
19
    of us. Mr. Wilson points out that at least, not as far as
20 Los Alamitos is concerned, but at least as far as the
    upcoming meets, the agreement will be between the
22 horsemen's organization and the racetracks, not between
    the horsemen's organizations and TVG. Yet in the prior
    situation with Magna the contract signed by Magna is
    actually not by the racetrack, but it's by Express -- Jim
    Mc Alpine is President of XpressBet. So you got the
    different entities coming to contracts and it may well be
    the correct procedure, but I don't understand it. And
0147
01 given that none of us seem to, it would be nice to know
02 that we all understood what was going on before we -- we
03
    approve the application.
04
                 Thank you.
```

```
MR. LICHT: Would it be safe to say that you on
06 behalf of the CTT do not believe the statutory parameters
    adequately protect the horsemen?
07
           MR. HALPERN: I believe that there are --
80
09
    apparently, some things in the statute that are left to
10
    confusion. Whether were -- yes. I would say that it does
11
    not adequately protect the horsemen.
12
           MR. LICHT: I'd like to hear from Mr. Van de Kamp
13
    on that, too and hear TOC's recommendation on this
    application.
15
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: We expect to negotiate with them
16 with respect to Hollywood Park and the other tracks that
17
    are sending signals out of state. I can't speak -- and I
   don't want to interfere with respect to Los Alamitos. And
18
19
    I guess I'm not going to object to their license here
20 today. Because what happens in the next couple of months
21 over there, you know, may not be very much to talk about.
22 But once you get to the Hollywood Park meeting, obviously,
23 at that point, there will be a different contract.
24 looking forward to, you know, talking with Mark and
25 working our way through that with him at that time.
           MR. LICHT: I'll ask John a question then, behind
27 that -- or Mark.
28
                 One of the things that convinced me, in
0148
01
    connection with Magna's application or from XpressBet is,
02 basically, as I see it, there's no cannibalization because
    it's the same to the horsemen, or virtually the same,
    whatever arena the bet is placed in. And that's one --
    that's the only thing that concerns me with the TVG
05
06
    application.
07
           MR. WILSON: Again, in our application,
    Commissioner Licht, other than this variable, which I'm
80
    unaware of, which means that the hub fee that we charge
10
    five and a half percent for, apparently, Magna's system is
11
    taking something less. That's the only variable that
12
    we're dealing with here today. And again, I think the
13
    proper way to review that is, is that were in hopefully,
    800, 900,000 California homes with real television, and
15
    real programs and wagering platforms today.
16
                 So whatever that variable is, I don't know.
17 Mr. Van de Kamp has said, that from the TOC's perspective
    that they view where we're at today differently than where
    MEC is today, with primarily the Internet wagering service
    and a satellite service that can be seen by 3,000 people.
21
    There's quite a bit of difference.
22
                 And I would presume that if Magna is
23 successful and does build real distribution, that fee may
    increase or stay the same. I don't know. But there is a
    qualitative and a quantitative difference between where
    we're at today on that point.
           MR. HARRIS: Just a point of information, how long
27
28 are we proposing to approve these licenses for?
0149
01
           THE BOARD: Two years.
02
           MR. HARRIS: Is it two?
03
           THE BOARD: -- the normal term of a license is two
04 years. The Board could, of course, condition it
05 differently.
06
           MR. HARRIS: -- you really got two different models
```

07 here, which are really involved, you know, different types 08 of marketing strategies -- bothers me to do these for two 09 years without having a chance to revisit them. MR. VAN DE KAMP: John Van de Kamp, again. I don't 10 11 want to add more confusion to this business, but again, in 12 terms of models, we now have one until November 15 with 13 MEC. That is a model that's been negotiated and approved by both the tracks, and MEC, XpressBet, whatever you want 15 to call it. 16 With respect to TVG, the horsemen in 17 California, they will be working out, in a sense, a model 18 that may be different in some ways than it is with MEC. 19 That will all depend, and we'll be back before you I'm 20 sure. Because for them to carry California races out of 21 state, they're going to have to have an understanding with 22 us, and I'm sure that we'll work productively together to 23 try to get there. 24 So right now, you have the Los Alamitos 25 situation in front of you, and for the remainder of the 26 year, basically, because they have Hollywood Park. They 27 have Del Mar. They have Fairplex. They have Oak Tree, 28 really up until that time. You'll have different 0150 01 horsemen's agreements that might be different from the ones that they have at Los Alamitos. So the model -- all I'm saying -- is it could well change, depending on the final understanding we have. 05 MR. HARRIS: -- as far as the TVG model, do they 06 have any plans in Northern California or does Northern 07 California fairs have any talks with the TOC? 80 MR. WILSON: Chris, you might want to speak to this 09 point, but we've had numerous discussions with Chris 10 Korby, in terms of the fairs, and we'd be delighted to 11 enter into a relationship with them to show more fair 12 racing on TVG. 13 MR. LICHT: On an exclusive basis? 14 MR. WILSON: Exclusive or nonexclusive, their 15 choice. MR. LANDSBURG: Mr. Liebau. 16 17 MR. LIEBAU: Yes, Jack Liebau from Magna 18 Entertainment. I would just like to state what the Magna 19 position is. 20 We believe that anybody that meets the 21 criteria for the license, should be licensed. We think that multiple providers in the marketplace will serve the California industry well and that competition will be good 2.4 for everybody. 25 Thank you. 26 MR. ALLRED: Ed Allred, Chairman of Los Alamitos 27 Race Course. I want to reiterate what Jack just said. 28 We are in favor of the Magna model being 0151 01 approved and we're also certainly very in favor of the TVG 02 model also. 03 Because we believe in TVG. We think they've showed today what effective their marketing model is. Our horsemen are strongly in favor of it. And we're ready to 06 go ahead. And we appreciate your approval of our -- the 07 application for TVG. 80 MR. SPERRY: Let me, if I might add, ask you a

09 question. You have a current agreement with Dish to cover 10 800,000 people or whatever it is. Is it an exclusive agreement that says that you can't put your television on 11 12 DirectTV, as an example, currently? 13 MR. WILSON: No, Commissioner Sperry, there is no 14 such restriction. Our agreement with Dish was negotiated 15 a couple of years ago, and it's for carriage of TVG on a long-term basis, on every single platform Dish has, 17 whether it's their -- they do different marketing tiers, but whether it's Top 100 Platform, Top 400 Platform, Top 19 40 Platform, TVG's on all those tiers, and we'll be 20 long-term. 21 MR. SPERRY: You mentioned that there was 22 negotiations going on from one company to buy the other. 23 Is there separate negotiations in the event if that fails that you're trying to get on DirecTV? Which probably 25 covers more people in Southern California than any other 26 Network there is. 27 MR. WILSON: As best we can tell to your point, 28 Commissioner Sperry, DirectTV has around one million 0152 01 Californian homes. Dish has about 700,000 Californian 02 homes, but you probably know that Dish was successful in 03 buying DirectTV? The big question is, is whether or not that will get regulatory approval over the next nine to twelve months. If it does get regulatory approval, we 06 believe -- in a position, it doesn't have the constant dialogue with DirectTV today about working out our own 07 deal. And obviously, for DirectTV it has a big presence 80 here. Our ability to be licensed in this market will be 09 10 very important. Because that's how they obviously make some money, too. 11 12 MR. SPERRY: Well, then obviously it helps the 13 people in California so you don't have to buy more than 14 one dish? 15 MR. WILSON: Right. MR. LANDSBURG: Further discussion. 16 MR. KORBY: Chris Korby, California Authority 17 18 Racing Affairs. I'd just like to confirm what Mark 19 offered before, that we are in discussions with TVG for 20 carriage of the California fairs. MR. LICHT: I'd like to just go over one more thing 22 with Mark and reiterate, because I think your product is just sensational and I'm a big fan of TVG's, but I'm 24 really concerned of -- I understand what Mr. Pizance said, if we can increase the betting volume, uhm, it's better to increase the betting volume even if it's at a reduced rate 27 for the horsemen. I understand that principle. 28 What I'm concerned about is if we don't 0153 01 increase, and we're -- and we've had our cannibalizing or our existing fan base, and I'm not sure that the evidence is clear that what you've done before isn't just that, so that if you do cannibalize, it's not a good result for the California horsemen. And therefore, I might be more comfortable with a shorter term as long as these higher 07 rates are in effect, until we can see what happens with 08 the cannibalization. 09 MR. LANDSBURG: Good idea. 10 MR. WILSON: If I could speak to that Commissioner

```
11 Licht. The experience in the other states where there is
    television, whether it's Pennsylvania or New York, shows
    that purses have grown dramatically. And in both of those
14 states, to Magna's point earlier today, there is a fairly
15 broad television distribution. In Kentucky, for instance,
    other than with two million dollars -- promoted and hit
16
17
    last year, purses went up substantially for the four years
18
    that we've been in that state.
19
                 And again, I think racing will be like any
20 other sport. The critical element is that if there's a
    broader distribution, demand for the product goes up, and
21
22 purses should go up as a result. We've seen that every
23 where else that account wagering has been implemented.
24 And I think the same will be true here.
25
           MR. HARRIS: I would agree with Roger that -- I
26 mean, I feel that it -- the likelihood that it's going to
27 be an excellent model. But it seems that if the XpressBet
28 effectively only goes through towards the end of this
0154
01 year, that it keeps everybody on an equal playing field of
02 -- that this will generally go to possibly, like, December
03 31st or -- something.
           MR. LANDSBURG: Suzanne, based on the fact that TVG
05
   is going to -- if we approve the license, will it be
06 broadcasting for the moment out-of-state racing and
    Quarter Horse racing? Will the same support be available
   for just the Los Alamitos Racing? And let us say they
80
    don't get a Hollywood contract, just for argument sake.
    The same promotional trust for TV Guide for -- for
10
    Quarter -- you were the one who represented TV Guide, so
11
12
    I'm coming to you as a whole.
13
           MS. MEEKS: Yes. Absolutely in every launch
    environment and every environment today that TVG is
15
    involved. All of our platforms, magazine, online, IPG and
16
    channel support.
17
           MR. LANDSBURG: -- will be set for this process at
18
    Los Al?
19
           MS. MEEKS: (No audible Response)
20
           MR. LANDSBURG: We'd like to be sure that it's all
21
    in place because we only have this shot for now.
22
                 Mark, did you want to say something?
23
           MR. WILSON: No, sir.
24
           MR. LANDSBURG: Is there further comment?
           MR. HARRIS: I'll move that we approve the TVG
    license, except only through the end of 2002.
27
           MR. LANDSBURG: Is there a second?
28
           MS. MORETTI: -- don't understand why you wanted --
0155
01
           MR. HARRIS: Oh, okay. As I understood it, because
    if they got the license and somehow the model didn't work,
    that the cannibalization, you know, was significant, and
    it looked like the fee structure is just not appropriate,
05
    that we have a chance to revisit it. I don't think it's
    looking at it as being punitive, it's just prudent to be
    able to take another look at it after a year to see what
80
    the numbers are looking like.
09
           MR. LANDSBURG: That's the motion. Is there a
10
    second?
11
           MR. LICHT: I second it.
12
           MR. LANDSBURG: All in favor?
```

```
13
                 THE BOARD: Aye.
14
           MR. LANDSBURG: I'm sorry, can I count those,
15
    please.
16
           MS. MORETTI: -- sorry to be slow right now. But I
 17
    want to approve the application motion --
18
           MR. LICHT: For the one year that John proposed?
           MS. MORETTI: The Board is for two years --
19
 20
           MR. LANDSBURG: Well, there's a motion on the
 21
    table, that motion was to be voted on.
 22
                 May I have the vote, please, again.
                  (Hand count) The vote is two, three, four,
 23
    five. The motion is approved. Your license application
 25
    per the decision of this Board is to -- for the year 2002.
 2.6
                  Is that what your motion was; correct?
 27
           MR. HARRIS: Yes.
 28
           MR. LANDSBURG: I just want to make sure. I move
0156
 01 that we revisit it at the end of 2002.
 02
           MR. HARRIS: Yes.
 03
           THE BOARD: Does anybody want to take a break?
 04
                  (Break in Proceedings)
 05
                  (A break was taken in the Proceedings at
 06
           3:00 p.m., and the Meeting was reconvened at
 07
           3:21 p.m.)
 80
                   (Ms. Granzella is not present)
 09
           MR. LANDSBURG: Ladies and gentlemen, we are moving
    on in the agenda, which I would hope to be able to find
 10
    amongst all of these papers. Here it is.
 11
                  We are at Item 7, Discussion and Action by
 12
 13
    the Board on the Application for License to Conduct Advance
 14
    Deposit Wagering by Autotote Enterprises Incorporated.
 15
                  John Reagan, would you please give us your
 16
    staff report.
 17
           MR. REAGAN: Certainly, Commissioners. In the
 18
    application of -- presented by Autotote Enterprise they
 19
    have dates of operation for their out-of-state hub 363 days
 20
    per year. They will not operate on Easter or Christmas.
 21
                  Hours of operation Monday through Saturday,
 22 10:30 a.m. to the close of racing; Sunday 11:00 a.m. to the
 23 close of racing; and Sunday through Thursday close will be
    approximately 12:30 a.m; Friday and Saturday closing will
 25 be approximately 1:00 a.m.
                  Staff has notes, a number of items still
 27 missing. They have no contracts with California
 28 associations as listed in the still needed items.
0157
 01 horsemen's approvals are not here yet, and neither is the
 02
    entity that will provide the advertising services, the
    $500,000 financial security -- excuse me, they have it, it
    will expire, also the deposit information does not specify
    California account holders only have one access to one
 05
    deposit per each racing day. Essentially, we have a
 07
    number of concerns and Mr. Payton is here representing
    Autotote and will speak to those.
 09
           MR. PAYTON: Thank you. Dave Payton with Autotote
 10 Systems. I'm one of the subsidiaries of Scientific Games
 11 which also owns and operates Summertive (phonetic)
 12 Enterprises. So that's why I'm here today, today to
 13 represent them.
 14
                  Obviously, it's tough for Autotote
```

```
15 Enterprise to follow presentations like we've seen so far
    this morning and the afternoon. That's not the really not
    the venue that they offer today, but this is uh, really
17
18 kind of a different approach or a different aspect of the
19 new law that I think needs to be considered on the
20
    enterprise, these things need to be considered in the fact
21
    that the regulations allow for out-of-state hubs to apply
    for (unintelligible) services and yet there's the
    difficulty of being able to get licenses in our agreements
    with tracks to actually being able to move forward. So
    that's kind of the reason that it's, um, um, that we
    thought it was worth it for us to address this.
27
                  Autotote Enterprises has been in the
28 business for the last nine years. The state of
0158
01 Connecticut has authorized California wagering for about
02 20 years and they decided to privatize nine years ago and
0.3
    that's when Autotote Enterprises came in and bought the
04 services and has been providing services. Since then it
05 has increased handle over -- in this operation over 60
06 percent. With that --
           MR. LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. Please repeat the name
08 of the Operation because I was --
           MR. PAYTON: Autotote Enterprises.
10
           MR. LANDSBURG: No. I meant the increase in the
11 handle --
12
           MR. PAYTON: Oh, handle, it was 60 percent increase
13
    in handle.
           MR. LANDSBURG: At where?
14
           MR. PAYTON: At the Connecticut off-track.
15
16
           MR. LANDSBURG: Yes. Okay. Thank you I got it
17
    now.
18
           MR. PAYTON: Sorry. They currently -- it's a
19
    relatively small operation to what we've heard so far
    today. The current active account holders with
    Connecticut telephone accounts are only about $5,000, and
    they do about $20 million in handle per year. So they're
23
    basically a relatively small operation, but they do
24
    provide services to over 30 states in the U.S.
                  Initially in the outset with Autotote
26 Enterprises taking over, they actually took wagers from
27 California residents. In Autotote being one of the main
28 service providers to the racetracks in Canada or
0159
01 California, obviously, it was a conflict of interest for
02 Connecticut to continue doing that. Plus with the
03 questions of whether or not account wagering was legal
04 from a California resident, Connecticut stopped
05
    immediately and hasn't taken any California wagers,
06 residents' wagers for years now.
07
                  Connecticut Teletrack doesn't offer Internet
    services. It's not authorized in Connecticut. There's no
80
    plans at this point to be able to take that path. They
    don't provide TV, that's not their game, the business that
    they're in. Being Autotote Enterprises is owned by
11
12
    Scientific Games. What we are is a wagering company that
13 run an off-track operation with telephone betting allowed.
14
                  So we have an operator tab system, operator
15 telephone (unintelligible) system when we have an
    automated system with an IBR and that's what we offer. We
```

17 have a web site that identifies to people how they can --18 how they get access to open an account, make deposits, withdrawals, all those things. And it's been, like I 20 said, we perceive --21 we're -- right then, it is a very successful operation for the small venue that we operate in. You have to remember the telephone betting 24 network around the country actually has been very popular 25 for a long time with New York and Pennsylvania being really, the forefront, and Connecticut, obviously, being 27 the much smaller geographic region to deal with. 28 Um, it is a point of ours that enterprises 0160 01 operates southern rules and regulations governed by the 02 Connecticut Department of (unintelligible) Revenue. So 03 it's (unintelligible) and legally operated entity, you 04 know, without (unintelligible) mystique and all that 05 stuff. So I thought that was worth pointing out. 06 The real concern is that we've made --07 addressed or tried to address -- this the ability to be 80 able to get contracts with various associations, and we just haven't been able to do that. So not making that 10 step, we didn't see that there was any really ability for 11 us do anything with horsemen's organization at this point 12 or anybody else. So that's kind of where we stand, but, you know, we view the ADW as an opportunity for an out-of-state hub to be able to apply to them, to submit a license application. We provided the bond and whatnot, so 15 16 we're very serious to do this. We're just really not sure 17 what we can do next. So we'd like to continue to be able 18 to make -- make steps to reach agreements with the 19 associations, and, um, you know, that's kind of where we 20 stand. 21 MR. LANDSBURG: You do have licenses for simulcast? 22 MR. PAYTON: Yes. Yes. And that's one point. 23 application they did submit -- they listed all of the tracks that they currently offer under a telephone betting system that included all California associations, and that 26 wasn't the intent. They weren't trying to say that they can -- they would offer those wagers to everybody without 2.7 28 the contracts, so they also listed all the Greyhound 0161 01 tracks and all the Jai Alai (unintelligible) and all that 02 stuff. MR. LANDSBURG: Clarify for me, I don't know, can 0.3 -- can Connecticut accept telephone wagering on California racing through the simulcast outlet? 06 MR. PAYTON: They've taken wagers from their 07 account holders --MR. LANDSBURG: -- from their account holders? 80 MR. PAYTON: -- in California races, yes. 09 MR. LANDSBURG: Right. 10 11 MR. PAYTON: That's the simulcast agreement that are in place with all the associations. 12 13 MR. LANDSBURG: And it covers your telephonic 14 receiver bets? Just -- so I clarify. 15 MR. HARRIS: That is on a meet-by-meet basis. I would understand it though, that at any given meet, has 16 17 to give you permission, which going forward I would think Southeren California tracks and horsemen would be hesitant

```
19 to do that --
           MR. PAYTON: I'm not privy to what will happen, but
21 I do know that their -- it's been -- they've been another
22 outlet -- another guest outlet, just as anybody else in
    the country has been.
           MR. LANDSBURG: What -- what -- let us say you have
25 the agreements, just so I can fathom this and understand.
    Let's say you had the agreements with the track since your
    operation is rather contained in Connecticut --
           MR. PAYTON: Uh-huh.
28
0162
           MR. LANDSBURG: -- how would that serve as an
01
02 advantage to you? I mean, why would you seek it is what
03 really --
04
           MR. PAYTON: It was -- the opportunity was we had
05 another state of potential account holders, new account
06 holders that Connecticut could then open up a marketing
07 campaign and try to develop a new clientele.
80
           MR. LANDSBURG: But you had it anyway?
09
           MR. PAYTON: Well, we didn't, we hadn't taken
10 wagers from California residents on the account -- on the
11 telephone betting side. That's what we haven't done.
           MR. LANDSBURG: Well, my -- my -- you can't
12
13 take California residents -- you couldn't have taken
14 California residents anyway?
15
           MR. PAYTON: California racing to the other 30
16 states, those people can make a wager today. We
    don't -- we won't allow the California resident to open an
17
    account in Connecticut. So our goal with this application
18
19
    was allow us to do that.
20
           MR. LANDSBURG: I see.
21
           MR. HARRIS: The reason they can make a wager today
    is because, for instance, on the Santa Anita or the Golden
    Gate, um, licensee agreement there was a whole series of
    outlets that were approved by the horsemen's organization
    also, and I presume that yours was one of that series.
26 But that is on a meet-by-meet basis, and going forward,
27 I'm sure it remains to be seen that that will --
28
           MR. PAYTON: Exactly. You're afraid that the
0163
01 traditional simulcast contracts was the goal we need to
02 meet.
03
                  So my personal point is -- after listening to
04 everything else today, it just seems interesting after
05 we've heard the legislation that has been placed and the
06 rules that are placed, there are rules for out-of-state
07 hubs. Um, it doesn't seem that there's an opportunity for
08 out-of-state hubs to actually to be able to participate.
09
           MR. LANDSBURG: I suppose if they won't
10
    license you by the racing association. Okay.
           MR. PAYTON: That's just the point that we made, I
11
12
    guess. I'm not sure where to take that.
13
                  Again, I'm Autotote Systems --
14
           MR. LANDSBURG: I understand.
15
           MR. PAYTON: We're just -- my goal is to make
16 everybody happy.
17
           MR. LANDSBURG: John.
18
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: John Van de Kamp, TOC. We've not
19 talked with them at all about this, and we don't think
20 that this one should get a license.
```

But it highlights, I think, an issue we have 22 to confront. Because we've been sending our simulcast signals out of state to a number of simulcast sites and that is somehow getting routed into ADW's systems, like the Connecticut one. And we're going to have to have separate contracts and tracks are going to have to agree with us on that, and we're going to have to work our way 28 through this with the tracks to make there's a transfer 0164 01 basically from the strict simulcast contract to something more akin to an ADW contract. I don't know if that makes a lot of sense, but I think in the next three or four 04 months, there's going to be a lot of shake up, and --0.5 MR. LANDSBURG: I think given Autotote's problem, 06 it will not only be Autotote, but there won't be a 07 hub any where, other than these two for California -- or 08 three or four. 09 MR. VAN DE KAMP: If that's the --10 MR. LANDSBURG: Unless you base your hub in 11 California and then begin the process of securing --12 MR. VAN DE KAMP: Or least -- sublicensing like 13 Youbet? 14 MR. LANDSBURG: That's right. 15 MR. VAN DE KAMP: And that may well be that they 16 come in as sort of a sublicensee and they agree that 17 account holders in Connecticut, for example, can bet through that kind of thing. 18 MR. PAYTON: They can now. And we get a reduced 19 rate on that. We should be able to get a better rate on 20 21 it. Exactly. 22 MR. LANDSBURG: Hello. The light bulb went on. 23 MR. WOOD: But you do make a good point, Mr. Van de Kamp, about the subcontractor on the person that can piggyback on another applicant, as Youbet made for TVG, that is an area that we have to look at. MR. VAN DE KAMP: Right. 27 28 MR. BADOVINAC: Greg Badovinac. Right now, I 0165 01 believe the Autotote application is significant in the 02 sense of the last report I saw said that there were 12 advance wagering deposit systems providers in the United 04 States. If you include Autotote, you had four applied 05 here. The contract with Magna, the contract with 07 TVG is going to limit the competition, the true 08 competition for California residents to opening accounts 09 and bet on California races, which is going to exclude entities like Autotote, like the Fairgrounds, like Ohio 10 Tab or Brisbet or any of the other eight entities that are 11 in the business, doing a good job for their customers, 13 that would give California residents an opportunity for choice that they don't have because of the setup that we 15 have between the two approved systems. And, um, I don't wish to speak for Autotote, 17 but I do believe that in Connecticut that the telephone 18 wagering is an adjunct to its off-track betting, say that 19 we have at the Fairs. And it's just an adjunct to that, so you go to the big tele-theaters in Connecticut that I 21 don't think Mr. Van de Kamp intends for the people to go 22 to the tele-theaters in Connecticut not to be able bet on

```
23 California races. I believe that our other intent is to
 24 make sure that if you go to these other tracks that the
    California races have a full and fair opportunity to be
 26 bet upon by those customers at those tracks whether they
 27
    be in Florida, New York (unintelligible), et cetera.
           MR. HARRIS: Yeah. I think the issue is
 28
0166
 01 though that at some of those tracks there's on, you know,
 02
    track satellite customer, there also doing telephone
    betting out of that hub wherever it is. It's just a
    telephone betting part is where -- for the computer or
 05
    whatever is the part that we're really interested in.
 06
           MR. LANDSBURG: At the moment, we don't have
 07
    outreach to them. As we have no -- no ability to tell
 80
    them not to take telephone bets from their clientele on
 09 California under the simulcast agreement.
 10
                  I don't think that -- we can rule
11
    California, but we can't rule Connecticut. I mean, that's
 12 what it really comes down to.
 13
                  And I bring to TOC and to all of those who
 14 remain present who have now invaded our account wagering
    that this sublicensing may be a way to allow others in
16 because I believe one of our Board members said that the
    more systems that are supplying money to California
    racetracks, the better. And it -- it certainly makes
    sense. So we'd like you to come --
 20
           MR. BADOVINAC: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. If I
    could add one more thing I want to address during the open
 21
    meeting. Perhaps it could be incumbent upon the Board to
    look more favorably upon those entities seeking a racing
    license that are more willing to share that license -- to
    share that signal, that ability to take advance deposit
    wagers with other entities so that we can expand that
    base. It's not something that you can do directly, but
 28
    it's, you know -- well, we would look a little more
0167
 01 favorably if you allowed this and won't necessarily affect
 02 your ultimate decision, but it could be a good opinion of
 03 the Board.
 04
           MR. LANDSBURG: We will think about it.
                                                    I have to
 05
    think about it.
 06
                  Is there any further comment about the
 07 Autotote request?
                  In that case, may I request a motion? I
    think once again, we don't have grounds here for -- under
    the rules and regulations that have been promulgated by
10
    our good legislature, and our regulations, the law and the
11
    regulations to approve this license. So I guess the
13
    motion has to be at this point, all in -- the motion on
14
    the table is to not approve this license.
           MR. WOOD, JR.: Do we have (unintelligible) a
15
 16
    motion for it?
 17
           MR. HARRIS: So should we just table it because
    it's incomplete or should we just disapprove? I don't
    know what the best problem with the --
 20
           MR. PAYTON: I would ask -- Autotote Enterprises
 21
    I'm sure would still like the opportunity to be able to
 22
    see if they can't secure some contracts with the racing
 23
    (unintelligible).
 24
           MR. LANDSBURG: We are -- somebody make the motion
```

25 to table --MR. HARRIS: Yeah. I'll move that we table the 27 Autotote motion, and hold on to the license application. MR. LANDSBURG: I think we should add until we get 28 0168 01 -- until they have licenses in place in California. 02 MR. LICHT: Second it. 03 MR. LANDSBURG: All in favor. 04 THE BOARD: Aye. MR. LANDSBURG: Any oppose? 05 UNKNOWN: Nobody. 06 07 MR. LANDSBURG: Okay. Mr. (unintelligible) the 08 application has been tabled for this moment waiting for 09 further information from Connecticut. 10 MR. HARRIS: Mr. Chairman, I have an item that 11 relates to Item 5, which is the approval of the XpressBet 12 application. When I voted to do so, I was under the 13 impression that that application did terminate on October 14 31, 2002. Because that was part of the horsemen's 15 agreement. 16 And subsequently, when I made the motion on 17 the TVG Application, I felt that was being consistent to 18 make it go a year. So I'm not sure exactly what the motion was, but I think the intent of the motion was --20 MR. LANDSBURG: Well, clarify it right now. All we're approving is the Los Alamitos portion of the --21 22 because that's that all they have in terms of horsemen license. MR. HARRIS: Well, they have a license -- that's 2.4 25 the reason I was concerned here that that could (unintellibible) over a year versus two years. MR. LANDSBURG: But that's why we limited it to a 28 year only because the potential for cannibalization had 0169 01 reared its ugly head during our discussion and it was 02 decided that we would want to revisit this question at the end of the year. The end of the year in this case would 04 have been the end of 2002. MR. HARRIS: Yeah. I'm okay on that one. It's just 05 06 on the Magna one that the intent there would be that -- it would also be the end of the year -- or the end of their 08 year, which is going to be -- well, basically at the end 09 of the year. MR. LANDSBURG: Well, if -- with Magna if we did 10 that, one the principal tracks would never -- we'd never 12 be able to get a result from one of their principal tracks. I believe -- I didn't -- I think it was Roger who 14 made the motion who should really speak to it. 15 MR. LICHT: It was my intent that it would be the 16 end of the year, and I guess was remiss or -- that if it wasn't stated specifically, I don't know what the right 17 way to do it would be, but to amend the motion or what 18 19 we're going to do early tomorrow is to make sure that 20 that's clear. 21 THE BOARD: I'm not sure what the original motion 22 was because I don't think we have it at hand, but I 23 believe that we need to clarify what that -- at this time. 24 MR. LANDSBURG: My understanding of what I voted 25 on, was at the end of this year, we would come back and 26 revisit the license of them for the second year.

```
27
            MR. LICHT: That's my --
 28
           MR. WOOD: You're talking about the license
0170
 01 for XpressBet?
           MR. LICHT: Yes.
 02
 03
            MR. WOOD: For both?
           MR. LANDSBURG: No. We had voted -- if -- the
 04
 05 rationale for not doing that with Magna and not limiting
    it that motion was made seconded for -- only for TVG.
 07
            MR. HARRIS: Yeah. But going back to the Magna
 08 motion -- uh, I interpreted that to be in conformance with
    the horsemen's agreement that they had, which expired at
 10
    the conclusion of, um, of the meet.
 11
                  When I said, basically, any meet be run in
 12 California by a TVG on track on October 31st, until the
    conclusion of that meet, whatever that is, but that
 13
 14 definitely wouldn't be a two-year license.
 15
           MR. LANDSBURG: For Magna?
 16
           MR. HARRIS: Yeah.
 17
            MR. LANDSBURG: Or XpressBet. XpressBet is a
 18 two-year license. It does not have a hold.
                  The TVG license has a hold at the end of the
 20 year for revisiting and that was the condition of approval.
 21
                   So at the end of this year, Magna would have
 22 to take a close look at cannibalizing and about the
 23 relationship of their signal to their promise. Those are
    the things that we'll be revisiting anyway at the end of
    this year. So it would be --
           MR. WOOD: If the TOC contract expires and not
 26
    renewed yet, it will be revisited at the time?
 27
 28
           MR. LANDSBURG: Yeah. If the Magna doesn't have a
0171
 01 motion they can't move forward.
            MR. WOOD: Oh, I'm afraid (unintelligible).
 03
            MR. LANDSBURG: Yeah. That's what the motion was,
 04 and that's what was approved by the Board.
           \ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace . TOWNE: If I may, before we leave the esteemed
 06 and beloved account wagering discussion --
 07
           MR. LANDSBURG: Beloved by whom?
 80
            MR. TOWNE:
                       The remainder of this audience I take
    it. But -- Norm Towne, again, representing the three
 10 Northern California fairs and Local 280.
                   I wanted to raise one issue that hasn't been
 12 raised today. None of the -- neither of the two
 13 applications that were approved has an agreement with
 14 fairs, with any fairs. The fairs are the off-track betting
 15 franchise in California.
 16
           MR. LANDSBURG: I --
 17
           MR. TOWNE: Yes.
           MR. LANDSBURG: I believe that -- I believe that
 18
 19 Chris Korby said they are in negotiations, favorably
    pursuing it and believing that they will close. That's
 20
    what Chris Korby said.
 22
            MR. HARRIS: No, they don't have them though.
            MR. TOWNE: They don't have them though.
 23
 24
           MR. LANDSBURG: They don't have them at the moment.
 25
           MR. TOWNE: And my point in making this statement
    is that at least 25 percent of the customers that are
    currently playing horse races in California are at these
 28 facilities, and are those facilities customers. And when
```

```
0172
01 you talk about cannibalization, that is a significant
02 portion of the revenues that accrues to horse racing in
03
    California.
           MR. LANDSBURG: That's still up to the fairs to
05 make the deal.
06
           MR. TOWNE: I understand that. I just wanted to --
07
           MR. LANDSBURG: Okay. Well, it's still up -- that
80
    -- if they don't make the deal, we'll have a significant
    hold on account wagering, but that may or may
10
    not be an important factor.
11
           MR. HARRIS: Well, as I understand the legislation
12 on -- there's different types of cannibalization. The
    cannibalization of the satellite, there would be a hold on
    that through the legislation, if that does move to a ADW
15
   bet.
16
           MR. TOWNE: In theory, yes. Except that the most
17
    cannibalized facility will be the least reimbursed under
18 the current schedule.
19
           MR. LANDSBURG: They may have the least expense.
20
           MR. BIANCO: I thought voting on Magna not two year
21 license. I thought it expired at the end of the year when
   their -- TOC actually expired the contract, I don't know
   how we can -- if there's a stipulation where somebody is
    the requirement that they're supposed to be under
    contract, and the contract expires October 31st --
           MR. LANDSBURG: Then they can't take -- then they
26
27
    can't take further bets if they don't have a horsemen's
28
    contract.
0173
01
           MR. BIANCO: Right. But do we license them for two
02
    years if they don't have, you know, the full complement of
    what they need?
           MR. LANDSBURG: Um, they can -- the can be
    licensed, but not operating. Not operating on behalf of
06
    California race track.
07
           MR. WOOD: I think an example of that would be
08 in the summertime when their -- when XpressBet has an
    agreement with harness racing, but it does not cover the
    19-day period of time for the Cal Expo or state fairs, as
10
11
    Norm was talking about a minute ago.
12
                  There's a 19-day period of time where they
    will not have an agreement with anyone. So either a
    license isn't in effect for that time, they're not
    eligible to participate in any (unintelligible) because
    they don't comply the regulations.
16
17
           MR. BIANCO: Right. I understand.
18
           MS. GRANZELLA: Did we go forward with that?
19
           MR. LANDSBURG: I think we voted on that. We voted
20
    on that.
           MR. DUNBY: Greg Dunby (phonetic). Did I that
21
    right that if I as a customer choose XpressBet, as things
    stand right now that when the Harness Association in
    Sacramento is not operating, that I am not permitted to
25 make a bet through XpressBet, is that what I just heard?
           MR. WOOD, JR.: That's correct. But what you
27 didn't finish your statement with saying is that if they
28
    can (unintelligible) arrangement with Cal Expo, at the
0174
01 state fairs in, that 19-day period of time, they will --
```

02 you will be able to exercise your right with XpressBet. That's what's under the negotiations with the fairs as we speak. There is agreement with Capital Racing right now. 05 MR. DUNBY: Right. Okay. And unless just assume 06 they sign an exclusive agreement with XYZ Corp. Then if I 07 called as a California person, that they're going to say 80 for that period of time, "I'm sorry sir, we can't take your bet on anything?" I mean, a race -- obviously, not in California, but if I want to make a bet on Saratoga or Arlington Park, that they're going to say, "We're sorry, sir, you're from California, we can't take your money." 13 Is that what I'm hearing? 14 THE BOARD: (No audible response) 15 MR. DUNBY: Mr. Chairman, you keep talking about 16 expanding and bringing new people in, how are we going to explain that to a new customer who says, this is great, 17 18 you won't take my bet. 19 MR. WOOD: It's not going to have to be 20 explained to him because it's going to be taken care of with the agreements in place. 22 MR. HARRIS: Can I --23 MR. DUNBY: I mean -- remember -- remember, the 24 premise was, as now, it just -- because -- because of the Chairman has stated he did not want to hear what's going on in the future, it was as of now. And if they don't get that agreement, because the fair signed an exclusive with 28 somebody else, then I as the customer --0175 01 MR. WOOD: Same thing with Los Alamitos's 02 contract or agreement with the horsemen end of December the 17th. December 17th to December 31st, they have no agreement with anybody. So yes, that's partly right. And we discussed that many times during --06 MR. DUNBY: No. I understand. I -- I just wanted 07 to --0.8 MR. WOOD: It's clear. MR. BADOVINAC: -- to make it perfectly clear, so 09 10 that the there is no misunderstanding with them --MR. WOOD: -- clear and those discussions with 11 12 the Board themselves. 13 MR. LANDSBURG: Moving on. Sorry. We are at 14 Item 8, Discussion and Action by the Board on the license amendment for the Los Angeles Turf Club to add the Kentucky Derby Future Wager to the Pari-mutuel format. 17 This one came solely. 18 MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, this is a request from 19 the L.A. Turf Club. For the first time we are in a 20 position where we can offer the future wager represented 21 -- the wager itself is in three separate pools: February, 22 March and April. 23 Of course, the pool is calculated after the 24 Kentucky Derby on May 4th, which, in this case, would be during the Hollywood Park meet, so the two meets will work together to see that that is all taken care appropriately. This is the first time we can offer this in that the ARCI 28 has put together a rule last year, we can use the ARAS 0176 01 ARCI rule and the tote can handle it, so these two 02 organizations have decided to work together and present

03 this pool and see what happens.

```
MR. LICHT:
                      It's a whips wager only; right?
05 (unintelligible) exotics (unintelligible).
06
           MR. REAGAN: It's actually just Win.
07
                        With an exotic takeout?
           MR. LICHT:
80
           MR. REAGAN: No. It's actual for Kentucky it's a
09
    16-percent pool, which is fairly close to our 15.43. So
10
    and like I say, it is a Kentucky pool at Churchill, so
11
    we'll betting into their. Once the Kentucky Derby is run
12
    then we can calculate the pool and those three pools can
13
    then be resolved appropriately.
           MR. LICHT:
                        What we pay -- what do we pay Kentucky
15 for that, John, three-and-a-half percent or something?
16
           MR. LANDSBURG: Is three votes good enough to
17
   answer that?
           MR. HARRIS: What motion to see Magna and
18
19 Churchill (unintelligible).
20
           MR. LICHT:
                      Well, just roughly. I don't need the
21 exact.
           AUDIENCE MEMBER: -- last minute negotiations with
22
23 representative Churchill Downs. It's somewhere between
24 three and three-and-a-half, and let's say we'll pay -- we
25 won't pay more than three-and-a-half, and I'm hoping for
26 two-and-a-half.
27
           MR. HARRIS: Have you got it through TVG and have
28 they signed it?
0177
01
           AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm hoping to hear something.
02
           MR. LICHT:
                       I move that we accept this proposal.
           MS. MORETTI: Second it. Second it.
03
           MR. LANDSBURG: I had one more (unintelligible) on
04
05 discussion. May I hold your --
           MR. LICHT:
                       Sure just a second.
07
           MR. LANDSBURG: If we are in fact going to get the
80
   lion's share of the wager, or just offering another way
    for California to spend their money in -- in distant
10 locations, not that they wouldn't anyway, but I would like
11
    it known that once any time we approve taking money out of
12 this state, we better have a good enough reason for having
13 done it. Because all we're doing is massacring the money
14 that available to tracks here.
15
           MR. HARRIS: I think this is going to be a
16 relatively small amount of money but it gives a lot of
17 interest. I know -- I don't think he was going to bet a
18 tremendous amount but it just gives interest in racing in
19 general.
           MR. BAEDEKER: Rick Baedeker, Hollywood Park. The
21 host fee, the three -- two-and-a-half to three-and-a-half
22 percent goes to Kentucky, the balance stays here.
23
           MR. LANDSBURG: The balance stays here.
           MR. BAEDEKER: The lion's share is staying in
25 California. It's a very popular wager in other states and
    it's really satisfying.
27
           MR. LANDSBURG: And if -- I thought it stayed in
28 the Kentucky pools though. Are we creating our own pool
0178
01 here?
02
           MR. BAEDEKER: It's just -- it's just like a silent
    -- it's just like betting on a race from Kentucky, we send
04 the host fee back to Kentucky.
05
           MR. LANDSBURG: Right. Okay.
```

```
06
            THE BOARD: It's not right for them to move.
 07
            MR. LANDSBURG: Now, if you'd like to continue with
 08 your second.
            MS. MORETTI: I second my first.
 09
 10
            MR. LANDSBURG: Second by Ms. Moretti.
 11
                   And all in favor?
 12
            THE BOARD: Aye.
 13
            MR. LANDSBURG: And one opposed.
            UNKNOWN: One opposed.
 14
 15
            MR. LANDSBURG: One opposed. (Unintelligible).
    It's just sucking bad money out of --
 16
            MR. HARRIS: We do it everyday though. I mean,
 17
    everyday of the year.
 18
 19
            MR. LANDSBURG: But not to the wager -- that's the
    sucker bet of all time. Your horse doesn't run you don't
 20
 21
    get a bet.
            MR. BADOVINAC: Greg Badovinac. Mr. Chairman,
 22
 23 there's two things. One, that's popular in Las Vegas,
 24 that's how I got Sunday Silence 20 to 1 with his Kentucky
 25 Derby; and Number two, is that I would hope that Horse
 26 Racing Board would support changing the California law of
    seven days before the actual event. So that we could
 28 actually host something like that ourselves.
0179
 01
            MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you, onward. That's been
 02 approved six to one.
 03
                   Discussion and action by the Board on the
 04
    request of the Bay Meadows Operating Company --
    MR. WOOD: Excuse me. Just for the record, it's five to one, Ms. Granzella is not here.
 05
 06
 07
            MR. LANDSBURG: There's five to one. Sorry. I was
 80
    voting for her.
 09
                   Anyway, discussion and action by the Board on
 10
    the request of the Bay Meadows Operating Company to
 11
    retroactively amend its license for the spring and fall
    meets of 2001. The amendment would designate the Bay
 13
    Meadows Foundation as the charity days distributing agent.
 14
            MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, this is a slightly
 15
    unusual item, let me give you a little background.
 16
                   For many years Bay Meadows was required by
 17
    law to have charity dates and distributions, and for many
    years that required and independent distributing agent,
    which was the Bay Meadows Foundation. Some law changes
    allowed Bay Meadows, in their opinion, to act as their own
    distributing agent, which many of the associations now do.
                   Based on some prior settlements with the Bay
 23 Meadow Foundations, the foundation took issue with that
    choice that Bay Meadows made. They took -- apparently
    took some legal action, and in order settle that action,
    Bay Meadows did so with the Foundation and as part of that
    settlement, Bay Meadows is here requesting that there be a
 28 retroactive appointment of the Bay Meadows Foundation as
0180
 01 the distributing agent for 2001, and I know on their
    future applications that they will probably have the Bay
    Meadows Foundation listed also. So the Bay Meadows
 04 Foundation is back as the distributing agent, essentially.
 05
            MR. LANDSBURG: But they must bring their reports
 06 to us and their balances as well?
 07
           MR. REAGAN: Yes.
```

```
80
           MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you.
09
                  Is there any more discussion? A motion -- is
10
    there a motion to --
           MR. BIANCO: I make a motion.
11
           MS. MORETTI: I'll second it.
12
13
           MR. LANDSBURG: Commissioner Bianco and seconded by
14
   Commissioner Moretti.
15
                  All in favor?
16
           THE BOARD: Aye.
17
           MR. LANDSBURG: All those opposed? One absent.
18 Moving on.
19
                  Discussion and action by the of the request
20 that Hollywood Park Charity's Incorporated to distribute
21
    $233,100 in Charity Proceeds to 40 beneficiaries.
           MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, the list of the minute
22
23
    (unintelligible) is included in the package, with over --
24 with approximately 54 percent of that money going to the
25 horse racing related -- horse racing industry, race
26 related associations, we recommend that you approve this
27 request.
28
           MR. LANDSBURG: I just want to be sure. Tranquility
0181
01 Farms is what? Question. There's no one from --
02
           MS. MORETTI: Rick is.
03
           MR. LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. Rick what is
04
    Tranquility Farms? Just for my own information.
           MR. BAEDEKER: It's an organization for retired
05
06 race horses.
07
           MR. LANDSBURG: Retired. It is retired race
08 horses.
09
           MR. BAEDEKER: I'm going to asterisk that. That
    I'll get back to you if that's not the case, but we did
11
    this a couple of months ago. And I'm almost positive
12
    (unintelligible).
13
           MR. REAGAN: Mr. Chairman, and we do review this in
14
    the sense that we do check with the attorney general's
15
    Office to make sure they are registered. They do have CT
16 numbers.
17
           MR. LANDSBURG: I just wondered what its function
18 was that's all.
19
           MR. REAGAN: Yeah. Yeah. (unintelligible) many
20 either at this time of day.
21
           MR. LANDSBURG: Any further discussion?
                  I'll take a motion on --
22
           MS. MORETTI: I'll move that we accept this.
23
24
           MR. BIANCO: Seconded.
25
           MR. LANDSBURG: Moved and seconded. All in favor?
26
           THE BOARD: Aye.
27
           MR. LANDSBURG: Opposed? For the record,
28 unanimously.
0182
01
                  Staff report on the following concluded
02
    races: Churchill Downs Fall Operating Company at
    Hollywood Park for November 7th, 2001 through December
    17th, 2001. Um, Pacific Racing Association at Golden Gate
05
    Fields for December 26, 2000 through December 17th, 2001;
06 and Bay Meadows Operating Company at Bay Meadows from
07
    April 4th through November 5th, 2001 and Los Alamitos
08 Quarter Horse Racing Association at Los Alamitos
09 from January 5 through December 16th.
```

MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, our standard set of reports here. Just one note, this is the time of year when we generally try to have the Pacific Racing and the Bay Meadows side by side for comparison. 13 Over the last several years, we've made 15 numerous adjustments to the Northern schedule, and it's 16 very difficult to sometimes show bits and piece versus 17 others. We get some strange numbers. But like showing the entire year even though the meet at Golden Gate may 19 not be officially completed right now, we're trying to show racing year versus racing year, and just like this 21 year, we're also doing that for Los Alamitos. So at least 22 we're trying to be consistent in showing that. In fact, 23 today we may a slight adjustment to the Bay Meadows 24 Pacific again. So that's what we're doing here, and if 25 you have any questions, I'll try to answer them. 26 MR. HARRIS: (unintelligible) reports. It might be 27 good to include like the amount of purses that are in that 28 generate a given meet, today so that (unintelligible) can 0183 01 handle it. 02 MR. REAGAN: We'll be glad to do that. 03 MR. LICHT: I have a question for Rick on 04 Hollywood. 05 Why was the attendance up so dramatically 06 and -- on track handle down on Friday nights? MR. BAEDEKER: Oh, yeah. Well, no. As a matter of 07 fact, we had the same number of Friday nights the previous 80 year. We characteristically only run two Friday's before Thanksgiving. On track attendance was up to -- aside from 10 11 the fact that we just do our business so darn well. 12 MR. LICHT: Good management. 13 MR. BAEDEKER: Yeah. But other than that, it was 14 -- it followed a trend around the country, post 9-11, the 15 racing business has -- had been up after that time, at least through the end of the year. And I think we 17 benefited in that way. My personal intuitive guess is 18 that people stayed closer to home over the Thanksgiving 19 weekend than in previous years. 20 We saw a shift in on track business to import 21 business, which is consistent with previous meets. And so on track business was down just slightly. I will say, 23 however, that had we not been rained out over the biggest weekend of the season, Thanksqiving weekend, I think we would have been up in all categories. We are very pleased 26 given the bad luck of the weather, that the numbers 27 weren't solid as they were. MR. LANDSBURG: Any other comments or questions? 28 0184 01 Once again, there are always more minuses 02 than pluses. By the way that bothers me, but only me. MS. MORETTI: I would just like to make a comment 03 04 to just thank the Board members who worked so diligently 05 and the staff for all the work that they did to accommodate today's meeting. 07 MR. LANDSBURG: It's an amazing amount of work. I second Commissioner Moretti's comment. The amount of work 80 that staff and many of the Board members put in on this, you know, it's amazing. And that they were able to pull

11 it together, despite my misgivings about issuing the

licenses, which I don't mind having on public record. This -- the work was absolutely stunning on the committee. 14 Moving on, to Committee Reports, 15 Vice-Chairman Roger Licht, Committee Chairman of 16 Pari-Mutuel Committee tell us about today's victory. 17

MR. LICHT: Well, to fill in some of the gaps on today's schedule, because we might be bored, we had a Pari-Mutuel meeting in the morning, and we discussed alternate runners in the Pick Three and the Pick Four and sort of scuttlebutt to me and around the track is that it would be a good thing to do.

We are now going to have alternates in the 24 Pick Four, starting basically, immediately, as soon as 25 possible. The Pick Three we have deferred because the cost appeared to be overwhelming. We were told approximately one thousand man hours in programming time 28 in order properly implement alternates on Pick Three, so 0185

01 we will start with Pick Fours.

As far as having different payoffs on the 03 various, like on the Pick Six, in case of a dead heat, the extreme example is a 20 to 1 shot and dead heats are only 2 to 5 shots, again that one 2,500 hours in programming time we were told by Autotote. And so the committee decided to defer any action on that for awhile, as well.

What we have decided to do on both of the proposals that were not enacted was to take those ideas to the RCI Racing Commissioners International that would discuss there and see what, if anything, would be done on a national basis.

The Pick Six pool is something that was 14 discussed. John Reagan and his staff are making a constant review of the large size Pick Six payoffs to make sure, as I like to say, there is a level playing field to see that -- where the Pick Six's are being hit, the size of the tickets and so forth. And I think that that goes along with our charter, reassuring our public that it is a fair game on the front side as well as the back side, and that everybody really does have an equal chance to hit a Pick Six.

MR. LANDSBURG: And we talked somewhat about 24 finding promotable people who might voluntarily come forward to say, yeah, I won \$33,000 for a \$6.00 bet. Just as a promotional device. Because every time we spend, apparently it produces some excitement and interest in the minds of the unwatched.

28 0186

04

05

10

11

12

18

19

02

07 80

09

10

11 12

13

15

16

17

18

21 22

23

01 Moving on to General Business, is there any 02 communications or its request for future action of the 03 Board?

I have one. We had discussed and targeted February as the due date for committee reports on the Filimet report, however, I believe now that given the stress of this week and the next session that we should move that forward to April. And be safely into one of our more common meetings. And we are so going to do that for those of you who may be primed for the discussion of the committee reports on the Filimet.

Old business, issues that may be raised for

13 discussion?

```
14
                 With that we bring to a close, the public
15 part of the session. The Board will now go into an
16 Executive session.
17
                 Thank you all who remain. You're really
18 wonderful. I think we have now set a record for Board
19 meetings.
20
           (Board Meeting adjourned at 4:05 p.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```